

Rule 20-03

RECEIVED

Sheila Reiff, Clerk of the Supreme Court and Court of Appeals
110 East Main Street, Suite 210
P.O. Box 1686
Madison, WI 53701-1686

NOV 24 2020

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
OF WISCONSIN

Opposition to Petition to Supreme Court filed by Wisconsin Institute for Law and Liberty related to voting district litigation

Wisconsin is the poster child for unfair, unrepresentative democracy in America. No state has been more nakedly gerrymandered to advantage some voters and disadvantage others. Senate and Assembly districts in Wisconsin have been drawn to help Republican voters gain most of the Legislative seats even when they garner fewer votes statewide than Democratic voters. As a result, the majority is disenfranchised in favor of minority rule. This is the hallmark of tyranny not law and liberty.

Unfortunately, the Wisconsin State Supreme Court is currently known to be dominated by a Republican majority with frequent rulings that appear to be blatantly partisan. Proposing they referee a redistricting process, already recognized as the most partisan in the nation, will only make it more partisan than it already is.

There is a better solution to redistricting than letting politicians draw their own districts and its evil twin partisan reapportionment. The people in at least seven states have demanded a non-partisan independent commission draw the boundaries. It works quite well. California provides an excellent example. A detailed discussion of redistricting, including the California model, is available on the internet. See: [https://ballotpedia.org/Redistricting in California](https://ballotpedia.org/Redistricting_in_California)

until, we have something similar in Wisconsin, we should not make the problem worse by inviting further the further partisan involvement proposed by the Petition from WILL.

Sincerely,

Jerry and Penny Scribner
Neenah, WI 54956

Penny Scribner