

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

SCR CHAPTER 20

RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT FOR ATTORNEYS

In 2016, the Wisconsin Supreme Court established a committee to review the Office of Lawyer Regulation (OLR), entitled the OLR Procedure Review Committee. The Honorable Gerald Ptacek was appointed as the Committee's chair.

The Committee examined OLR procedures holistically and established its mission to review OLR procedures and structure, and to report to the Wisconsin Supreme Court recommendations that would increase the efficiency, effectiveness, and fairness of the OLR process. On March 13, 2019, the OLR Procedure Review Committee filed nine administrative rule petitions.

On December 9, 2019, at an administrative rules conference following a public hearing, the Wisconsin Supreme Court approved, in part, two of the Committee's proposals: Petition 19-11 (OLR Charging) and Petition 19-12 (Reporting Misconduct).

This document reflects the changes approved by the court to date affecting SCR ch. 20. Pending changes are highlighted.¹ It remains subject to the court's further consideration of the remaining rule petitions and to review any technical correction. When the technical review is complete and the court has approved the final draft, an order will issue with an anticipated effective date of July 1, 2020.

COUNSELOR

SCR 20:2.1 Advisor

In representing a client, a lawyer shall exercise independent professional judgment and render candid advice. In rendering advice, a lawyer may refer not only to law but to other considerations such as moral, economic, social, and political factors that may be relevant to the client's situation.

¹ Blue: 9/16/19 conference; Green: 10/29/19 conference; Pink 12/9/19 conference; Yellow: proposed changes that remain under advisement.

ABA COMMENT

Scope of Advice

[1] A client is entitled to straightforward advice expressing the lawyer's honest assessment. Legal advice often involves unpleasant facts and alternatives that a client may be disinclined to confront. In presenting advice, a lawyer endeavors to sustain the client's morale and may put advice in as acceptable a form as honesty permits. However, a lawyer should not be deterred from giving candid advice by the prospect that the advice will be unpalatable to the client.

Advice couched in narrow legal terms may be of little value to a client, especially where practical considerations, such as cost or effects on other people, are predominant. Purely technical legal advice, therefore, can sometimes be inadequate. It is proper for a lawyer to refer to relevant moral and ethical considerations in giving advice. Although a lawyer is not a moral advisor as such, moral and ethical considerations impinge upon most legal questions and may decisively influence how the law will be applied.

[2] A client may expressly or impliedly ask the lawyer for purely technical advice. When such a request is made by a client experienced in legal matters, the lawyer may accept it at face value. When such a request is made by a client inexperienced in legal matters, however, the lawyer's responsibility as advisor may include indicating that more may be involved than strictly legal considerations.

[3] Matters that go beyond strictly legal questions may also be in the domain of another profession. Family matters can involve problems within the professional competence of psychiatry, clinical psychology or social work; business matters can involve problems within the competence of the accounting profession or of financial specialists. Where consultation with a professional in another field is itself something a competent lawyer would recommend, the lawyer should make such a recommendation. At the same time, a lawyer's advice at its best often consists of recommending a course of action in the face of conflicting recommendations of experts.

Offering Advice

[4] In general, a lawyer is not expected to give advice until asked by the client. However, when a lawyer knows that a client proposes a course of action that is likely to result in substantial adverse legal consequences to the client, the lawyer's duty to the client under Rule 1.4 may require that the lawyer offer advice if the client's course of action is related to the representation. Similarly, when a matter is likely to involve litigation, it may be necessary under Rule 1.4 to inform the client of forms of dispute resolution that might constitute reasonable alternatives to litigation. A lawyer ordinarily has no duty to initiate investigation of a client's affairs or to give advice that the client has indicated is unwanted, but a lawyer may initiate advice to a client when doing so appears to be in the client's interest.

SCR 20:2.2 Omitted.

SCR 20:2.3 Evaluation for use by 3rd persons

(a) A lawyer may provide an evaluation of a matter affecting a client for the use of someone other than the client if the lawyer reasonably believes that making the evaluation is compatible with other aspects of the lawyer's relationship with the client.

(b) When the lawyer knows or reasonably should know that the evaluation is likely to affect the client's interests materially and

1 adversely, the lawyer shall not provide the evaluation unless the client
2 gives informed consent.

3 (c) Except as disclosure is authorized in connection with a report
4 of an evaluation, information relating to the evaluation is otherwise
5 protected by SCR 20:1.6.
6

7 ABA COMMENT

8 **Definition**

9
10 [1] An evaluation may be performed at the client's direction or when impliedly authorized
11 in order to carry out the representation. See Rule 1.2. Such an evaluation may be for the primary
12 purpose of establishing information for the benefit of third parties; for example, an opinion
13 concerning the title of property rendered at the behest of a vendor for the information of a
14 prospective purchaser, or at the behest of a borrower for the information of a prospective lender. In
15 some situations, the evaluation may be required by a government agency; for example, an opinion
16 concerning the legality of the securities registered for sale under the securities laws. In other
17 instances, the evaluation may be required by a third person, such as a purchaser of a business.

18 [2] A legal evaluation should be distinguished from an investigation of a person with whom
19 the lawyer does not have a client-lawyer relationship. For example, a lawyer retained by a purchaser
20 to analyze a vendor's title to property does not have a client-lawyer relationship with the vendor. So
21 also, an investigation into a person's affairs by a government lawyer, or by special counsel by a
22 government lawyer, or by special counsel employed by the government, is not an evaluation as that
23 term is used in this Rule. The question is whether the lawyer is retained by the person whose affairs
24 are being examined. When the lawyer is retained by that person, the general rules concerning loyalty
25 to client and preservation of confidences apply, which is not the case if the lawyer is retained by
26 someone else. For this reason, it is essential to identify the person by whom the lawyer is retained.
27 This should be made clear not only to the person under examination, but also to others to whom the
28 results are to be made available.

29 **Duties Owed to Third Person and Client**

30 [3] When the evaluation is intended for the information or use of a third person, a legal duty
31 to that person may or may not arise. That legal question is beyond the scope of this Rule. However,
32 since such an evaluation involves a departure from the normal client-lawyer relationship, careful
33 analysis of the situation is required. The lawyer must be satisfied as a matter of professional
34 judgment that making the evaluation is compatible with other functions undertaken in behalf of the
35 client. For example, if the lawyer is acting as advocate in defending the client against charges of
36 fraud, it would normally be incompatible with that responsibility for the lawyer to perform an
37 evaluation for others concerning the same or a related transaction. Assuming no such impediment is
38 apparent, however, the lawyer should advise the client of the implications of the evaluation,
39 particularly the lawyer's responsibilities to third persons and the duty to disseminate the findings.

40 **Access to and Disclosure of Information**

41 [4] The quality of an evaluation depends on the freedom and extent of the investigation
42 upon which it is based. Ordinarily a lawyer should have whatever latitude of investigation seems
43 necessary as a matter of professional judgment. Under some circumstances, however, the terms of
44 the evaluation may be limited. For example, certain issues or sources may be categorically excluded,
45 or the scope of search may be limited by time constraints or the noncooperation of persons having
46 relevant information. Any such limitations that are material to the evaluation should be described in
47 the report. If after a lawyer has commenced an evaluation, the client refuses to comply with the terms
48 upon which it was understood the evaluation was to have been made, the lawyer's obligations are

1 determined by law, having reference to the terms of the client's agreement and the surrounding
2 circumstances. In no circumstances is the lawyer permitted to knowingly make a false statement of
3 material fact or law in providing an evaluation under this Rule. See Rule 4.1.

4 **Obtaining Client's Informed Consent**

5 [5] Information relating to an evaluation is protected by Rule 1.6. In many situations,
6 providing an evaluation to a third party poses no significant risk to the client; thus, the lawyer may be
7 impliedly authorized to disclose information to carry out the representation. See Rule 1.6(a). Where,
8 however, it is reasonably likely that providing the evaluation will affect the client's interests
9 materially and adversely, the lawyer must first obtain the client's consent after the client has been
10 adequately informed concerning the important possible effects on the client's interests. See Rules
11 1.6(a) and 1.0(e).

12 13 **Financial Auditors' Requests for Information**

14 [6] When a question concerning the legal situation of a client arises at the instance of the
15 client's financial auditor and the question is referred to the lawyer, the lawyer's response may be
16 made in accordance with procedures recognized in the legal profession. Such a procedure is set forth
17 in the American Bar Association Statement of Policy Regarding Lawyers' Responses to Auditors'
18 Requests for Information, adopted in 1975.

19 20 **SCR 20:2.4 Lawyer serving as 3rd-party neutral**

21 (a) A lawyer serves as a 3rd-party neutral when the lawyer assists
22 two or more persons who are not clients of the lawyer to reach a
23 resolution of a dispute or other matter that has arisen between them.
24 Service as a 3rd-party neutral may include service as an arbitrator, a
25 mediator or in such other capacity as will enable the lawyer to assist the
26 parties to resolve the matter.

27 (b) A lawyer serving as a 3rd-party neutral shall inform
28 unrepresented parties that the lawyer is not representing them. When the
29 lawyer knows or reasonably should know that a party does not
30 understand the lawyer's role in the matter, the lawyer shall explain the
31 difference between the lawyer's role as a 3rd-party neutral and a
32 lawyer's role as one who represents a client.

33 (c)(1) A lawyer serving as mediator in a case arising under ch.
34 767, stats., in which the parties have resolved one or more issues
35 being mediated may draft, select, complete, modify, or file documents
36 confirming, memorializing, or implementing such resolution, as long
37 as the lawyer maintains his or her neutrality throughout the process
38 and both parties give their informed consent, confirmed in a writing
39 signed by the parties to the mediation. For purposes of this
40 subsection, informed consent requires, at a minimum, the lawyer to
41 disclose to each party any interest or relationship that is likely to
42 affect the lawyer's impartiality in the case or to create an appearance

1 of partiality or bias and that the lawyer explain all of the following to
2 each of the parties:

3 a. The limits of the lawyer's role.

4 b. That the lawyer does not represent either party to the
5 mediation.

6 c. That the lawyer cannot give legal advice or advocate on
7 behalf of either party to the mediation.

8 d. The desirability of seeking independent legal advice before
9 executing any documents prepared by the lawyer-mediator.

10 (2) The drafting, selection, completion, modification, and filing
11 of documents pursuant to par. (1) does not create a client-lawyer
12 relationship between the lawyer and a party.

13 (3) Notwithstanding par. (2), in drafting, selecting, completing
14 or modifying the documents referred to in par. (1), a lawyer serving as
15 mediator shall exercise the same degree of competence and shall act
16 with the same degree of diligence as SCR 20:1.1 and 20:1.3 would
17 require if the lawyer were representing the parties to the mediation.

18 (4) A lawyer serving as mediator who has prepared documents
19 pursuant to par. (1) may, with the informed consent of all parties to
20 the mediation, file such documents with the court. However, a lawyer
21 who has served as a mediator may not appear in court on behalf of
22 either or both of the parties in mediation.

23 (5) Any document prepared pursuant to this subsection that is
24 filed with the court shall clearly indicate on the document that it was
25 "prepared with the assistance of a lawyer acting as mediator."
26

27 WISCONSIN COMMENT

28
29 Mediation is a process designed to resolve disputes between two or more parties
30 through agreement facilitated by a neutral person. Although many lawyers
31 routinely act as mediators, there has been some concern about the applicability
32 of the SCRs to lawyers acting as mediators. However, the selection, drafting,
33 completion, modification, or filing of legal documents or agreements to
34 memorialize or implement a mediated settlement does constitute the practice of
35 law and is regulated by SCR Chapter 23. See SCR 23.01. The purpose of
36 subsection (c) is to clarify that a lawyer serving as mediator in a Chapter 767
37 proceeding may, while acting in that capacity, memorialize the outcome of the
38 mediation, if it can be done without compromising his or her neutrality and that,
39 by doing so, the lawyer does not assume a client-lawyer relationship with either
40 party. The lawyer serving as mediator may not at any stage of the process
41 attempt to advance the interests of one party at the expense of any other party.

42 Although a lawyer acting as mediator should strive to anticipate the
43 issues and resolve them prior to documenting the outcome of the mediation, the
44 process of documenting itself may illuminate or create previously unforeseen

1 issues. For this reason, the mediator should make it clear to the parties that the
2 process of documentation is part of the mediation and the mediator must
3 maintain neutrality throughout that process.

4 Likewise, even after documents confirming, memorializing, or
5 implementing the resolution of issues have been finalized, other previously-
6 unidentified or unresolved issues may arise. The mediator may, as an extension
7 of the original mediation, continue in a neutral capacity to assist the parties in
8 resolving and memorializing those issues. While this rule does not require the
9 mediator to resolve or memorialize all issues, the prudent mediator may want to
10 consider identifying any issues the parties have intentionally left unresolved.

11 Documents drafted, selected, completed or modified by a mediator can
12 have consequences an unrepresented party might not perceive. Although an
13 attorney acting as neutral mediator may attempt to explain those consequences
14 to the parties in mediation, he or she does not stand in a client-lawyer
15 relationship with either party and may not give legal advice to either or both
16 parties while acting in that neutral capacity. Moreover, because the line between
17 discussing consequences and dispensing advice is not always clear, a lawyer
18 acting as mediator who chooses to explain those consequences should take care
19 to avoid offering or appearing to offer legal advice. For these reasons, and to
20 emphasize to the parties that the lawyer acting as mediator does not represent
21 the parties, subsection (c)(1)(d) requires an attorney who has mediated a dispute
22 between unrepresented parties to recommend that each seek independent legal
23 advice before executing the documents that attorney has drafted, selected,
24 completed, or modified.

25 Notwithstanding that no client-lawyer relationship is created when a
26 lawyer-mediator drafts documents pursuant to this rule, subsection (c)(3)
27 imposes duties of competence and diligence in connection with the drafting of
28 such documents. A lawyer who fails to fulfill such duties violates SCR
29 20:2.4(c)(4).

30 Filing documents prepared pursuant to this subsection in court can
31 often be accomplished most efficiently by a lawyer familiar with the documents
32 and, as long as done with the consent of the parties to the mediation, may be
33 accomplished by the mediator without impairing his or her neutrality. However,
34 any appearance by a lawyer in court on behalf of one or more parties is so
35 closely associated with advocacy that it could compromise the appearance of
36 neutrality and/or provide an occasion to depart from it. For this reason, although
37 a lawyer who has served as a mediator may file documents with the court, such a
38 lawyer may not appear in court on behalf of one or both parties. A lawyer who
39 has served as a third party neutral, such as a mediator in a matter, may not
40 thereafter represent any party at any stage of the matter. See SCR 20:1.12.

41 Because the lawyer-mediator does not have a client-lawyer relationship
42 with any of the parties, SCR 20:1.2(cm) does not apply. Subsection (5) makes it
43 clear that the lawyer-mediator must make an equivalent disclosure. Filing of
44 documents by a lawyer-mediator pursuant to this rule does not constitute an
45 appearance in the matter.
46
47

48 ABA COMMENT

49
50 [1] Alternative dispute resolution has become a substantial part of the civil justice system.
51 Aside from representing clients in dispute-resolution processes, lawyers often serve as third-party
52 neutrals. A third-party neutral is a person, such as a mediator, arbitrator, conciliator or evaluator, who
53 assists the parties, represented or unrepresented, in the resolution of a dispute or in the arrangement
54 of a transaction. Whether a third-party neutral serves primarily as a facilitator, evaluator or decision
55 maker depends on the particular process that is either selected by the parties or mandated by a court.

1 [2] The role of a third-party neutral is not unique to lawyers, although, in some court-
2 connected contexts, only lawyers are allowed to serve in this role or to handle certain types of cases.
3 In performing this role, the lawyer may be subject to court rules or other law that apply either to
4 third-party neutrals generally or to lawyers serving as third-party neutrals. Lawyer-neutrals may also
5 be subject to various codes of ethics, such as the Code of Ethics for Arbitration in Commercial
6 Disputes prepared by a joint committee of the American Bar Association and the American
7 Arbitration Association or the Model Standards of Conduct for Mediators jointly prepared by the
8 American Bar Association, the American Arbitration Association and the Society of Professionals in
9 Dispute Resolution.

10 [3] Unlike nonlawyers who serve as third-party neutrals, lawyers serving in this role may
11 experience unique problems as a result of differences between the role of a third-party neutral and a
12 lawyer's service as a client representative. The potential for confusion is significant when the parties
13 are unrepresented in the process. Thus, paragraph (b) requires a lawyer-neutral to inform
14 unrepresented parties that the lawyer is not representing them. For some parties, particularly parties
15 who frequently use dispute-resolution processes, this information will be sufficient. For others,
16 particularly those who are using the process for the first time, more information will be required.
17 Where appropriate, the lawyer should inform unrepresented parties of the important differences
18 between the lawyer's role as third-party neutral and a lawyer's role as a client representative,
19 including the inapplicability of the attorney-client evidentiary privilege. The extent of disclosure
20 required under this paragraph will depend on the particular parties involved and the subject matter of
21 the proceeding, as well as the particular features of the dispute-resolution process selected.

22 [4] A lawyer who serves as a third-party neutral subsequently may be asked to serve as a
23 lawyer representing a client in the same matter. The conflicts of interest that arise for both the
24 individual lawyer and the lawyer's law firm are addressed in Rule 1.12.

25 [5] Lawyers who represent clients in alternative dispute-resolution processes are governed
26 by the Rules of Professional Conduct. When the dispute-resolution process takes place before a
27 tribunal, as in binding arbitration (see Rule 1.0(m)), the lawyer's duty of candor is governed by Rule
28 3.3. Otherwise, the lawyer's duty of candor toward both the third-party neutral and other parties is
29 governed by Rule 4.1.

30 31 ADVOCATE

32 33 **SCR 20:3.1 Meritorious claims and contentions**

34 (a) In representing a client, a lawyer shall not:

35 (1) knowingly advance a claim or defense that is unwarranted
36 under existing law, except that the lawyer may advance such claim or
37 defense if it can be supported by good faith argument for an extension,
38 modification or reversal of existing law;

39 (am) A lawyer providing limited scope representation pursuant to
40 SCR 20:1.2(c) may rely on the otherwise self-represented person's
41 representation of facts, unless the lawyer has reason to believe that such
42 representations are false, or materially insufficient, in which instance the
43 lawyer shall make an independent reasonable inquiry into the facts.

44 (2) knowingly advance a factual position unless there is a basis
45 for doing so that is not frivolous; or

46 (3) file a suit, assert a position, conduct a defense, delay a trial or

1 take other action on behalf of the client when the lawyer knows or when
2 it is obvious that such an action would serve merely to harass or
3 maliciously injure another.

4 (b) A lawyer for the defendant in a criminal proceeding, or the
5 respondent in a proceeding that could result in deprivation of liberty,
6 may nevertheless so defend the proceeding as to require that every
7 element of the case be established.

8
9 **WISCONSIN COMMITTEE COMMENT**

10
11 This Wisconsin Supreme Court Rule differs from the Model Rule in expressly establishing
12 a subjective test for an ethical violation.
13

14 **ABA COMMENT**

15
16 [1] The advocate has a duty to use legal procedure for the fullest benefit of the client's
17 cause, but also a duty not to abuse legal procedure. The law, both procedural and substantive,
18 establishes the limits within which an advocate may proceed. However, the law is not always clear
19 and never is static. Accordingly, in determining the proper scope of advocacy, account must be taken
20 of the law's ambiguities and potential for change.

21 [2] The filing of an action or defense or similar action taken for a client is not frivolous
22 merely because the facts have not first been fully substantiated or because the lawyer expects to
23 develop vital evidence only by discovery. What is required of lawyers, however, is that they inform
24 themselves about the facts of their clients' cases and the applicable law and determine that they can
25 make good faith arguments in support of their clients' positions. Such action is not frivolous even
26 though the lawyer believes that the client's position ultimately will not prevail. The action is
27 frivolous, however, if the lawyer is unable either to make a good faith argument on the merits of the
28 action taken or to support the action taken by a good faith argument for an extension, modification or
29 reversal of existing law.

30 [3] The lawyer's obligations under this Rule are subordinate to federal or state constitutional
31 law that entitles a defendant in a criminal matter to the assistance of counsel in presenting a claim or
32 contention that otherwise would be prohibited by this Rule.

33
34 **SCR 20:3.2 Expediting litigation**

35 A lawyer shall make reasonable efforts to expedite litigation
36 consistent with the interests of the client.
37

38 **ABA COMMENT**

39
40 [1] Dilatory practices bring the administration of justice into disrepute. Although there will
41 be occasions when a lawyer may properly seek a postponement for personal reasons, it is not proper
42 for a lawyer to routinely fail to expedite litigation solely for the convenience of the advocates. Nor
43 will a failure to expedite be reasonable if done for the purpose of frustrating an opposing party's
44 attempt to obtain rightful redress or repose. It is not a justification that similar conduct is often
45 tolerated by the bench and bar. The question is whether a competent lawyer acting in good faith
46 would regard the course of action as having some substantial purpose other than delay. Realizing
47 financial or other benefit from otherwise improper delay in litigation is not a legitimate interest of the
48 client.

1
2 **SCR 20:3.3 Candor toward the tribunal**

3 (a) A lawyer shall not knowingly:

4 (1) make a false statement of fact or law to a tribunal or fail to
5 correct a false statement of material fact or law previously made to the
6 tribunal by the lawyer;

7 (2) fail to disclose to the tribunal legal authority in the controlling
8 jurisdiction known to the lawyer to be directly adverse to the position of
9 the client and not disclosed by opposing counsel; or

10 (3) offer evidence that the lawyer knows to be false. If a lawyer,
11 the lawyer's client, or a witness called by the lawyer, has offered
12 material evidence and the lawyer comes to know of its falsity, the
13 lawyer shall take reasonable remedial measures, including, if necessary,
14 disclosure to the tribunal. A lawyer may refuse to offer evidence, other
15 than the testimony of a defendant in a criminal matter that the lawyer
16 reasonably believes is false.

17 (b) A lawyer who represents a client in an adjudicative
18 proceeding and who knows that a person intends to engage, is engaging,
19 or has engaged in criminal or fraudulent conduct related to the
20 proceeding shall take reasonable remedial measures, including, if
21 necessary, disclosure to the tribunal.

22 (c) The duties stated in pars. (a) and (b) apply even if compliance
23 requires disclosure of information otherwise protected by SCR 20:1.6.

24 (d) In an ex parte proceeding, a lawyer shall inform the tribunal
25 of all material facts known to the lawyer that will enable the tribunal to
26 make an informed decision, whether or not the facts are adverse.
27

28 **WISCONSIN COMMITTEE COMMENT**

29
30 Unlike its Model Rule counterpart, paragraph (c) does not specify when the duties expire.
31 For this reason, ABA Comment [13] is inapplicable.
32

33 **ABA COMMENT**

34
35 [1] This Rule governs the conduct of a lawyer who is representing a client in the
36 proceedings of a tribunal. See Rule 1.0(m) for the definition of "tribunal." It also applies when the
37 lawyer is representing a client in an ancillary proceeding conducted pursuant to the tribunal's
38 adjudicative authority, such as a deposition. Thus, for example, paragraph (a)(3) requires a lawyer to
39 take reasonable remedial measures if the lawyer comes to know that a client who is testifying in a
40 deposition has offered evidence that is false.

41 [2] This Rule sets forth the special duties of lawyers as officers of the court to avoid
42 conduct that undermines the integrity of the adjudicative process. A lawyer acting as an advocate in
43 an adjudicative proceeding has an obligation to present the client's case with persuasive force.
44 Performance of that duty while maintaining confidences of the client, however, is qualified by the

1 advocate's duty of candor to the tribunal. Consequently, although a lawyer in an adversary
2 proceeding is not required to present an impartial exposition of the law or to vouch for the evidence
3 submitted in a cause, the lawyer must not allow the tribunal to be misled by false statements of law
4 or fact or evidence that the lawyer knows to be false.

5 **Representations by a Lawyer**

6 [3] An advocate is responsible for pleadings and other documents prepared for litigation,
7 but is usually not required to have personal knowledge of matters asserted therein, for litigation
8 documents ordinarily present assertions by the client, or by someone on the client's behalf, and not
9 assertions by the lawyer. Compare Rule 3.1. However, an assertion purporting to be on the lawyer's
10 own knowledge, as in an affidavit by the lawyer or in a statement in open court, may properly be
11 made only when the lawyer knows the assertion is true or believes it to be true on the basis of a
12 reasonably diligent inquiry. There are circumstances where failure to make a disclosure is the
13 equivalent of an affirmative misrepresentation. The obligation prescribed in Rule 1.2(d) not to
14 counsel a client to commit or assist the client in committing a fraud applies in litigation. Regarding
15 compliance with Rule 1.2(d), see the Comment to that Rule. See also the Comment to Rule 8.4(b).

16 **Legal Argument**

17 [4] Legal argument based on a knowingly false representation of law constitutes dishonesty
18 toward the tribunal. A lawyer is not required to make a disinterested exposition of the law, but must
19 recognize the existence of pertinent legal authorities. Furthermore, as stated in paragraph (a)(2), an
20 advocate has a duty to disclose directly adverse authority in the controlling jurisdiction that has not
21 been disclosed by the opposing party. The underlying concept is that legal argument is a discussion
22 seeking to determine the legal premises properly applicable to the case.

23 **Offering Evidence**

24 [5] Paragraph (a)(3) requires that the lawyer refuse to offer evidence that the lawyer knows
25 to be false, regardless of the client's wishes. This duty is premised on the lawyer's obligation as an
26 officer of the court to prevent the trier of fact from being misled by false evidence. A lawyer does not
27 violate this Rule if the lawyer offers the evidence for the purpose of establishing its falsity.

28 [6] If a lawyer knows that the client intends to testify falsely or wants the lawyer to
29 introduce false evidence, the lawyer should seek to persuade the client that the evidence should not
30 be offered. If the persuasion is ineffective and the lawyer continues to represent the client, the lawyer
31 must refuse to offer the false evidence. If only a portion of a witness's testimony will be false, the
32 lawyer may call the witness to testify but may not elicit or otherwise permit the witness to present the
33 testimony that the lawyer knows is false.

34 [7] The duties stated in paragraphs (a) and (b) apply to all lawyers, including defense
35 counsel in criminal cases. In some jurisdictions, however, courts have required counsel to present the
36 accused as a witness or to give a narrative statement if the accused so desires, even if counsel knows
37 that the testimony or statement will be false. The obligation of the advocate under the Rules of
38 Professional Conduct is subordinate to such requirements. See also Comment [9].

39 [8] The prohibition against offering false evidence only applies if the lawyer knows that the
40 evidence is false. A lawyer's reasonable belief that evidence is false does not preclude its
41 presentation to the trier of fact. A lawyer's knowledge that evidence is false, however, can be inferred
42 from the circumstances. See Rule 1.0(f). Thus, although a lawyer should resolve doubts about the
43 veracity of testimony or other evidence in favor of the client, the lawyer cannot ignore an obvious
44 falsehood.

45 [9] Although paragraph (a)(3) only prohibits a lawyer from offering evidence the lawyer
46 knows to be false, it permits the lawyer to refuse to offer testimony or other proof that the lawyer
47 reasonably believes is false. Offering such proof may reflect adversely on the lawyer's ability to
48 discriminate in the quality of evidence and thus impair the lawyer's effectiveness as an advocate.
49 Because of the special protections historically provided criminal defendants, however, this Rule does

1 not permit a lawyer to refuse to offer the testimony of such a client where the lawyer reasonably
2 believes but does not know that the testimony will be false. Unless the lawyer knows the testimony
3 will be false, the lawyer must honor the client's decision to testify. See also Comment [7].

4 **Remedial Measures**

5 [10] Having offered material evidence in the belief that it was true, a lawyer may
6 subsequently come to know that the evidence is false. Or, a lawyer may be surprised when the
7 lawyer's client, or another witness called by the lawyer, offers testimony the lawyer knows to be
8 false, either during the lawyer's direct examination or in response to cross-examination by the
9 opposing lawyer. In such situations or if the lawyer knows of the falsity of testimony elicited from
10 the client during a deposition, the lawyer must take reasonable remedial measures. In such situations,
11 the advocate's proper course is to remonstrate with the client confidentially, advise the client of the
12 lawyer's duty of candor to the tribunal and seek the client's cooperation with respect to the
13 withdrawal or correction of the false statements or evidence. If that fails, the advocate must take
14 further remedial action. If withdrawal from the representation is not permitted or will not undo the
15 effect of the false evidence, the advocate must make such disclosure to the tribunal as is reasonably
16 necessary to remedy the situation, even if doing so requires the lawyer to reveal information that
17 otherwise would be protected by Rule 1.6. It is for the tribunal then to determine what should be
18 done—making a statement about the matter to the trier of fact, ordering a mistrial or perhaps nothing.

19 [11] The disclosure of a client's false testimony can result in grave consequences to the
20 client, including not only a sense of betrayal but also loss of the case and perhaps a prosecution for
21 perjury. But the alternative is that the lawyer cooperate in deceiving the court, thereby subverting the
22 truth-finding process which the adversary system is designed to implement. See Rule 1.2(d).
23 Furthermore, unless it is clearly understood that the lawyer will act upon the duty to disclose the
24 existence of false evidence, the client can simply reject the lawyer's advice to reveal the false
25 evidence and insist that the lawyer keep silent. Thus the client could in effect coerce the lawyer into
26 being a party to fraud on the court.

27 **Preserving Integrity of Adjudicative Process**

28 [12] Lawyers have a special obligation to protect a tribunal against criminal or fraudulent
29 conduct that undermines the integrity of the adjudicative process, such as bribing, intimidating or
30 otherwise unlawfully communicating with a witness, juror, court official or other participant in the
31 proceeding, unlawfully destroying or concealing documents or other evidence or failing to disclose
32 information to the tribunal when required by law to do so. Thus, paragraph (b) requires a lawyer to
33 take reasonable remedial measures, including disclosure if necessary, whenever the lawyer knows
34 that a person, including the lawyer's client, intends to engage, is engaging or has engaged in criminal
35 or fraudulent conduct related to the proceeding.

36 **Duration of Obligation**

37 [13] A practical time limit on the obligation to rectify false evidence or false statements of
38 law and fact has to be established. The conclusion of the proceeding is a reasonably definite point for
39 the termination of the obligation. A proceeding has concluded within the meaning of this Rule when
40 a final judgment in the proceeding has been affirmed on appeal or the time for review has passed.

41 **Ex Parte Proceedings**

42 [14] Ordinarily, an advocate has the limited responsibility of presenting one side of the
43 matters that a tribunal should consider in reaching a decision; the conflicting position is expected to
44 be presented by the opposing party. However, in any ex parte proceeding, such as an application for
45 a temporary restraining order, there is no balance of presentation by opposing advocates. The object
46 of an ex parte proceeding is nevertheless to yield a substantially just result. The judge has an
47 affirmative responsibility to accord the absent party just consideration. The lawyer for the
48 represented party has the correlative duty to make disclosures of material facts known to the lawyer
49 and that the lawyer reasonably believes are necessary to an informed decision.

1 **Withdrawal**

2 [15] Normally, a lawyer's compliance with the duty of candor imposed by this Rule does not
3 require that the lawyer withdraw from the representation of a client whose interests will be or have
4 been adversely affected by the lawyer's disclosure. The lawyer may, however, be required by Rule
5 1.16(a) to seek permission of the tribunal to withdraw if the lawyer's compliance with this Rule's
6 duty of candor results in such an extreme deterioration of the client-lawyer relationship that the
7 lawyer can no longer competently represent the client. Also see Rule 1.16(b) for the circumstances in
8 which a lawyer will be permitted to seek a tribunal's permission to withdraw. In connection with a
9 request for permission to withdraw that is premised on a client's misconduct, a lawyer may reveal
10 information relating to the representation only to the extent reasonably necessary to comply with this
11 Rule or as otherwise permitted by Rule 1.6.

12
13 **SCR 20:3.4 Fairness to opposing party and counsel**

14 A lawyer shall not:

15 (a) unlawfully obstruct another party's access to evidence or
16 unlawfully alter, destroy or conceal a document or other material having
17 potential evidentiary value. A lawyer shall not counsel or assist another
18 person to do any such act;

19 (b) falsify evidence, counsel or assist a witness to testify falsely,
20 or offer an inducement to a witness that is prohibited by law;

21 (c) knowingly disobey an obligation under the rules of a tribunal,
22 except for an open refusal based on an assertion that no valid obligation
23 exists;

24 (d) in pretrial procedure, make a frivolous discovery request or
25 fail to make reasonably diligent effort to comply with a legally proper
26 discovery request by an opposing party;

27 (e) in trial, allude to any matter that the lawyer does not
28 reasonably believe is relevant or that will not be supported by
29 admissible evidence, assert personal knowledge of facts in issue except
30 when testifying as a witness, or state a personal opinion as to the
31 justness of a cause, the credibility of a witness, the culpability of a civil
32 litigant or the guilt or innocence of an accused; or

33 (f) request a person other than a client to refrain from voluntarily
34 giving relevant information to another party unless:

35 (1) the person is a relative or an employee or other agent of a
36 client; and

37 (2) the lawyer reasonably believes that the person's interests will
38 not be adversely affected by refraining from giving such information.

39
40 **ABA COMMENT**

41
42 [1] The procedure of the adversary system contemplates that the evidence in a case is to be
43 marshalled competitively by the contending parties. Fair competition in the adversary system is

1 secured by prohibitions against destruction or concealment of evidence, improperly influencing
2 witnesses, obstructive tactics in discovery procedure, and the like.

3 [2] Documents and other items of evidence are often essential to establish a claim or
4 defense. Subject to evidentiary privileges, the right of an opposing party, including the government,
5 to obtain evidence through discovery or subpoena is an important procedural right. The exercise of
6 that right can be frustrated if relevant material is altered, concealed or destroyed. Applicable law in
7 many jurisdictions makes it an offense to destroy material for purpose of impairing its availability in
8 a pending proceeding or one whose commencement can be foreseen. Falsifying evidence is also
9 generally a criminal offense. Paragraph (a) applies to evidentiary material generally, including
10 computerized information. Applicable law may permit a lawyer to take temporary possession of
11 physical evidence of client crimes for the purpose of conducting a limited examination that will not
12 alter or destroy material characteristics of the evidence. In such a case, applicable law may require
13 the lawyer to turn the evidence over to the police or other prosecuting authority, depending on the
14 circumstances.

15 [3] With regard to paragraph (b), it is not improper to pay a witness's expenses or to
16 compensate an expert witness on terms permitted by law. The common-law rule in most jurisdictions
17 is that it is improper to pay an occurrence witness any fee for testifying and that it is improper to pay
18 an expert witness a contingent fee.

19 [4] Paragraph (f) permits a lawyer to advise employees of a client to refrain from giving
20 information to another party, for the employees may identify their interests with those of the client.
21 See also Rule 4.2.

22 **SCR 20:3.5 Impartiality and decorum of the tribunal**

23 A lawyer shall not:

24 (a) seek to influence a judge, juror, prospective juror or other
25 official by means prohibited by law;

26 (b) communicate ex parte with such a person during the
27 proceeding unless authorized to do so by law or court order or for
28 scheduling purposes if permitted by the court. If communication
29 between a lawyer and judge has occurred in order to schedule the
30 matter, the lawyer involved shall promptly notify the lawyer for the
31 other party or the other party, if unrepresented, of such communication;

32 (c) communicate with a juror or prospective juror after discharge
33 of the jury if:

34 (1) the communication is prohibited by law or court order;

35 (2) the juror has made known to the lawyer a desire not to
36 communicate; or

37 (3) the communication involves misrepresentation, coercion,
38 duress or harassment; or

39 (d) engage in conduct intended to disrupt a tribunal.
40

41 **WISCONSIN COMMITTEE COMMENT**

42 Paragraph (b) differs from the Model Rule in that it expressly imposes a duty promptly to
43 notify other parties in the event of an ex parte communication with a judge concerning scheduling.
44
45

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

ABA COMMENT

[1] Many forms of improper influence upon a tribunal are proscribed by criminal law. Others are specified in the ABA Model Code of Judicial Conduct, with which an advocate should be familiar. A lawyer is required to avoid contributing to a violation of such provisions. During a proceeding a lawyer may not communicate ex parte with persons serving in an official capacity in the proceeding, such as judges, masters or jurors, unless authorized to do so by law or court order.

10
11
12
13
[2] A lawyer may on occasion want to communicate with a juror or prospective juror after the jury has been discharged. The lawyer may do so unless the communication is prohibited by law or a court order but must respect the desire of the juror not to talk with the lawyer. The lawyer may not engage in improper conduct during the communication.

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
[3] The advocate's function is to present evidence and argument so that the cause may be decided according to law. Refraining from abusive or obstreperous conduct is a corollary of the advocate's right to speak on behalf of litigants. A lawyer may stand firm against abuse by a judge but should avoid reciprocation; the judge's default is no justification for similar dereliction by an advocate. An advocate can present the cause, protect the record for subsequent review and preserve professional integrity by patient firmness no less effectively than by belligerence or theatrics. The duty to refrain from disruptive conduct applies to any proceeding of a tribunal, including a deposition. See Rule 1.0(m).

22
23

SCR 20:3.6 Trial publicity

24
25
26
27
28
29
(a) A lawyer who is participating or has participated in the investigation or litigation of a matter shall not make an extrajudicial statement that the lawyer knows or reasonably should know will be disseminated by means of public communication and will have a substantial likelihood of materially prejudicing an adjudicative proceeding in the matter.

30
31
32
33
(b) A statement referred to in par. (a) ordinarily is likely to have such an effect when it refers to a civil matter triable to a jury, a criminal matter, or any other proceeding that could result in deprivation of liberty, and the statement relates to:

34
35
36
(1) the character, credibility, reputation or criminal record of a party, suspect in a criminal investigation or witness, or the identity of a witness, or the expected testimony of a party or witness;

37
38
39
40
41
(2) in a criminal case or proceeding that could result in deprivation of liberty, the possibility of a plea of guilty to the offense or the existence or contents of any confession, admission, or statement given by a defendant or suspect or that person's refusal or failure to make a statement;

42
43
44
(3) the performance or results of any examination or test or the refusal or failure of a person to submit to an examination or test, or the identity or nature of physical evidence expected to be presented;

1 (4) any opinion as to the guilt or innocence of a defendant or
2 suspect in a criminal case or proceeding that could result in deprivation
3 of liberty;

4 (5) information the lawyer knows or reasonably should know is
5 likely to be inadmissible as evidence in a trial and would if disclosed
6 create a substantial risk of prejudicing an impartial trial; or

7 (6) the fact that a defendant has been charged with a crime, unless
8 there is included therein a statement explaining that the charge is merely
9 an accusation and that the defendant is presumed innocent until and
10 unless proven guilty.

11 (c) Notwithstanding pars. (a) and (b)(1) through (5), a lawyer
12 may state:

13 (1) the claim, offense or defense involved and, except when
14 prohibited by law, the identity of the persons involved;

15 (2) information contained in a public record;

16 (3) that an investigation of a matter is in progress;

17 (4) the scheduling or result of any step in litigation;

18 (5) a request for assistance in obtaining evidence and information
19 necessary thereto;

20 (6) a warning of danger concerning the behavior of a person
21 involved, when there is reason to believe that there exists the likelihood
22 of substantial harm to an individual or to the public interest; and

23 (7) in a criminal case, in addition to subs. (1) through (6):

24 (i) the identity, residence, occupation and family status of
25 the accused;

26 (ii) if the accused has not been apprehended, information
27 necessary to aid in apprehension of that person;

28 (iii) the fact, time and place of arrest; and

29 (iv) the identity of investigating and arresting officers or
30 agencies and the length of the investigation.

31 (d) Notwithstanding par. (a), a lawyer may make a statement that
32 a reasonable lawyer would believe is required to protect a client from
33 the substantial likelihood of undue prejudicial effect of recent publicity
34 not initiated by the lawyer or the lawyer's client. A statement made
35 pursuant to this paragraph shall be limited to such information as is
36 necessary to mitigate the recent adverse publicity.

37 (e) No lawyer associated in a firm or government agency with a
38 lawyer subject to par. (a) shall make a statement prohibited by par. (a).

39
40

WISCONSIN COMMITTEE COMMENT

1
2 Paragraph (b) contains provisions found in ABA Comment [5] but not contained in the
3 Model Rule. Because of the addition of paragraph (b), this rule and the Model Rule have differing
4 numbering, so that care should be used in consulting the ABA Comment.
5

6 ABA COMMENT 7

8 [1] It is difficult to strike a balance between protecting the right to a fair trial and
9 safeguarding the right of free expression. Preserving the right to a fair trial necessarily entails some
10 curtailment of the information that may be disseminated about a party prior to trial, particularly
11 where trial by jury is involved. If there were no such limits, the result would be the practical
12 nullification of the protective effect of the rules of forensic decorum and the exclusionary rules of
13 evidence. On the other hand, there are vital social interests served by the free dissemination of
14 information about events having legal consequences and about legal proceedings themselves. The
15 public has a right to know about threats to its safety and measures aimed at assuring its security. It
16 also has a legitimate interest in the conduct of judicial proceedings, particularly in matters of general
17 public concern. Furthermore, the subject matter of legal proceedings is often of direct significance in
18 debate and deliberation over questions of public policy.

19 [2] Special rules of confidentiality may validly govern proceedings in juvenile, domestic
20 relations and mental disability proceedings, and perhaps other types of litigation. Rule 3.4(c) requires
21 compliance with such rules.

22 [3] The Rule sets forth a basic general prohibition against a lawyer's making statements that
23 the lawyer knows or should know will have a substantial likelihood of materially prejudicing an
24 adjudicative proceeding. Recognizing that the public value of informed commentary is great and the
25 likelihood of prejudice to a proceeding by the commentary of a lawyer who is not involved in the
26 proceeding is small, the Rule applies only to lawyers who are, or who have been involved in the
27 investigation or litigation of a case, and their associates.

28 [4] Paragraph (b) identifies specific matters about which a lawyer's statements would not
29 ordinarily be considered to present a substantial likelihood of material prejudice, and should not in
30 any event be considered prohibited by the general prohibition of paragraph (a). Paragraph (b) is not
31 intended to be an exhaustive listing of the subjects upon which a lawyer may make a statement, but
32 statements on other matters may be subject to paragraph (a).

33 [5] There are, on the other hand, certain subjects that are more likely than not to have a
34 material prejudicial effect on a proceeding, particularly when they refer to a civil matter triable to a
35 jury, a criminal matter, or any other proceeding that could result in incarceration. These subjects
36 relate to:

37 (1) the character, credibility, reputation or criminal record of a party, suspect in a criminal
38 investigation or witness, or the identity of a witness, or the expected testimony of a party or witness;

39 (2) in a criminal case or proceeding that could result in incarceration, the possibility of a
40 plea of guilty to the offense or the existence or contents of any confession, admission, or statement
41 given by a defendant or suspect or that person's refusal or failure to make a statement;

42 (3) the performance or results of any examination or test or the refusal or failure of a person
43 to submit to an examination or test, or the identity or nature of physical evidence expected to be
44 presented;

45 (4) any opinion as to the guilt or innocence of a defendant or suspect in a criminal case or
46 proceeding that could result in incarceration;

47 (5) information that the lawyer knows or reasonably should know is likely to be
48 inadmissible as evidence in a trial and that would, if disclosed, create a substantial risk of prejudicing
49 an impartial trial; or

1 (6) the fact that a defendant has been charged with a crime, unless there is included therein
2 a statement explaining that the charge is merely an accusation and that the defendant is presumed
3 innocent until and unless proven guilty.

4 [6] Another relevant factor in determining prejudice is the nature of the proceeding
5 involved. Criminal jury trials will be most sensitive to extrajudicial speech. Civil trials may be less
6 sensitive. Non-jury hearings and arbitration proceedings may be even less affected. The Rule will
7 still place limitations on prejudicial comments in these cases, but the likelihood of prejudice may be
8 different depending on the type of proceeding.

9 [7] Finally, extrajudicial statements that might otherwise raise a question under this Rule
10 may be permissible when they are made in response to statements made publicly by another party,
11 another party's lawyer, or third persons, where a reasonable lawyer would believe a public response
12 is required in order to avoid prejudice to the lawyer's client. When prejudicial statements have been
13 publicly made by others, responsive statements may have the salutary effect of lessening any
14 resulting adverse impact on the adjudicative proceeding. Such responsive statements should be
15 limited to contain only such information as is necessary to mitigate undue prejudice created by the
16 statements made by others.

17 [8] See Rule 3.8(f) for additional duties of prosecutors in connection with extrajudicial
18 statements about criminal proceedings.

19
20 **SCR 20:3.7 Lawyer as witness**

21 (a) A lawyer shall not act as advocate at a trial in which the
22 lawyer is likely to be a necessary witness unless:

23 (1) the testimony relates to an uncontested issue;

24 (2) the testimony relates to the nature and value of legal services
25 rendered in the case; or

26 (3) disqualification of the lawyer would work substantial
27 hardship on the client.

28 (b) A lawyer may act as advocate in a trial in which another
29 lawyer in the lawyer's firm is likely to be called as a witness unless
30 precluded from doing so by SCR 20:1.7 or SCR 20:1.9.

31
32
33 **ABA COMMENT**

34
35 [1] Combining the roles of advocate and witness can prejudice the tribunal and the
36 opposing party and can also involve a conflict of interest between the lawyer and client.

37 **Advocate-Witness Rule**

38 [2] The tribunal has proper objection when the trier of fact may be confused or misled by a
39 lawyer serving as both advocate and witness. The opposing party has proper objection where the
40 combination of roles may prejudice that party's rights in the litigation. A witness is required to testify
41 on the basis of personal knowledge, while an advocate is expected to explain and comment on
42 evidence given by others. It may not be clear whether a statement by an advocate-witness should be
43 taken as proof or as an analysis of the proof.

44 [3] To protect the tribunal, paragraph (a) prohibits a lawyer from simultaneously serving as
45 advocate and necessary witness except in those circumstances specified in paragraphs (a)(1) through

1 (a)(3). Paragraph (a)(1) recognizes that if the testimony will be uncontested, the ambiguities in the
2 dual role are purely theoretical. Paragraph (a)(2) recognizes that where the testimony concerns the
3 extent and value of legal services rendered in the action in which the testimony is offered, permitting
4 the lawyers to testify avoids the need for a second trial with new counsel to resolve that issue.
5 Moreover, in such a situation the judge has firsthand knowledge of the matter in issue; hence, there is
6 less dependence on the adversary process to test the credibility of the testimony.

7 [4] Apart from these two exceptions, paragraph (a)(3) recognizes that a balancing is
8 required between the interests of the client and those of the tribunal and the opposing party. Whether
9 the tribunal is likely to be misled or the opposing party is likely to suffer prejudice depends on the
10 nature of the case, the importance and probable tenor of the lawyer's testimony, and the probability
11 that the lawyer's testimony will conflict with that of other witnesses. Even if there is risk of such
12 prejudice, in determining whether the lawyer should be disqualified, due regard must be given to the
13 effect of disqualification on the lawyer's client. It is relevant that one or both parties could reasonably
14 foresee that the lawyer would probably be a witness. The conflict of interest principles stated in
15 Rules 1.7, 1.9, and 1.10 have no application to this aspect of the problem.

16 [5] Because the tribunal is not likely to be misled when a lawyer acts as advocate in a trial
17 in which another lawyer in the lawyer's firm will testify as a necessary witness, paragraph (b) permits
18 the lawyer to do so except in situations involving a conflict of interest.

19 **Conflict of Interest**

20 [6] In determining if it is permissible to act as advocate in a trial in which the lawyer will be
21 a necessary witness, the lawyer must also consider that the dual role may give rise to a conflict of
22 interest that will require compliance with Rules 1.7 or 1.9. For example, if there is likely to be
23 substantial conflict between the testimony of the client and that of the lawyer the representation
24 involves a conflict of interest that requires compliance with Rule 1.7. This would be true even though
25 the lawyer might not be prohibited by paragraph (a) from simultaneously serving as advocate and
26 witness because the lawyer's disqualification would work a substantial hardship on the client.
27 Similarly, a lawyer who might be permitted to simultaneously serve as an advocate and a witness by
28 paragraph (a)(3) might be precluded from doing so by Rule 1.9. The problem can arise whether the
29 lawyer is called as a witness on behalf of the client or is called by the opposing party. Determining
30 whether or not such a conflict exists is primarily the responsibility of the lawyer involved. If there is
31 a conflict of interest, the lawyer must secure the client's informed consent, confirmed in writing. In
32 some cases, the lawyer will be precluded from seeking the client's consent. See Rule 1.7. See Rule
33 1.0(b) for the definition of "confirmed in writing" and Rule 1.0(e) for the definition of "informed
34 consent."

35 [7] Paragraph (b) provides that a lawyer is not disqualified from serving as an advocate
36 because a lawyer with whom the lawyer is associated in a firm is precluded from doing so by
37 paragraph (a). If, however, the testifying lawyer would also be disqualified by Rule 1.7 or Rule 1.9
38 from representing the client in the matter, other lawyers in the firm will be precluded from
39 representing the client by Rule 1.10 unless the client gives informed consent under the conditions
40 stated in Rule 1.7.

41 **SCR 20:3.8 Special responsibilities of a prosecutor**

42
43 (a) A prosecutor in a criminal case or a proceeding that could
44 result in deprivation of liberty shall not prosecute a charge that the
45 prosecutor knows is not supported by probable cause.

46 (b) When communicating with an unrepresented person in the
47 context of an investigation or proceeding, a prosecutor shall inform the
48 person of the prosecutor's role and interest in the matter.

1 (c) When communicating with an unrepresented person who has
2 a constitutional or statutory right to counsel, the prosecutor shall inform
3 the person of the right to counsel and the procedures to obtain counsel
4 and shall give that person a reasonable opportunity to obtain counsel.

5 (d) When communicating with an unrepresented person a
6 prosecutor may discuss the matter, provide information regarding
7 settlement, and negotiate a resolution which may include a waiver of
8 constitutional and statutory rights, but a prosecutor, other than a
9 municipal prosecutor, shall not:

10 (1) otherwise provide legal advice to the person, including, but
11 not limited to whether to obtain counsel, whether to accept or reject a
12 settlement offer, whether to waive important procedural rights or how
13 the tribunal is likely to rule in the case, or

14 (2) assist the person in the completion of (i) guilty plea forms (ii)
15 forms for the waiver of a preliminary hearing or (iii) forms for the
16 waiver of a jury trial.

17 (e) A prosecutor shall not subpoena a lawyer in a grand jury or
18 other proceeding to present evidence about a past or present client
19 unless the prosecutor reasonably believes:

20 (1) the information sought is not protected from disclosure by any
21 applicable privilege;

22 (2) the evidence sought is essential to the successful completion
23 of an ongoing investigation or prosecution; and

24 (3) there is no other feasible alternative to obtain the information.

25 (f) A prosecutor, other than a municipal prosecutor, in a criminal
26 case or a proceeding that could result in deprivation of liberty shall:

27 (1) make timely disclosure to the defense of all evidence or
28 information known to the prosecutor that tends to negate the guilt of the
29 accused or mitigates the offense, and, in connection with sentencing,
30 disclose to the defense and to the tribunal all unprivileged mitigating
31 information known to the prosecutor, except when the prosecutor is
32 relieved of this responsibility by a protective order of the tribunal; and

33 (2) exercise reasonable care to prevent investigators, law
34 enforcement personnel, employees or other persons assisting or
35 associated with the prosecutor in a criminal case from making an
36 extrajudicial statement that the prosecutor would be prohibited from
37 making under SCR 20:3.6.

38 (g) When a prosecutor knows of new, credible, and material
39 evidence creating a reasonable likelihood that a convicted defendant did
40 not commit an offense of which the defendant was convicted, the

1 prosecutor shall do all of the following:

2 (1) promptly disclose that evidence to an appropriate court or
3 authority; and

4 (2) if the conviction was obtained in the prosecutor's jurisdiction:

5 (i) promptly make reasonable efforts to disclose that evidence to
6 the defendant unless a court authorizes delay; and

7 (ii) make reasonable efforts to undertake an investigation or cause
8 an investigation to be undertaken, to determine whether the defendant
9 was convicted of an offense that the defendant did not commit.

10 (h) When a prosecutor knows of clear and convincing evidence
11 establishing that a defendant in the prosecutor's jurisdiction was
12 convicted of an offense that the defendant did not commit, the
13 prosecutor shall seek to remedy the conviction.

14 WISCONSIN COMMENT

15
16
17
18 The Wisconsin Supreme Court Rule differs from the Model Rule in several respects: (1)
19 paragraph (b) adds the reference to "in the context of an investigation or proceeding"; (2) paragraphs
20 (c) and (d) expand the rule by deleting a reference to communications occurring only "after the
21 commencement of litigation"; (3) paragraphs (d) and (f) exempt municipal prosecutors from certain
22 requirements of the rule. Care should be used in consulting the ABA Comment.

23 Wisconsin prosecutors have long embraced the notion that the duty to do justice
24 requires both holding offenders accountable and protecting the innocent. New Rule 20:3.8(g) and (h)
25 reinforces this notion. The Wisconsin rule differs slightly from the new A.B.A. rule to recognize
26 limits in the investigative resources of Wisconsin prosecutors.

27 This rule was not designed to address significant changes in the law that might affect the
28 incarceration status of a number of prisoners, such as where a statute is declared unconstitutional.

29 ABA COMMENT

30
31
32
33 [1] A prosecutor has the responsibility of a minister of justice and not simply that of an
34 advocate. This responsibility carries with it specific obligations to see that the defendant is accorded
35 procedural justice and that guilt is decided upon the basis of sufficient evidence. Precisely how far
36 the prosecutor is required to go in this direction is a matter of debate and varies in different
37 jurisdictions. Many jurisdictions have adopted the ABA Standards of Criminal Justice Relating to the
38 Prosecution Function, which in turn are the product of prolonged and careful deliberation by lawyers
39 experienced in both criminal prosecution and defense. Applicable law may require other measures by
40 the prosecutor and knowing disregard of those obligations or a systematic abuse of prosecutorial
41 discretion could constitute a violation of Rule 8.4.

42 [2] In some jurisdictions, a defendant may waive a preliminary hearing and thereby lose a
43 valuable opportunity to challenge probable cause. Accordingly, prosecutors should not seek to obtain
44 waivers of preliminary hearings or other important pretrial rights from unrepresented accused
45 persons. Paragraph (c) does not apply, however, to an accused appearing pro se with the approval of

1 the tribunal. Nor does it forbid the lawful questioning of an uncharged suspect who has knowingly
2 waived the rights to counsel and silence.

3 [3] The exception in paragraph (d) recognizes that a prosecutor may seek an appropriate
4 protective order from the tribunal if disclosure of information to the defense could result in
5 substantial harm to an individual or to the public interest.

6 [4] Paragraph (e) is intended to limit the issuance of lawyer subpoenas in grand jury and
7 other criminal proceedings to those situations in which there is a genuine need to intrude into the
8 client-lawyer relationship.

9 [5] Paragraph (f) supplements Rule 3.6, which prohibits extrajudicial statements that have a
10 substantial likelihood of prejudicing an adjudicatory proceeding. In the context of a criminal
11 prosecution, a prosecutor's extrajudicial statement can create the additional problem of increasing
12 public condemnation of the accused. Although the announcement of an indictment, for example, will
13 necessarily have severe consequences for the accused, a prosecutor can, and should, avoid comments
14 which have no legitimate law enforcement purpose and have a substantial likelihood of increasing
15 public opprobrium of the accused. Nothing in this Comment is intended to restrict the statements
16 which a prosecutor may make which comply with Rule 3.6(b) or 3.6(c).

17 [6] Like other lawyers, prosecutors are subject to Rules 5.1 and 5.3, which relate to
18 responsibilities regarding lawyers and nonlawyers who work for or are associated with the lawyer's
19 office. Paragraph (f) reminds the prosecutor of the importance of these obligations in connection
20 with the unique dangers of improper extrajudicial statements in a criminal case. In addition,
21 paragraph (f) requires a prosecutor to exercise reasonable care to prevent persons assisting or
22 associated with the prosecutor from making improper extrajudicial statements, even when such
23 persons are not under the direct supervision of the prosecutor. Ordinarily, the reasonable care
24 standard will be satisfied if the prosecutor issues the appropriate cautions to law-enforcement
25 personnel and other relevant individuals.

26 [7] When a prosecutor knows of new, credible and material evidence creating a reasonable
27 likelihood that a person outside the prosecutor's jurisdiction was convicted of a crime that the person
28 did not commit, paragraph (g) requires prompt disclosure to the court or other appropriate authority,
29 such as the chief prosecutor of the jurisdiction where the conviction occurred. If the conviction was
30 obtained in the prosecutor's jurisdiction, paragraph (g) requires the prosecutor to examine the
31 evidence and undertake further investigation to determine whether the defendant is in fact innocent
32 or make reasonable efforts to cause another appropriate authority to undertake the necessary
33 investigation, and to promptly disclose the evidence to the court and, absent court-authorized delay,
34 to the defendant. Consistent with the objectives of Rules 4.2 and 4.3, disclosure to a represented
35 defendant must be made through the defendant's counsel, and, in the case of an unrepresented
36 defendant, would ordinarily be accompanied by a request to a court for the appointment of counsel to
37 assist the defendant in taking such legal measures as may be appropriate.

38 [8] Under paragraph (h), once the prosecutor knows of clear and convincing evidence that
39 the defendant was convicted of an offense that the defendant did not commit, the prosecutor must
40 seek to remedy the conviction. Necessary steps may include disclosure of the evidence to the
41 defendant, requesting that the court appoint counsel for an unrepresented indigent defendant and,
42 where appropriate, notifying the court that the prosecutor has knowledge that the defendant did not
43 commit the offense of which the defendant was convicted.

44 [9] A prosecutor's independent judgment, made in good faith, that the new evidence is not
45 of such nature as to trigger the obligations of sections (g) and (h), though subsequently determined to
46 have been erroneous, does not constitute a violation of this Rule.

47

48

1
2 **SCR 20:3.9 Advocate in nonadjudicative proceedings**

3 A lawyer representing a client before a legislative body of
4 administrative agency in a nonadjudicative proceeding shall disclose
5 that the appearance is in a representative capacity and shall conform to
6 the provisions of SCR 20:3.3(a) through (c), SCR 20:3.4(a) through (c),
7 and SCR 20:3.5.
8

9 **ABA COMMENT**
10

11 [1] In representation before bodies such as legislatures, municipal councils, and executive
12 and administrative agencies acting in a rule-making or policy-making capacity, lawyers present facts,
13 formulate issues and advance argument in the matters under consideration. The decision-making
14 body, like a court, should be able to rely on the integrity of the submissions made to it. A lawyer
15 appearing before such a body must deal with it honestly and in conformity with applicable rules of
16 procedure. See Rules 3.3(a) through (c), 3.4(a) through (c) and 3.5.

17 [2] Lawyers have no exclusive right to appear before nonadjudicative bodies, as they do
18 before a court. The requirements of this Rule therefore may subject lawyers to regulations
19 inapplicable to advocates who are not lawyers. However, legislatures and administrative agencies
20 have a right to expect lawyers to deal with them as they deal with courts.

21 [3] This Rule only applies when a lawyer represents a client in connection with an official
22 hearing or meeting of a governmental agency or a legislative body to which the lawyer or the
23 lawyer's client is presenting evidence or argument. It does not apply to representation of a client in a
24 negotiation or other bilateral transaction with a governmental agency or in connection with an
25 application for a license or other privilege or the client's compliance with generally applicable
26 reporting requirements, such as the filing of income-tax returns. Nor does it apply to the
27 representation of a client in connection with an investigation or examination of the client's affairs
28 conducted by government investigators or examiners. Representation in such matters is governed by
29 Rules 4.1 through 4.4.
30

31 **SCR 20:3.10 Omitted.**
32

33 **TRANSACTIONS WITH PERSONS**
34 **OTHER THAN CLIENTS**

35 **SCR 20:4.1 Truthfulness in statements to others**
36

37 (a) In the course of representing a client a lawyer shall not
38 knowingly:

39 (1) make a false statement of a material fact or law to a 3rd
40 person; or

41 (2) fail to disclose a material fact to a 3rd person when disclosure
42 is necessary to avoid assisting a criminal or fraudulent act by a client,
43 unless disclosure is prohibited by SCR 20:1.6.

1 (b) Notwithstanding par. (a), SCR 20:5.3(c)(1), and SCR 20:8.4,
2 a lawyer may advise or supervise others with respect to lawful
3 investigative activities.
4

5 WISCONSIN COMMITTEE COMMENT 6

7 Paragraph (b) has no counterpart in the Model Rule. As a general matter, a lawyer may
8 advise a client concerning whether proposed conduct is lawful. See SCR 20:1.2(d). This is allowed
9 even in circumstances in which the conduct involves some form of deception, for example the use of
10 testers to investigate unlawful discrimination or the use of undercover detectives to investigate theft
11 in the workplace. When the lawyer personally participates in the deception, however, serious
12 questions arise. See SCR 20:8.4(c). Paragraph (b) recognizes that, where the law expressly permits it,
13 lawyers may have limited involvement in certain investigative activities involving deception.

14 Lawful investigative activity may involve a lawyer as an advisor or supervisor only when
15 the lawyer in good faith believes there is a reasonable possibility that unlawful activity has taken
16 place, is taking place or will take place in the foreseeable future.

17 ABA COMMENT 18 19

20 **Misrepresentation**

21 [1] A lawyer is required to be truthful when dealing with others on a client's behalf, but
22 generally has no affirmative duty to inform an opposing party of relevant facts. A misrepresentation
23 can occur if the lawyer incorporates or affirms a statement of another person that the lawyer knows is
24 false. Misrepresentations can also occur by partially true but misleading statements or omissions that
25 are the equivalent of affirmative false statements. For dishonest conduct that does not amount to a
26 false statement or for misrepresentations by a lawyer other than in the course of representing a client,
27 see Rule 8.4.

28 **Statements of Fact**

29 [2] This Rule refers to statements of fact. Whether a particular statement should be regarded
30 as one of fact can depend on the circumstances. Under generally accepted conventions in negotiation,
31 certain types of statements ordinarily are not taken as statements of material fact. Estimates of price
32 or value placed on the subject of a transaction and a party's intentions as to an acceptable settlement
33 of a claim are ordinarily in this category, and so is the existence of an undisclosed principal except
34 where nondisclosure of the principal would constitute fraud. Lawyers should be mindful of their
35 obligations under applicable law to avoid criminal and tortious misrepresentation.

36 **Crime or Fraud by Client**

37 [3] Under Rule 1.2(d), a lawyer is prohibited from counseling or assisting a client in
38 conduct that the lawyer knows is criminal or fraudulent. Paragraph (b) states a specific application of
39 the principle set forth in Rule 1.2(d) and addresses the situation where a client's crime or fraud takes
40 the form of a lie or misrepresentation. Ordinarily, a lawyer can avoid assisting a client's crime or
41 fraud by withdrawing from the representation. Sometimes it may be necessary for the lawyer to give
42 notice of the fact of withdrawal and to disaffirm an opinion, document, affirmation or the like. In
43 extreme cases, substantive law may require a lawyer to disclose information relating to the
44 representation to avoid being deemed to have assisted the client's crime or fraud. If the lawyer can
45 avoid assisting a client's crime or fraud only by disclosing this information, then under paragraph (b)
46 the lawyer is required to do so, unless the disclosure is prohibited by Rule 1.6.

1
2 **SCR 20:4.2 Communication with person represented by**
3 **counsel**

4 (a) In representing a client, a lawyer shall not communicate about
5 the subject of the representation with a person the lawyer knows to be
6 represented by another lawyer in the matter, unless the lawyer has the
7 consent of the other lawyer or is authorized to do so by law or a court
8 order.

9 (b) An otherwise unrepresented party to whom limited scope
10 representation is being provided or has been provided in accordance
11 with SCR 20:1.2(c) is considered to be unrepresented for purposes of
12 this rule unless the lawyer providing limited scope representation
13 notifies the opposing lawyer otherwise.
14

15 **ABA COMMENT**
16

17 [1] This Rule contributes to the proper functioning of the legal system by protecting a
18 person who has chosen to be represented by a lawyer in a matter against possible overreaching by
19 other lawyers who are participating in the matter, interference by those lawyers with the client-
20 lawyer relationship and the uncounselled disclosure of information relating to the representation.

21 [2] This Rule applies to communications with any person who is represented by counsel
22 concerning the matter to which the communication relates.

23 [3] The Rule applies even though the represented person initiates or consents to the
24 communication. A lawyer must immediately terminate communication with a person if, after
25 commencing communication, the lawyer learns that the person is one with whom communication is
26 not permitted by this Rule.

27 [4] This Rule does not prohibit communication with a represented person, or an employee
28 or agent of such a person, concerning matters outside the representation. For example, the existence
29 of a controversy between a government agency and a private party, or between two organizations,
30 does not prohibit a lawyer for either from communicating with nonlawyer representatives of the
31 other regarding a separate matter. Nor does this Rule preclude communication with a represented
32 person who is seeking advice from a lawyer who is not otherwise representing a client in the matter.
33 A lawyer may not make a communication prohibited by this Rule through the acts of another. See
34 Rule 8.4(a). Parties to a matter may communicate directly with each other, and a lawyer is not
35 prohibited from advising a client concerning a communication that the client is legally entitled to
36 make. Also, a lawyer having independent justification or legal authorization for communicating with
37 a represented person is permitted to do so.

38 [5] Communications authorized by law may include communications by a lawyer on behalf
39 of a client who is exercising a constitutional or other legal right to communicate with the
40 government. Communications authorized by law may also include investigative activities of lawyers
41 representing governmental entities, directly or through investigative agents, prior to the
42 commencement of criminal or civil enforcement proceedings. When communicating with the
43 accused in a criminal matter, a government lawyer must comply with this Rule in addition to
44 honoring the constitutional rights of the accused. The fact that a communication does not violate a
45 state or federal constitutional right is insufficient to establish that the communication is permissible
46 under this Rule.

1 [6] A lawyer who is uncertain whether a communication with a represented person is
2 permissible may seek a court order. A lawyer may also seek a court order in exceptional
3 circumstances to authorize a communication that would otherwise be prohibited by this Rule, for
4 example, where communication with a person represented by counsel is necessary to avoid
5 reasonably certain injury.

6 [7] In the case of a represented organization, this Rule prohibits communications with a
7 constituent of the organization who supervises, directs or regularly consults with the organization's
8 lawyer concerning the matter or has authority to obligate the organization with respect to the matter
9 or whose act or omission in connection with the matter may be imputed to the organization for
10 purposes of civil or criminal liability. Consent of the organization's lawyer is not required for
11 communication with a former constituent. If a constituent of the organization is represented in the
12 matter by his or her own counsel, the consent by that counsel to a communication will be sufficient
13 for purposes of this Rule. Compare Rule 3.4(f). In communicating with a current or former
14 constituent of an organization, a lawyer must not use methods of obtaining evidence that violate the
15 legal rights of the organization. See Rule 4.4.

16 [8] The prohibition on communications with a represented person only applies in
17 circumstances where the lawyer knows that the person is in fact represented in the matter to be
18 discussed. This means that the lawyer has actual knowledge of the fact of the representation; but
19 such actual knowledge may be inferred from the circumstances. See Rule 1.0(f). Thus, the lawyer
20 cannot evade the requirement of obtaining the consent of counsel by closing eyes to the obvious.

21 [9] In the event the person with whom the lawyer communicates is not known to be
22 represented by counsel in the matter, the lawyer's communications are subject to Rule 4.3.

23
24 **SCR 20:4.3 Dealing with unrepresented person**

25 (a) In dealing on behalf of a client with a person who is not
26 represented by counsel, a lawyer shall inform such person of the
27 lawyer's role in the matter. When the lawyer knows or reasonably
28 should know that the unrepresented person misunderstands the lawyer's
29 role in the matter, the lawyer shall make reasonable efforts to correct the
30 misunderstanding. The lawyer shall not give legal advice to an
31 unrepresented person, other than the advice to secure counsel, if the
32 lawyer knows or reasonably should know that the interests of such a
33 person are or have a reasonable possibility of being in conflict with the
34 interests of the client.

35 (b) An otherwise unrepresented party to whom limited scope
36 representation is being provided or has been provided in accordance
37 with SCR 20.1.2(c) is considered to be unrepresented for purposes of
38 this rule unless the lawyer providing limited scope representation
39 notifies the opposing lawyer otherwise.
40

41 **WISCONSIN COMMENT**
42

43 A municipal prosecutor's obligations under this rule should be read in conjunction with
44 SCR 20:3.8(d) and (f).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

WISCONSIN COMMITTEE COMMENT

This Wisconsin Supreme Court Rule differs from the Model Rule in requiring lawyers to inform unrepresented persons of the lawyer's role in the matter, whereas the Model Rule requires only that the lawyer not state or imply that the lawyer is disinterested. A similar obligation to clarify the lawyer's role is expressed in SCR 20:1.13(f), SCR 20:2.4, SCR 20:3.8(b), and SCR 20:4.1.

ABA COMMENT

[1] An unrepresented person, particularly one not experienced in dealing with legal matters, might assume that a lawyer is disinterested in loyalties or is a disinterested authority on the law even when the lawyer represents a client. In order to avoid a misunderstanding, a lawyer will typically need to identify the lawyer's client and, where necessary, explain that the client has interests opposed to those of the unrepresented person. For misunderstandings that sometimes arise when a lawyer for an organization deals with an unrepresented constituent, see Rule 1.13(f).

[2] The Rule distinguishes between situations involving unrepresented persons whose interests may be adverse to those of the lawyer's client and those in which the person's interests are not in conflict with the client's. In the former situation, the possibility that the lawyer will compromise the unrepresented person's interests is so great that the Rule prohibits the giving of any advice, apart from the advice to obtain counsel. Whether a lawyer is giving impermissible advice may depend on the experience and sophistication of the unrepresented person, as well as the setting in which the behavior and comments occur. This Rule does not prohibit a lawyer from negotiating the terms of a transaction or settling a dispute with an unrepresented person. So long as the lawyer has explained that the lawyer represents an adverse party and is not representing the person, the lawyer may inform the person of the terms on which the lawyer's client will enter into an agreement or settle a matter, prepare documents that require the person's signature and explain the lawyer's own view of the meaning of the document or the lawyer's view of the underlying legal obligations.

SCR 20:4.4 Respect for rights of 3rd persons

(a) In representing a client, a lawyer shall not use means that have no substantial purpose other than to embarrass, delay, or burden a 3rd person, or use methods of obtaining evidence that violate the legal rights of such a person.

(b) A lawyer who receives a document or electronically stored information relating to the representation of the lawyer's client and knows or reasonably should know that the document or electronically stored information was inadvertently sent shall promptly notify the sender.

(c) A lawyer who receives a document or electronically stored information relating to the representation of the lawyer's client and knows or reasonably should know that the document or electronically stored information contains information protected by the lawyer-client privilege or the work product rule and has been disclosed to the lawyer

1 inadvertently shall:

2 (1) immediately terminate review or use of the document or
3 electronically stored information;

4 (2) promptly notify the person or the person's lawyer if
5 communication with the person is prohibited by SCR 20:4.2 of the
6 inadvertent disclosure; and

7 (3) abide by that person's or lawyer's instructions with respect to
8 disposition of the document or electronically stored information until
9 obtaining a definitive ruling on the proper disposition from a court with
10 appropriate jurisdiction.

11 WISCONSIN COMMENT

12
13
14 This Rule, unlike its Model Rule counterpart, contains paragraph (c), which
15 specifically applies to information protected by the lawyer-client privilege and the work product rule.
16 If a lawyer knows that the document or electronically stored information contains information
17 protected by the lawyer-client privilege or the work product rule and has been disclosed to the lawyer
18 inadvertently, then this Rule requires the lawyer to immediately terminate review or use of the
19 document or electronically stored information, promptly notify the person or the person's lawyer if
20 communication with the person is prohibited by SCR 20:4.2 of the inadvertent disclosure, and abide
21 by that person's or lawyer's instructions with respect to disposition of the document or electronically
22 stored information until obtaining a definitive ruling on the proper disposition from a court with
23 appropriate jurisdiction.

24 Due to substantive and numbering differences, special care should be taken in consulting
25 the ABA Comment.

26 ABA COMMENT

27
28
29 [1] Responsibility to a client requires a lawyer to subordinate the interests of others to those
30 of the client, but that responsibility does not imply that a lawyer may disregard the rights of third
31 persons. It is impractical to catalogue all such rights, but they include legal restrictions on methods of
32 obtaining evidence from third persons and unwarranted intrusions into privileged relationships, such
33 as the client-lawyer relationship.

34 [2] Paragraph (b) recognizes that lawyers sometimes receive a document or electronically
35 stored information that was mistakenly sent or produced by opposing parties or their lawyers. A
36 document or electronically stored information is inadvertently sent when it is accidentally transmitted,
37 such as when an email or letter is misaddressed or a document or electronically stored information is
38 accidentally included with information that was intentionally transmitted. If a lawyer knows or
39 reasonably should know that such a document or electronically stored information was sent
40 inadvertently, then this Rule requires the lawyer to promptly notify the sender in order to permit that
41 person to take protective measures. Whether the lawyer is required to take additional steps, such as
42 returning the original document or electronically stored information, is a matter of law beyond the
43 scope of these Rules, as is the question of whether the privileged status of a document or
44 electronically stored information has been waived. Similarly, this Rule does not address the legal
45 duties of a lawyer who receives a document or electronically stored information that the lawyer
46 knows or reasonably should know may have been inappropriately obtained by the sending person.
47 For purposes of this Rule, "document or electronically stored information" includes, in addition to
48 paper documents, email or other forms of electronically stored information, including embedded data

1 (commonly referred to as "metadata"), that is subject to being read or put into readable form.
2 Metadata in electronic documents creates an obligation under this Rule only if the receiving lawyer
3 knows or reasonably should know that the metadata was inadvertently sent to the receiving lawyer.

4 [3] Some lawyers may choose to return a document or delete electronically stored
5 information unread, for example, when the lawyer learns before receiving it that it was inadvertently
6 sent. Where a lawyer is not required by applicable law to do so, the decision to voluntarily return
7 such a document or delete electronically stored information is a matter of professional judgment
8 ordinarily reserved to the lawyer. See Rules 1.2 and 1.4.

9
10 **SCR 20:4.5 Guardians ad litem**

11 A lawyer appointed to act as a guardian ad litem or as an attorney
12 for the best interests of an individual represents, and shall act in, the
13 individual's best interests, even if doing so is contrary to the individual's
14 wishes. A lawyer so appointed shall comply with the Rules of
15 Professional Conduct that are consistent with the lawyer's role in
16 representing the best interests of the individual rather than the individual
17 personally.
18

19 **WISCONSIN COMMENT**
20

21 The Model Rules do not contain a counterpart provision. This rule reflects established case
22 law that a guardian ad litem in Wisconsin is a lawyer who represents the best interests of an
23 individual, not the individual personally. See *Paige K.B. v. Molepske*, 219 Wis. 2d 418, 580 N.W.2d
24 289 (1998); *In re Steveon R.A.*, 196 Wis. 2d 171, 537 N.W.2d 142 (Ct. App. 1995). Supreme Court
25 Rules, Chapters 35—36, govern eligibility for appointment as guardian ad litem in certain situations.

26 This rule expressly recognizes that a lawyer who represents the best interests of the
27 individual does not have a client in the traditional sense but must comply with the Rules of
28 Professional Conduct to the extent the rules apply.
29

30
31 **LAW FIRMS AND ASSOCIATIONS**
32

33 **SCR 20:5.1 Responsibilities of partners, managers, and**
34 **supervisory lawyers**

35 (a) A partner in a law firm, and a lawyer who individually or
36 together with other lawyers possesses comparable managerial authority
37 in a law firm, shall make reasonable efforts to ensure that the firm has in
38 effect measures giving reasonable assurance that all lawyers in the firm
39 conform to the Rules of Professional Conduct.

40 (b) A lawyer having direct supervisory authority over another
41 lawyer shall make reasonable efforts to ensure that the other lawyer
42 conforms to the Rules of Professional Conduct.

1 (c) A lawyer shall be responsible for another lawyer's violation of
2 the Rules of Professional Conduct if:

3 (1) the lawyer orders or, with knowledge of the specific conduct,
4 ratifies the conduct involved; or

5 (2) the lawyer is a partner or has comparable managerial
6 authority in the law firm in which the other lawyer practices, or has
7 direct supervisory authority over the other lawyer, and knows of the
8 conduct at a time when its consequences can be avoided or mitigated
9 but fails to take reasonable remedial action.

10
11 ABA COMMENT
12

13 [1] Paragraph (a) applies to lawyers who have managerial authority over the professional
14 work of a firm. See Rule 1.0(c). This includes members of a partnership, the shareholders in a law
15 firm organized as a professional corporation, and members of other associations authorized to
16 practice law; lawyers having comparable managerial authority in a legal services organization or a
17 law department of an enterprise or government agency; and lawyers who have intermediate
18 managerial responsibilities in a firm. Paragraph (b) applies to lawyers who have supervisory
19 authority over the work of other lawyers in a firm.

20 [2] Paragraph (a) requires lawyers with managerial authority within a firm to make
21 reasonable efforts to establish internal policies and procedures designed to provide reasonable
22 assurance that all lawyers in the firm will conform to the Rules of Professional Conduct. Such
23 policies and procedures include those designed to detect and resolve conflicts of interest, identify
24 dates by which actions must be taken in pending matters, account for client funds and property and
25 ensure that inexperienced lawyers are properly supervised.

26 [3] Other measures that may be required to fulfill the responsibility prescribed in paragraph
27 (a) can depend on the firm's structure and the nature of its practice. In a small firm of experienced
28 lawyers, informal supervision and periodic review of compliance with the required systems
29 ordinarily will suffice. In a large firm, or in practice situations in which difficult ethical problems
30 frequently arise, more elaborate measures may be necessary. Some firms, for example, have a
31 procedure whereby junior lawyers can make confidential referral of ethical problems directly to a
32 designated senior partner or special committee. See Rule 5.2. Firms, whether large or small, may also
33 rely on continuing legal education in professional ethics. In any event, the ethical atmosphere of a
34 firm can influence the conduct of all its members and the partners may not assume that all lawyers
35 associated with the firm will inevitably conform to the Rules.

36 [4] Paragraph (c) expresses a general principle of personal responsibility for acts of another.
37 See also Rule 8.4(a).

38 [5] Paragraph (c)(2) defines the duty of a partner or other lawyer having comparable
39 managerial authority in a law firm, as well as a lawyer who has direct supervisory authority over
40 performance of specific legal work by another lawyer. Whether a lawyer has supervisory authority in
41 particular circumstances is a question of fact. Partners and lawyers with comparable authority have at
42 least indirect responsibility for all work being done by the firm, while a partner or manager in charge
43 of a particular matter ordinarily also has supervisory responsibility for the work of other firm lawyers
44 engaged in the matter. Appropriate remedial action by a partner or managing lawyer would depend
45 on the immediacy of that lawyer's involvement and the seriousness of the misconduct. A supervisor
46 is required to intervene to prevent avoidable consequences of misconduct if the supervisor knows
47 that the misconduct occurred. Thus, if a supervising lawyer knows that a subordinate misrepresented

1 a matter to an opposing party in negotiation, the supervisor as well as the subordinate has a duty to
2 correct the resulting misapprehension.

3 [6] Professional misconduct by a lawyer under supervision could reveal a violation of
4 paragraph (b) on the part of the supervisory lawyer even though it does not entail a violation of
5 paragraph (c) because there was no direction, ratification or knowledge of the violation.

6 [7] Apart from this Rule and Rule 8.4(a), a lawyer does not have disciplinary liability for
7 the conduct of a partner, associate or subordinate. Whether a lawyer may be liable civilly or
8 criminally for another lawyer's conduct is a question of law beyond the scope of these Rules.

9 [8] The duties imposed by this Rule on managing and supervising lawyers do not alter the
10 personal duty of each lawyer in a firm to abide by the Rules of Professional Conduct. See Rule
11 5.2(a).

12 13 **SCR 20:5.2 Responsibilities of a subordinate lawyer**

14 (a) A lawyer is bound by the Rules of Professional Conduct
15 notwithstanding that the lawyer acted at the direction of another person.

16 (b) A subordinate lawyer does not violate the Rules of
17 Professional Conduct if that lawyer acts in accordance with a
18 supervisory lawyer's reasonable resolution of an arguable question of
19 professional duty.
20

21 **ABA COMMENT** 22

23 [1] Although a lawyer is not relieved of responsibility for a violation by the fact that the
24 lawyer acted at the direction of a supervisor, that fact may be relevant in determining whether a
25 lawyer had the knowledge required to render conduct a violation of the Rules. For example, if a
26 subordinate filed a frivolous pleading at the direction of a supervisor, the subordinate would not be
27 guilty of a professional violation unless the subordinate knew of the document's frivolous character.

28 [2] When lawyers in a supervisor-subordinate relationship encounter a matter involving
29 professional judgment as to ethical duty, the supervisor may assume responsibility for making the
30 judgment. Otherwise a consistent course of action or position could not be taken. If the question can
31 reasonably be answered only one way, the duty of both lawyers is clear and they are equally
32 responsible for fulfilling it. However, if the question is reasonably arguable, someone has to decide
33 upon the course of action. That authority ordinarily reposes in the supervisor, and a subordinate may
34 be guided accordingly. For example, if a question arises whether the interests of two clients conflict
35 under Rule 1.7, the supervisor's reasonable resolution of the question should protect the subordinate
36 professionally if the resolution is subsequently challenged.

37 38 **SCR 20:5.3 Responsibilities regarding nonlawyer assistance**

39 With respect to a nonlawyer employed or retained by or
40 associated with a lawyer:

41 (a) a partner, and a lawyer who individually or together with
42 other lawyers possesses comparable managerial authority in a law firm
43 shall make reasonable efforts to ensure that the firm has in effect
44 measures giving reasonable assurance that the person's conduct is

1 compatible with the professional obligations of the lawyer;

2 (b) a lawyer having direct supervisory authority over the
3 nonlawyer shall make reasonable efforts to ensure that the person's
4 conduct is compatible with the professional obligations of the lawyer;
5 and

6 (c) a lawyer shall be responsible for conduct of such a person that
7 would be a violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct if engaged in
8 by a lawyer if:

9 (1) the lawyer orders or, with the knowledge of the specific
10 conduct, ratifies the conduct involved; or

11 (2) the lawyer is a partner or has comparable managerial
12 authority in the law firm in which the person is employed, or has direct
13 supervisory authority over the person, and knows of the conduct at a
14 time when its consequences can be avoided or mitigated but fails to take
15 reasonable remedial action.
16

17 ABA COMMENT 18

19 [1] Paragraph (a) requires lawyers with managerial authority within a law firm to make
20 reasonable efforts to ensure that the firm has in effect measures giving reasonable assurance that
21 nonlawyers in the firm and nonlawyers outside the firm who work on firm matters act in a way
22 compatible with the professional obligations of the lawyer. See Comment [6] to Rule 1.1 (retaining
23 lawyers outside the firm) and Comment [1] to Rule 5.1 (responsibilities with respect to lawyers
24 within a firm). Paragraph (b) applies to lawyers who have supervisory authority over such
25 nonlawyers within or outside the firm. Paragraph (c) specifies the circumstances in which a lawyer is
26 responsible for the conduct of such nonlawyers inside or outside the firm that would be a violation of
27 the Rules of Professional Conduct if engaged in by a lawyer.

28 [2] Lawyers generally employ assistants in their practice, including secretaries,
29 investigators, law student interns, and paraprofessionals. Such assistants, whether employees or
30 independent contractors, act for the lawyer in rendition of the lawyer's professional services. A
31 lawyer must give such assistants appropriate instruction and supervision concerning the ethical
32 aspects of their employment, particularly regarding the obligation not to disclose information relating
33 to representation of the client, and should be responsible for their work product. The measures
34 employed in supervising nonlawyers should take account of the fact that they do not have legal
35 training and are not subject to professional discipline.

36 [3] A lawyer may use nonlawyers outside the firm to assist the lawyer in rendering legal
37 services to the client. Examples include the retention of an investigative or paraprofessional service,
38 hiring a document management company to create and maintain a database for complex litigation,
39 sending client documents to a third party for printing or scanning, and using an Internet-based
40 service to store client information. When using such services outside the firm, a lawyer must make
41 reasonable efforts to ensure that the services are provided in a manner that is compatible with the
42 lawyer's professional obligations. The extent of this obligation will depend upon the circumstances,
43 including the education, experience and reputation of the nonlawyer; the nature of the services
44 involved; the terms of any arrangements concerning the protection of client information; and the
45 legal and ethical environments of the jurisdictions in which the services will be performed,
46 particularly with regard to confidentiality. See also Rules 1.1 (competence), 1.2 (allocation of
47 authority), 1.4 (communication with client), 1.6 (confidentiality), 5.4(a) (professional independence)

1 of the lawyer), and 5.5(a) (unauthorized practice of law). When retaining or directing a nonlawyer
2 outside the firm, a lawyer should communicate directions appropriate under the circumstances to
3 give reasonable assurance that the nonlawyer's conduct is compatible with the professional
4 obligations of the lawyer.

5 [4] Where the client directs the selection of a particular nonlawyer service provider outside
6 the firm, the lawyer ordinarily should agree with the client concerning the allocation of responsibility
7 for monitoring as between the client and the lawyer. See Rule 1.2. When making such an allocation
8 in a matter pending before a tribunal, lawyers and parties may have additional obligations that are a
9 matter of law beyond the scope of these Rules.

10

11

12

SCR 20:5.4 Professional independence of a lawyer

13

(a) A lawyer or law firm shall not share legal fees with a
14 nonlawyer, except that:

15

(1) an agreement by a lawyer with the lawyer's firm, partner, or
16 associate may provide for the payment of money, over a reasonable
17 period of time after the lawyer's death, to the lawyer's estate or to one or
18 more specified persons;

19

(2) a lawyer who purchases the practice of a deceased, disabled,
20 or disappeared lawyer may, pursuant to the provisions of SCR 20:1.17,
21 pay to the estate or other representative of that lawyer the agreed-upon
22 purchase price;

23

(3) a lawyer or law firm may include nonlawyer employees in a
24 compensation or retirement plan, even though the plan is based in whole
25 or in part on a profit-sharing arrangement; and

26

(4) a lawyer may share court-awarded legal fees with a nonprofit
27 organization that employed, retained or recommended employment of
28 the lawyer in the matter.

29

(b) A lawyer shall not form a partnership with a nonlawyer if any
30 of the activities of the partnership consist of the practice of law.

31

(c) A lawyer shall not permit a person who recommends,
32 employs, or pays the lawyer to render legal services for another to direct
33 or regulate the lawyer's professional judgment in rendering such legal
34 services.

35

(d) A lawyer shall not practice with or in the form of a
36 professional corporation or association authorized to practice law for a
37 profit, if:

38

(1) a nonlawyer owns any interest therein, except that a fiduciary
39 representative of the estate of a lawyer may hold the stock or interest of
40 the lawyer for a reasonable time during administration;

41

(2) a nonlawyer is a corporate director or officer thereof or

1 occupies the position of similar responsibility in any form of association
2 other than a corporation; or
3 (3) a nonlawyer has the right to direct or control the professional
4 judgment of a lawyer.
5
6

7 ABA COMMENT

8

9 [1] The provisions of this Rule express traditional limitations on sharing fees. These
10 limitations are to protect the lawyer's professional independence of judgment. Where someone other
11 than the client pays the lawyer's fee or salary, or recommends employment of the lawyer, that
12 arrangement does not modify the lawyer's obligation to the client. As stated in paragraph (c), such
13 arrangements should not interfere with the lawyer's professional judgment.

14 [2] This Rule also expresses traditional limitations on permitting a third party to direct or
15 regulate the lawyer's professional judgment in rendering legal services to another. See also Rule
16 1.8(f) (lawyer may accept compensation from a third party as long as there is no interference with the
17 lawyer's independent professional judgment and the client gives informed consent).
18

19 **SCR 20:5.5 Unauthorized practice of law; multijurisdictional** 20 **practice of law**

21 (a) A lawyer shall not:

22 (1) practice law in a jurisdiction where doing so violates the
23 regulation of the legal profession in that jurisdiction except that a lawyer
24 admitted to practice in Wisconsin does not violate this rule by conduct
25 in another jurisdiction that is permitted in Wisconsin under SCR 20:5.5
26 (c) and (d) for lawyers not admitted in Wisconsin; or

27 (2) assist another in practicing law in a jurisdiction where doing
28 so violates the regulation of the legal profession in that jurisdiction.

29 (b) A lawyer who is not admitted to practice in this jurisdiction
30 shall not:

31 (1) except as authorized by this rule or other law, establish an
32 office or maintain a systematic and continuous presence in this
33 jurisdiction for the practice of law; or

34 (2) hold out to the public or otherwise represent that the
35 lawyer is admitted to the practice of law in this jurisdiction.

36 (c) Except as authorized by this rule, a lawyer who is not
37 admitted to practice in this jurisdiction but who is admitted to practice
38 in another jurisdiction of the United States and not disbarred or
39 suspended from practice in any jurisdiction for disciplinary reasons or
40 for medical incapacity, may not provide legal services in this
41 jurisdiction except when providing services on an occasional basis in
42 this jurisdiction that:

1 (1) are undertaken in association with a lawyer who is admitted to
2 practice in this jurisdiction and who actively participates in the matter;
3 or

4 (2) are in, or reasonably related to, a pending or potential
5 proceeding before a tribunal in this or another jurisdiction, if the lawyer,
6 or a person the lawyer is assisting, is authorized by law or order to
7 appear in such proceeding or reasonably expects to be so authorized; or

8 (3) are in, or reasonably related to, a pending or potential
9 arbitration, mediation, or other alternative dispute resolution proceeding
10 in this or another jurisdiction, if the services arise out of, or are
11 reasonably related to, the lawyer's practice in a jurisdiction in which the
12 lawyer is admitted to practice and are not services for which the forum
13 requires pro hac vice admission; or

14 (4) are not within subsections (c)(2) or (c)(3) and arise out of, or
15 are reasonably related to, the lawyer's practice in a jurisdiction in which
16 the lawyer is admitted to practice.

17 (d) A lawyer admitted to practice in another United States
18 jurisdiction or in a foreign jurisdiction, who is not disbarred or
19 suspended from practice in any jurisdiction for disciplinary reasons or
20 medical incapacity, may provide legal services through an office or
21 other systematic and continuous presence in this jurisdiction that:

22 (1) are provided to the lawyer's employer or its organizational
23 affiliates after compliance with SCR 10.03 (4) (f), and are not services
24 for which the forum requires pro hac vice admission; or

25 (2) are services that the lawyer is authorized to provide by federal
26 law or other law or other rule of this jurisdiction.

27 (e) A lawyer admitted to practice in another jurisdiction of the
28 United States or a foreign jurisdiction who provides legal services in
29 this jurisdiction pursuant to sub. (c) and (d) above shall consent to the
30 appointment of the Clerk of the Wisconsin Supreme Court as agent
31 upon whom service of process may be made for all actions against the
32 lawyer or the lawyer's firm that may arise out of the lawyer's
33 participation in legal matters in this jurisdiction.
34

35 WISCONSIN COMMITTEE COMMENT 36

37 See also SCR 10.03(4) (requirements for admission pro hac vice and registration of in-
38 house counsel).

39 This Wisconsin Supreme Court Rule differs from the Model Rule in that an attorney is not
40 precluded from seeking admission pro hac vice if the attorney is administratively suspended from
41 practice in a jurisdiction other than the attorney's primary jurisdiction of practice. An attorney must

1 not be suspended or disbarred in his or her primary jurisdiction of practice. Due to substantive and
2 numbering differences, special care should be taken in consulting the ABA Comment.

3 4 ABA COMMENT

5
6 [1] A lawyer may practice law only in a jurisdiction in which the lawyer is authorized to
7 practice. A lawyer may be admitted to practice law in a jurisdiction on a regular basis or may be
8 authorized by court rule or order or by law to practice for a limited purpose or on a restricted basis.
9 Paragraph (a) applies to unauthorized practice of law by a lawyer, whether through the lawyer's
10 direct action or by the lawyer assisting another person. For example, a lawyer may not assist a person
11 in practicing law in violation of the rules governing professional conduct in that person's jurisdiction.

12 [2] The definition of the practice of law is established by law and varies from one
13 jurisdiction to another. Whatever the definition, limiting the practice of law to members of the bar
14 protects the public against rendition of legal services by unqualified persons. This Rule does not
15 prohibit a lawyer from employing the services of paraprofessionals and delegating functions to them,
16 so long as the lawyer supervises the delegated work and retains responsibility for their work. See
17 Rule 5.3.

18 [3] A lawyer may provide professional advice and instruction to nonlawyers whose
19 employment requires knowledge of the law; for example, claims adjusters, employees of financial or
20 commercial institutions, social workers, accountants and persons employed in government agencies.
21 Lawyers also may assist independent nonlawyers, such as paraprofessionals, who are authorized by
22 the law of a jurisdiction to provide particular law-related services. In addition, a lawyer may counsel
23 nonlawyers who wish to proceed pro se.

24 [4] Other than as authorized by law or this Rule, a lawyer who is not admitted to practice
25 generally in this jurisdiction violates paragraph (b) (1) if the lawyer establishes an office or other
26 systematic and continuous presence in this jurisdiction for the practice of law. Presence may be
27 systematic and continuous even if the lawyer is not physically present here. Such a lawyer must not
28 hold out to the public or otherwise represent that the lawyer is admitted to practice law in this
29 jurisdiction. See also Rules 7.1(a) and 7.5(b).

30 [5] There are occasions in which a lawyer admitted to practice in another United States
31 jurisdiction, and not disbarred or suspended from practice in any jurisdiction, may provide legal
32 services on a temporary basis in this jurisdiction under circumstances that do not create an
33 unreasonable risk to the interests of their clients, the public or the courts. Paragraph (c) identifies four
34 such circumstances. The fact that conduct is not so identified does not imply that the conduct is or is
35 not authorized. With the exception of paragraphs (d)(1) and (d)(2), this Rule does not authorize a
36 lawyer to establish an office or other systematic and continuous presence in this jurisdiction without
37 being admitted to practice generally here.

38 [6] There is no single test to determine whether a lawyer's services are provided on a
39 "temporary basis" in this jurisdiction, and may therefore be permissible under paragraph (c). Services
40 may be "temporary" even though the lawyer provides services in this jurisdiction on a recurring
41 basis, or for an extended period of time, as when the lawyer is representing a client in a single
42 lengthy negotiation or litigation.

43 [7] Paragraphs (c) and (d) apply to lawyers who are admitted to practice law in any United
44 States jurisdiction, which includes the District of Columbia and any state, territory or commonwealth
45 of the United States. The word "admitted" in paragraph (c) contemplates that the lawyer is authorized
46 to practice in the jurisdiction in which the lawyer is admitted and excludes a lawyer who while
47 technically admitted is not authorized to practice, because, for example, the lawyer is on inactive
48 status.

1 [8] Paragraph (c)(1) recognizes that the interests of clients and the public are protected if a
2 lawyer admitted only in another jurisdiction associates with a lawyer licensed to practice in this
3 jurisdiction. For this paragraph to apply, however, the lawyer admitted to practice in this jurisdiction
4 must actively participate in and share responsibility for the representation of the client.

5 [9] Lawyers not admitted to practice generally in a jurisdiction may be authorized by law or
6 order of a tribunal or an administrative agency to appear before the tribunal or agency. This authority
7 may be granted pursuant to formal rules governing admission pro hac vice or pursuant to informal
8 practice of the tribunal or agency. Under paragraph (c)(2), a lawyer does not violate this Rule when
9 the lawyer appears before a tribunal or agency pursuant to such authority. To the extent that a court
10 rule or other law of this jurisdiction requires a lawyer who is not admitted to practice in this
11 jurisdiction to obtain admission pro hac vice before appearing before a tribunal or administrative
12 agency, this Rule requires the lawyer to obtain that authority.

13 [10] Paragraph (c)(2) also provides that a lawyer rendering services in this jurisdiction on a
14 temporary basis does not violate this Rule when the lawyer engages in conduct in anticipation of a
15 proceeding or hearing in a jurisdiction in which the lawyer is authorized to practice law or in which
16 the lawyer reasonably expects to be admitted pro hac vice. Examples of such conduct include
17 meetings with the client, interviews of potential witnesses, and the review of documents. Similarly, a
18 lawyer admitted only in another jurisdiction may engage in conduct temporarily in this jurisdiction in
19 connection with pending litigation in another jurisdiction in which the lawyer is or reasonably
20 expects to be authorized to appear, including taking depositions in this jurisdiction.

21 [11] When a lawyer has been or reasonably expects to be admitted to appear before a court
22 or administrative agency, paragraph (c)(2) also permits conduct by lawyers who are associated with
23 that lawyer in the matter, but who do not expect to appear before the court or administrative agency.
24 For example, subordinate lawyers may conduct research, review documents, and attend meetings
25 with witnesses in support of the lawyer responsible for the litigation.

26 [12] Paragraph (c)(3) permits a lawyer admitted to practice law in another jurisdiction to
27 perform services on a temporary basis in this jurisdiction if those services are in or reasonably related
28 to a pending or potential arbitration, mediation, or other alternative dispute resolution proceeding in
29 this or another jurisdiction, if the services arise out of or are reasonably related to the lawyer's
30 practice in a jurisdiction in which the lawyer is admitted to practice. The lawyer, however, must
31 obtain admission pro hac vice in the case of a court-annexed arbitration or mediation or otherwise if
32 court rules or law so require.

33 [13] Paragraph (c)(4) permits a lawyer admitted in another jurisdiction to provide certain
34 legal services on a temporary basis in this jurisdiction that arise out of or are reasonably related to the
35 lawyer's practice in a jurisdiction in which the lawyer is admitted but are not within paragraphs (c)(2)
36 or (c)(3). These services include both legal services and services that nonlawyers may perform but
37 that are considered the practice of law when performed by lawyers.

38 [14] Paragraphs (c)(3) and (c)(4) require that the services arise out of or be reasonably
39 related to the lawyer's practice in a jurisdiction in which the lawyer is admitted. A variety of factors
40 evidence such a relationship. The lawyer's client may have been previously represented by the
41 lawyer, or may be resident in or have substantial contacts with the jurisdiction in which the lawyer is
42 admitted. The matter, although involving other jurisdictions, may have a significant connection with
43 that jurisdiction. In other cases, significant aspects of the lawyer's work might be conducted in that
44 jurisdiction or a significant aspect of the matter may involve the law of that jurisdiction. The
45 necessary relationship might arise when the client's activities or the legal issues involve multiple
46 jurisdictions, such as when the officers of a multinational corporation survey potential business sites
47 and seek the services of their lawyer in assessing the relative merits of each. In addition, the services
48 may draw on the lawyer's recognized expertise developed through the regular practice of law on
49 behalf of clients in matters involving a particular body of federal, nationally-uniform, foreign, or
50 international law. Lawyers desiring to provide pro bono legal services on a temporary basis in a
51 jurisdiction that has been affected by a major disaster, but in which they are not otherwise authorized

1 to practice law, as well as lawyers from the affected jurisdiction who seek to practice law temporarily
2 in another jurisdiction, but in which they are not otherwise authorized to practice law, should consult
3 the [Model Court Rule on Provision of Legal Services Following Determination of Major Disaster].

4 [15] Paragraph (d) identifies two circumstances in which a lawyer who is admitted to
5 practice in another United States jurisdiction, and is not disbarred or suspended from practice in any
6 jurisdiction, may establish an office or other systematic and continuous presence in this jurisdiction
7 for the practice of law as well as provide legal services on a temporary basis. Except as provided in
8 paragraphs (d)(1) and (d)(2), a lawyer who is admitted to practice law in another jurisdiction and
9 who establishes an office or other systematic or continuous presence in this jurisdiction must become
10 admitted to practice law generally in this jurisdiction.

11 [16] Paragraph (d)(1) applies to a lawyer who is employed by a client to provide legal
12 services to the client or its organizational affiliates, i.e., entities that control, are controlled by, or are
13 under common control with the employer. This paragraph does not authorize the provision of
14 personal legal services to the employer's officers or employees. The paragraph applies to in-house
15 corporate lawyers, government lawyers and others who are employed to render legal services to the
16 employer. The lawyer's ability to represent the employer outside the jurisdiction in which the lawyer
17 is licensed generally serves the interests of the employer and does not create an unreasonable risk to
18 the client and others because the employer is well situated to assess the lawyer's qualifications and
19 the quality of the lawyer's work.

20 [17] If an employed lawyer establishes an office or other systematic presence in this
21 jurisdiction for the purpose of rendering legal services to the employer, the lawyer may be subject to
22 registration or other requirements, including assessments for client protection funds and mandatory
23 continuing legal education.

24 [18] Paragraph (d)(2) recognizes that a lawyer may provide legal services in a jurisdiction
25 in which the lawyer is not licensed when authorized to do so by federal or other law, which includes
26 statute, court rule, executive regulation or judicial precedent. See, e.g., The ABA Model Rule on
27 Practice Pending Admission.

28 [19] A lawyer who practices law in this jurisdiction pursuant to paragraphs (c) or (d) or
29 otherwise is subject to the disciplinary authority of this jurisdiction. See Rule 8.5(a).

30 [20] In some circumstances, a lawyer who practices law in this jurisdiction pursuant to
31 paragraphs (c) or (d) may have to inform the client that the lawyer is not licensed to practice law in
32 this jurisdiction. For example, that may be required when the representation occurs primarily in this
33 jurisdiction and requires knowledge of the law of this jurisdiction. See Rule 1.4(b).

34 [21] Paragraphs (c) and (d) do not authorize communications advertising legal services in
35 this jurisdiction by lawyers who are admitted to practice in other jurisdictions. Whether and how
36 lawyers may communicate the availability of their services in this jurisdiction is governed by Rules
37 7.1 to 7.5.

38 WISCONSIN COMMENT

39 Lawyers desiring to provide pro bono legal services on a temporary basis in the State of
40 Wisconsin when it has been affected by a major disaster, when they are not otherwise authorized
41 to practice law in the State of Wisconsin, as well as lawyers from a jurisdiction affected by a
42 major disaster who seek to practice law temporarily in this jurisdiction, but who are not otherwise
43 authorized to practice law in the State of Wisconsin, should consult Supreme Court Rule 23.03.
44

45 **SCR 20:5.6 Restrictions on right to practice**

46 A lawyer shall not participate in offering or making:

47 (a) a partnership, shareholders, operating, employment, or other

1 similar type of agreement that restricts the right of a lawyer to practice
2 after termination of the relationship, except an agreement concerning
3 benefits upon retirement; or

4 (b) an agreement in which a restriction on the lawyer's right to
5 practice is part of the settlement of a client controversy.
6

7 ABA COMMENT

8
9 [1] An agreement restricting the right of lawyers to practice after leaving a firm not only
10 limits their professional autonomy but also limits the freedom of clients to choose a lawyer.
11 Paragraph (a) prohibits such agreements except for restrictions incident to provisions concerning
12 retirement benefits for service with the firm.

13 [2] Paragraph (b) prohibits a lawyer from agreeing not to represent other persons in
14 connection with settling a claim on behalf of a client.

15 [3] This Rule does not apply to prohibit restrictions that may be included in the terms of the
16 sale of a law practice pursuant to Rule 1.17.
17

18 **SCR 20:5.7 Limited liability legal practice**

19 (a)(1) A lawyer may be a member of a law firm that is organized
20 as a limited liability organization solely to render professional legal
21 services under the laws of this state, including chs. 178 and 183 and
22 subch. XIX of ch. 180. The lawyer may practice in or as a limited
23 liability organization if the lawyer is otherwise authorized to practice
24 law in this state and the organization is registered under sub. (b).

25 (2) Nothing in this rule or the laws under which the lawyer or law
26 firm is organized shall relieve a lawyer from personal liability for any
27 acts, errors or omissions of the lawyer arising out of the performance of
28 professional services.

29 (b) A lawyer or law firm that is organized as a limited liability
30 organization shall file an annual registration with the state bar of
31 Wisconsin in a form and with a filing fee that shall be determined by the
32 state bar. The annual registration shall be signed by a lawyer who is
33 licensed to practice law in this state and who holds an ownership
34 interest in the organization seeking to register under this rule. The
35 annual registration shall include all of the following:

36 (1) The name and address of the organization.

37 (2) The names, residence addresses, states or jurisdictions where
38 licensed to practice law, and attorney registration numbers of the
39 lawyers in the organization and their ownership interest in the
40 organization.

41 (3) A representation that at the time of the filing each lawyer in

1 the organization is in good standing in this state or, if licensed to
2 practice law elsewhere, in the states or jurisdictions in which he or she
3 is licensed.

4 (4) A certificate of insurance issued by an insurance carrier
5 certifying that it has issued to the organization a professional liability
6 policy to the organization as provided in sub. (bm).

7 (5) Such other information as may be required from time to time
8 by the state bar of Wisconsin.

9 (bm) The professional liability policy under sub. (b)(4) shall
10 identify the name of the professional liability carrier, the policy number,
11 the expiration date and the limits and deductible. Such professional
12 liability insurance shall provide not less than the following limits of
13 liability:

14 (1) For a firm composed of 1 to 3 lawyers, \$100,000 of combined
15 indemnity and defense cost coverage per claim, with a \$300,000
16 aggregate combined indemnity and defense cost coverage amount per
17 policy period.

18 (2) For a firm composed of 4 to 6 lawyers, \$250,000 of combined
19 indemnity and defense cost coverage per claim, with \$750,000
20 aggregate combined indemnity and defense cost coverage amount per
21 policy period.

22 (3) For a firm composed of 7 to 14 lawyers, \$500,000 of
23 combined indemnity and defense cost coverage per claim, with
24 \$1,000,000 aggregate combined indemnity and defense cost coverage
25 amount per policy period.

26 (4) For a firm composed of 15 to 30 lawyers, \$1,000,000 of
27 combined indemnity and defense cost coverage per claim, with
28 \$2,000,000 aggregate combined indemnity and defense cost coverage
29 amount per policy period.

30 (5) For a firm composed of 31 to 50 lawyers, \$4,000,000 of
31 combined indemnity and defense cost coverage per claim, with
32 \$4,000,000 aggregate combined indemnity and defense cost coverage
33 amount per policy period.

34 (6) For a firm composed of 51 or more lawyers, \$10,000,000 of
35 combined indemnity and defense cost coverage per claim, with
36 \$10,000,000 aggregate combined indemnity and defense cost coverage
37 amount per policy period.

38 (c) Nothing in this rule or the laws under which a lawyer or law
39 firm is organized shall diminish a lawyer's or law firm's obligations or
40 responsibilities under any provisions of this chapter.

1 (d) A law firm that is organized as a limited liability organization
2 under the laws of any other state or jurisdiction or of the United States
3 solely for the purpose of rendering professional legal services that is
4 authorized to do business in Wisconsin and that has at least one lawyer
5 licensed to practice law in Wisconsin and who also has an ownership
6 interest in the firm may register under this rule by complying with the
7 provisions of sub. (b).

8 (e) A lawyer or law firm that is organized as a limited liability
9 organization shall do all of the following:

10 (1) Include a written designation of the limited liability structure
11 as part of its name.

12 (2) Provide to clients and potential clients in writing a plain-
13 English summary of the features of the limited liability law under which
14 it is organized and the applicable provisions of this chapter.
15

16 WISCONSIN COMMITTEE COMMENT

17
18 This Wisconsin Supreme Court Rule has no counterpart in the Model Rules. Model Rule
19 5.7, concerning law-related services, is not part of these rules.

20 PUBLIC SERVICE

21 **SCR 20:5.8 Responsibilities Regarding Law-Related Services**

22
23 (a) A lawyer shall be subject to the Rules of Professional Conduct
24 with respect to the provision of law-related services, as defined in
25 paragraph (b), if the law-related services are provided:
26

27 (1) by the lawyer in circumstances that are not distinct from the
28 lawyer's provision of legal services to clients; or

29 (2) in other circumstances by an entity controlled by the lawyer
30 individually or with others if the lawyer fails to take reasonable
31 measures to assure that a person obtaining the law-related services
32 knows that the services are not legal services and that the protections of
33 the client-lawyer relationship do not exist.

34 (b) The term "law-related services" denotes services that might
35 reasonably be performed in conjunction with and in substance are
36 related to the provision of legal services, and that are not prohibited as
37 unauthorized practice of law when provided by a nonlawyer.
38

39 ABA COMMENT

40

1 [1] When a lawyer performs law-related services or controls an organization that does so,
2 there exists the potential for ethical problems. Principal among these is the possibility that the person
3 for whom the law-related services are performed fails to understand that the services may not carry
4 with them the protections normally afforded as part of the client-lawyer relationship. The recipient of
5 the law-related services may expect, for example, that the protection of client confidences,
6 prohibitions against representation of persons with conflicting interests, and obligations of a lawyer
7 to maintain professional independence apply to the provision of law-related services when that may
8 not be the case.

9 [2] Rule 5.7 applies to the provision of law-related services by a lawyer even when the
10 lawyer does not provide any legal services to the person for whom the law-related services are
11 performed and whether the law-related services are performed through a law firm or a separate
12 entity. The Rule identifies the circumstances in which all of the Rules of Professional Conduct apply
13 to the provision of law-related services. Even when those circumstances do not exist, however, the
14 conduct of a lawyer involved in the provision of law-related services is subject to those Rules that
15 apply generally to lawyer conduct, regardless of whether the conduct involves the provision of legal
16 services. See, e.g., Rule 8.4.

17 [3] When law-related services are provided by a lawyer under circumstances that are not
18 distinct from the lawyer's provision of legal services to clients, the lawyer in providing the law-
19 related services must adhere to the requirements of the Rules of Professional Conduct as provided in
20 paragraph (a)(1). Even when the law-related and legal services are provided in circumstances that are
21 distinct from each other, for example through separate entities or different support staff within the
22 law firm, the Rules of Professional Conduct apply to the lawyer as provided in paragraph (a)(2)
23 unless the lawyer takes reasonable measures to assure that the recipient of the law-related services
24 knows that the services are not legal services and that the protections of the client-lawyer relationship
25 do not apply.

26 [4] Law-related services also may be provided through an entity that is distinct from that
27 through which the lawyer provides legal services. If the lawyer individually or with others has
28 control of such an entity's operations, the Rule requires the lawyer to take reasonable measures to
29 assure that each person using the services of the entity knows that the services provided by the entity
30 are not legal services and that the Rules of Professional Conduct that relate to the client-lawyer
31 relationship do not apply. A lawyer's control of an entity extends to the ability to direct its operation.
32 Whether a lawyer has such control will depend upon the circumstances of the particular case.

33 [5] When a client-lawyer relationship exists with a person who is referred by a lawyer to a
34 separate law-related service entity controlled by the lawyer, individually or with others, the lawyer
35 must comply with Rule 1.8(a).

36 [6] In taking the reasonable measures referred to in paragraph (a)(2) to assure that a person
37 using law-related services understands the practical effect or significance of the inapplicability of the
38 Rules of Professional Conduct, the lawyer should communicate to the person receiving the law-
39 related services, in a manner sufficient to assure that the person understands the significance of the
40 fact, that the relationship of the person to the business entity will not be a client-lawyer relationship.
41 The communication should be made before entering into an agreement for provision of or providing
42 law-related services, and preferably should be in writing.

43 [7] The burden is upon the lawyer to show that the lawyer has taken reasonable measures
44 under the circumstances to communicate the desired understanding. For instance, a sophisticated user
45 of law-related services, such as a publicly held corporation, may require a lesser explanation than
46 someone unaccustomed to making distinctions between legal services and law-related services, such
47 as an individual seeking tax advice from a lawyer-accountant or investigative services in connection
48 with a lawsuit.

49 [8] Regardless of the sophistication of potential recipients of law-related services, a lawyer
50 should take special care to keep separate the provision of law-related and legal services in order to

1 minimize the risk that the recipient will assume that the law-related services are legal services. The
2 risk of such confusion is especially acute when the lawyer renders both types of services with respect
3 to the same matter. Under some circumstances the legal and law-related services may be so closely
4 entwined that they cannot be distinguished from each other, and the requirement of disclosure and
5 consultation imposed by paragraph (a)(2) of the Rule cannot be met. In such a case a lawyer will be
6 responsible for assuring that both the lawyer's conduct and, to the extent required by Rule 5.3, that of
7 nonlawyer employees in the distinct entity that the lawyer controls complies in all respects with the
8 Rules of Professional Conduct.

9 [9] A broad range of economic and other interests of clients may be served by lawyers
10 engaging in the delivery of law-related services. Examples of law-related services include providing
11 title insurance, financial planning, accounting, trust services, real estate counseling, legislative
12 lobbying, economic analysis, social work, psychological counseling, tax preparation, and patent,
13 medical or environmental consulting.

14 [10] When a lawyer is obliged to accord the recipients of such services the protections of
15 those Rules that apply to the client-lawyer relationship, the lawyer must take special care to heed the
16 proscriptions of the Rules addressing conflict of interest (Rules 1.7 through 1.11, especially Rules
17 1.7(a)(2) and 1.8(a), (b) and (f)), and to scrupulously adhere to the requirements of Rule 1.6 relating
18 to disclosure of confidential information. The promotion of the law-related services must also in all
19 respects comply with Rules 7.1 through 7.3, dealing with advertising and solicitation. In that regard,
20 lawyers should take special care to identify the obligations that may be imposed as a result of a
21 jurisdiction's decisional law.

22 [11] When the full protections of all of the Rules of Professional Conduct do not apply to
23 the provision of law-related services, principles of law external to the Rules, for example, the law of
24 principal and agent, govern the legal duties owed to those receiving the services. Those other legal
25 principles may establish a different degree of protection for the recipient with respect to
26 confidentiality of information, conflicts of interest and permissible business relationships with
27 clients. See also Rule 8.4 (Misconduct).

28 29 **SCR 20:6.1 Voluntary pro bono publico service**

30 Every lawyer has a professional responsibility to provide legal
31 services to those unable to pay. A lawyer should aspire to render at least
32 50 hours of pro bono publico legal services per year. In fulfilling this
33 responsibility the lawyer should:

34 (a) provide a substantial majority of the 50 hours of legal services
35 without fee or expectation of fee to:

36 (1) persons of limited means or

37 (2) charitable, religious, civic, community, governmental and
38 educational organizations in matters that are designed primarily to
39 address the needs of persons of limited means; and

40 (b) provide any additional services through:

41 (1) delivery of legal services at no fee or substantially reduced fee
42 to individuals, groups or organizations seeking to secure or protect civil
43 rights, civil liberties or public rights, or charitable, religious, civic,
44 community, governmental and educational organizations in matters in
45 furtherance of their organizational purposes, where the payment of

1 standard legal fees would significantly deplete the organization's
2 economic resources or would be otherwise inappropriate;

3 (2) delivery of legal services at a substantially reduced fee to
4 persons of limited means; or

5 (3) participation in activities for improving the law, the legal
6 system or the legal profession.

7 In addition, a lawyer should voluntarily contribute financial
8 support to organizations that provide legal services to persons of limited
9 means.

10 ABA COMMENT

11
12
13 [1] Every lawyer, regardless of professional prominence or professional work load, has a
14 responsibility to provide legal services to those unable to pay, and personal involvement in the
15 problems of the disadvantaged can be one of the most rewarding experiences in the life of a lawyer.
16 The American Bar Association urges all lawyers to provide a minimum of 50 hours of pro bono
17 services annually. States, however, may decide to choose a higher or lower number of hours of
18 annual service (which may be expressed as a percentage of a lawyer's professional time) depending
19 upon local needs and local conditions. It is recognized that in some years a lawyer may render
20 greater or fewer hours than the annual standard specified, but during the course of his or her legal
21 career, each lawyer should render on average per year, the number of hours set forth in this Rule.
22 Services can be performed in civil matters or in criminal or quasi—criminal matters for which there
23 is no government obligation to provide funds for legal representation, such as post—conviction death
24 penalty appeal cases.

25 [2] Paragraphs (a)(1) and (2) recognize the critical need for legal services that exists among
26 persons of limited means by providing that a substantial majority of the legal services rendered
27 annually to the disadvantaged be furnished without fee or expectation of fee. Legal services under
28 these paragraphs consist of a full range of activities, including individual and class representation, the
29 provision of legal advice, legislative lobbying, administrative rule making and the provision of free
30 training or mentoring to those who represent persons of limited means. The variety of these activities
31 should facilitate participation by government lawyers, even when restrictions exist on their engaging
32 in the outside practice of law.

33 [3] Persons eligible for legal services under paragraphs (a)(1) and (2) are those who qualify
34 for participation in programs funded by the Legal Services Corporation and those whose incomes
35 and financial resources are slightly above the guidelines utilized by such programs but nevertheless,
36 cannot afford counsel. Legal services can be rendered to individuals or to organizations such as
37 homeless shelters, battered women's centers and food pantries that serve those of limited means. The
38 term "governmental organizations" includes, but is not limited to, public protection programs and
39 sections of governmental or public sector agencies.

40 [4] Because service must be provided without fee or expectation of fee, the intent of the
41 lawyer to render free legal services is essential for the work performed to fall within the meaning of
42 paragraphs (a)(1) and (2). Accordingly, services rendered cannot be considered pro bono if an
43 anticipated fee is uncollected, but the award of statutory attorneys' fees in a case originally accepted
44 as pro bono would not disqualify such services from inclusion under this section. Lawyers who do
45 receive fees in such cases are encouraged to contribute an appropriate portion of such fees to
46 organizations or projects that benefit persons of limited means.

47 [5] While it is possible for a lawyer to fulfill the annual responsibility to perform pro bono
48 services exclusively through activities described in paragraphs (a)(1) and (2), to the extent that any

1 hours of service remained unfulfilled, the remaining commitment can be met in a variety of ways as
2 set forth in paragraph (b). Constitutional, statutory or regulatory restrictions may prohibit or impede
3 government and public sector lawyers and judges from performing the pro bono services outlined in
4 paragraphs (a)(1) and (2). Accordingly, where those restrictions apply, government and public sector
5 lawyers and judges may fulfill their pro bono responsibility by performing services outlined in
6 paragraph (b).

7 [6] Paragraph (b)(1) includes the provision of certain types of legal services to those whose
8 incomes and financial resources place them above limited means. It also permits the pro bono lawyer
9 to accept a substantially reduced fee for services. Examples of the types of issues that may be
10 addressed under this paragraph include First Amendment claims, Title VII claims and environmental
11 protection claims. Additionally, a wide range of organizations may be represented, including social
12 service, medical research, cultural and religious groups.

13 [7] Paragraph (b)(2) covers instances in which lawyers agree to and receive a modest fee for
14 furnishing legal services to persons of limited means. Participation in judicare programs and
15 acceptance of court appointments in which the fee is substantially below a lawyer's usual rate are
16 encouraged under this section.

17 [8] Paragraph (b)(3) recognizes the value of lawyers engaging in activities that improve the
18 law, the legal system or the legal profession. Serving on bar association committees, serving on
19 boards of pro bono or legal services programs, taking part in Law Day activities, acting as a
20 continuing legal education instructor, a mediator or an arbitrator and engaging in legislative lobbying
21 to improve the law, the legal system or the profession are a few examples of the many activities that
22 fall within this paragraph.

23 [9] Because the provision of pro bono services is a professional responsibility, it is the
24 individual ethical commitment of each lawyer. Nevertheless, there may be times when it is not
25 feasible for a lawyer to engage in pro bono services. At such times a lawyer may discharge the pro
26 bono responsibility by providing financial support to organizations providing free legal services to
27 persons of limited means. Such financial support should be reasonably equivalent to the value of the
28 hours of service that would have otherwise been provided. In addition, at times it may be more
29 feasible to satisfy the pro bono responsibility collectively, as by a firm's aggregate pro bono
30 activities.

31 [10] Because the efforts of individual lawyers are not enough to meet the need for free legal
32 services that exists among persons of limited means, the government and the profession have
33 instituted additional programs to provide those services. Every lawyer should financially support
34 such programs, in addition to either providing direct pro bono services or making financial
35 contributions when pro bono service is not feasible.

36 [11] Law firms should act reasonably to enable and encourage all lawyers in the firm to
37 provide the pro bono legal services called for by this Rule.

38 [12] The responsibility set forth in this Rule is not intended to be enforced through
39 disciplinary process.

40 41 **SCR 20:6.2 Accepting appointments**

42 A lawyer shall not seek to avoid appointment by a tribunal to
43 represent a person except for good cause, such as:

44 (a) representing the client is likely to result in violation of the
45 Rules of Professional Conduct or other law;

46 (b) representing the client is likely to result in an unreasonable

1 financial burden on the lawyer; or
2 (c) the client or the cause is so repugnant to the lawyer as to be
3 likely to impair the client—lawyer relationship or the lawyer's ability to
4 represent the client.
5

6 ABA COMMENT 7

8 [1] A lawyer ordinarily is not obliged to accept a client whose character or cause the lawyer
9 regards as repugnant. The lawyer's freedom to select clients is, however, qualified. All lawyers have
10 a responsibility to assist in providing pro bono publico service. See Rule 6.1. An individual lawyer
11 fulfills this responsibility by accepting a fair share of unpopular matters or indigent or unpopular
12 clients. A lawyer may also be subject to appointment by a court to serve unpopular clients or persons
13 unable to afford legal services.

14 **Appointed Counsel**

15 [2] For good cause a lawyer may seek to decline an appointment to represent a person who
16 cannot afford to retain counsel or whose cause is unpopular. Good cause exists if the lawyer could
17 not handle the matter competently, see Rule 1.1, or if undertaking the representation would result in
18 an improper conflict of interest, for example, when the client or the cause is so repugnant to the
19 lawyer as to be likely to impair the client-lawyer relationship or the lawyer's ability to represent the
20 client. A lawyer may also seek to decline an appointment if acceptance would be unreasonably
21 burdensome, for example, when it would impose a financial sacrifice so great as to be unjust.

22 [3] An appointed lawyer has the same obligations to the client as retained counsel,
23 including the obligations of loyalty and confidentiality, and is subject to the same limitations on the
24 client-lawyer relationship, such as the obligation to refrain from assisting the client in violation of the
25 Rules.
26

27 **SCR 20:6.3 Membership in legal services organization**

28 A lawyer may serve as a director, officer or member of a legal
29 services organization, apart from the law firm in which the lawyer
30 practices, notwithstanding that the organization serves persons having
31 interests adverse to a client of the lawyer. The lawyer shall not
32 knowingly participate in a decision or action of the organization:

33 (a) if participating in the decision would be incompatible with the
34 lawyer's obligations to a client under SCR 20:1.7; or

35 (b) where the decision could have a material adverse effect on the
36 representation of a client of the organization whose interests are adverse
37 to a client of the lawyer.
38

39 ABA COMMENT 40

41 [1] Lawyers should be encouraged to support and participate in legal service organizations.
42 A lawyer who is an officer or a member of such an organization does not thereby have a client-
43 lawyer relationship with persons served by the organization. However, there is potential conflict
44 between the interests of such persons and the interests of the lawyer's clients. If the possibility of

1 such conflict disqualified a lawyer from serving on the board of a legal services organization, the
2 profession's involvement in such organizations would be severely curtailed.

3 [2] It may be necessary in appropriate cases to reassure a client of the organization that the
4 representation will not be affected by conflicting loyalties of a member of the board. Established,
5 written policies in this respect can enhance the credibility of such assurances.

6 7 **SCR 20:6.4 Law reform activities affecting client interests**

8 A lawyer may serve as a director, officer or member of an
9 organization involved in reform of the law or its administration
10 notwithstanding that the reform may affect the interests of a client of the
11 lawyer. When the lawyer knows that the interests of a client may be
12 materially benefited by a decision in which the lawyer participates, the
13 lawyer shall disclose that fact but need not identify the client.
14
15

16 17 **ABA COMMENT** 18

19 [1] Lawyers involved in organizations seeking law reform generally do not have a client—
20 lawyer relationship with the organization. Otherwise, it might follow that a lawyer could not be
21 involved in a bar association law reform program that might indirectly affect a client. See also Rule
22 1.2(b). For example, a lawyer specializing in antitrust litigation might be regarded as disqualified
23 from participating in drafting revisions of rules governing that subject. In determining the nature and
24 scope of participation in such activities, a lawyer should be mindful of obligations to clients under
25 other Rules, particularly Rule 1.7. A lawyer is professionally obligated to protect the integrity of the
26 program by making an appropriate disclosure within the organization when the lawyer knows a
27 private client might be materially benefited.
28

29 **SCR 20:6.5 Nonprofit and court-annexed limited legal** 30 **services programs**

31 (a) A lawyer who, under the auspices of a program sponsored by
32 a nonprofit organization, a bar association, an accredited law school, or
33 a court, provides short-term limited legal services to a client without
34 expectation by either the lawyer or the client that the lawyer will
35 provide continuing representation in the matter:

36 (1) is subject to SCR 20:1.7 and SCR 20:1.9(a) only if the lawyer
37 knows that the representation of the client involves a conflict of interest;
38 and

39 (2) is subject to SCR 20:1.10 only if the lawyer knows that
40 another lawyer associated with the lawyer in a law firm is disqualified
41 by SCR 20:1.7 or SCR 20:1.9(a) with respect to the matter.

42 (b) Except as provided in par. (a)(2), SCR 20:1.10 is inapplicable

1 to a representation governed by this rule.
2

3 WISCONSIN COMMITTEE COMMENT
4

5 Unlike the Model Rule, paragraph (a) expressly provides coverage for programs sponsored
6 by bar associations and accredited law schools.
7

8 ABA COMMENT
9

10 [1] Legal services organizations, courts and various nonprofit organizations have
11 established programs through which lawyers provide short-term limited legal services—such as
12 advice or the completion of legal forms—that will assist persons to address their legal problems
13 without further representation by a lawyer. In these programs, such as legal-advice hotlines, advice-
14 only clinics or pro se counseling programs, a client-lawyer relationship is established, but there is no
15 expectation that the lawyer's representation of the client will continue beyond the limited
16 consultation. Such programs are normally operated under circumstances in which it is not feasible
17 for a lawyer to systematically screen for conflicts of interest as is generally required before
18 undertaking a representation. See, e.g., Rules 1.7, 1.9 and 1.10.

19 [2] A lawyer who provides short-term limited legal services pursuant to this Rule must
20 secure the client's informed consent to the limited scope of the representation. See Rule 1.2(c). If a
21 short-term limited representation would not be reasonable under the circumstances, the lawyer may
22 offer advice to the client but must also advise the client of the need for further assistance of counsel.
23 Except as provided in this Rule, the Rules of Professional Conduct, including Rules 1.6 and 1.9(c),
24 are applicable to the limited representation.

25 [3] Because a lawyer who is representing a client in the circumstances addressed by this
26 Rule ordinarily is not able to check systematically for conflicts of interest, paragraph (a) requires
27 compliance with Rules 1.7 or 1.9(a) only if the lawyer knows that the representation presents a
28 conflict of interest for the lawyer, and with Rule 1.10 only if the lawyer knows that another lawyer in
29 the lawyer's firm is disqualified by Rules 1.7 or 1.9(a) in the matter.

30 [4] Because the limited nature of the services significantly reduces the risk of conflicts of
31 interest with other matters being handled by the lawyer's firm, paragraph (b) provides that Rule 1.10
32 is inapplicable to a representation governed by this Rule except as provided by paragraph (a)(2).
33 Paragraph (a)(2) requires the participating lawyer to comply with Rule 1.10 when the lawyer knows
34 that the lawyer's firm is disqualified by Rules 1.7 or 1.9(a). By virtue of paragraph (b), however, a
35 lawyer's participation in a short-term limited legal services program will not preclude the lawyer's
36 firm from undertaking or continuing the representation of a client with interests adverse to a client
37 being represented under the program's auspices. Nor will the personal disqualification of a lawyer
38 participating in the program be imputed to other lawyers participating in the program.

39 [5] If, after commencing a short-term limited representation in accordance with this Rule, a
40 lawyer undertakes to represent the client in the matter on an ongoing basis, Rules 1.7, 1.9(a) and 1.10
41 become applicable.
42

1 INFORMATION ABOUT LEGAL SERVICES

2
3 **SCR 20:7.1 Communications concerning a lawyer's services**

4 A lawyer shall not make a false or misleading communication
5 about the lawyer or the lawyer's services. A communication is false or
6 misleading if it:

7 (a) contains a material misrepresentation of fact or law, or omits a
8 fact necessary to make the statement considered as a whole not
9 materially misleading;

10 (b) is likely to create an unjustified expectation about results the
11 lawyer can achieve, or states or implies that the lawyer can achieve
12 results by means that violate the Rules of Professional Conduct or other
13 law; or

14 (c) compares the lawyer's services with other lawyers' services,
15 unless the comparison can be factually substantiated; or

16 (d) contains any paid testimonial about, or paid endorsement of,
17 the lawyer without identifying the fact that payment has been made or,
18 if the testimonial or endorsement is not made by an actual client,
19 without identifying that fact.

20
21 **WISCONSIN COMMITTEE COMMENT**

22
23 Paragraphs (b) through (d) of the Wisconsin Supreme Court Rule are not contained in the
24 Model Rule.

25
26 **ABA COMMENT**

27
28 [1] This Rule governs all communications about a lawyer's services, including advertising
29 permitted by Rule 7.2. Whatever means are used to make known a lawyer's services, statements
30 about them must be truthful.

31 [2] Truthful statements that are misleading are also prohibited by this Rule. A truthful
32 statement is misleading if it omits a fact necessary to make the lawyer's communication considered
33 as a whole not materially misleading. A truthful statement is also misleading if there is a substantial
34 likelihood that it will lead a reasonable person to formulate a specific conclusion about the lawyer or
35 the lawyer's services for which there is no reasonable factual foundation.

36 [3] An advertisement that truthfully reports a lawyer's achievements on behalf of clients or
37 former clients may be misleading if presented so as to lead a reasonable person to form an unjustified
38 expectation that the same results could be obtained for other clients in similar matters without
39 reference to the specific factual and legal circumstances of each client's case. Similarly, an
40 unsubstantiated comparison of the lawyer's services or fees with the services or fees of other lawyers
41 may be misleading if presented with such specificity as would lead a reasonable person to conclude
42 that the comparison can be substantiated. The inclusion of an appropriate disclaimer or qualifying
43 language may preclude a finding that a statement is likely to create unjustified expectations or
44 otherwise mislead the public.

1 [4] See also Rule 8.4(e) for the prohibition against stating or implying an ability to
2 influence improperly a government agency or official or to achieve results by means that violate the
3 Rules of Professional Conduct or other law.

4
5 **SCR 20:7.2 Advertising**

6 (a) Subject to the requirements of SCR 20:7.1 and SCR 20:7.3, a
7 lawyer may advertise services through written, recorded or electronic
8 communication, including public media.

9 (b) A lawyer shall not give anything of value to a person for
10 recommending the lawyer's services, except that a lawyer may:

11 (1) pay the reasonable cost of advertisements or communications
12 permitted by this rule;

13 (2) pay the usual charges of a legal service plan or a not-for-profit
14 or qualified lawyer referral service. A qualified lawyer referral service
15 is a lawyer referral service that has been approved by an appropriate
16 regulatory authority;

17 (3) pay for a law practice in accordance with SCR 20:1.17; and

18 (4) refer clients to another lawyer or nonlawyer professional
19 pursuant to an agreement not otherwise prohibited under these rules that
20 provides for the other person to refer clients or customers to the lawyer,
21 if

22 (i) the reciprocal referral arrangement is not exclusive;

23 (ii) the client gives informed consent;

24 (iii) there is no interference with the lawyer's independence
25 of professional judgment or with the client-lawyer relationship; and

26 (iv) information relating to representation of a client is
27 protected as required by SCR 20:1.6.

28 (c) Any communication made pursuant to this rule shall include
29 the name and office address of at least one lawyer or law firm
30 responsible for its content.

31
32
33 **WISCONSIN COMMITTEE COMMENT**

34
35 Paragraph (b)(4) differs from the Model Rule by requiring additional safeguards consistent
36 with those found in SCR 20:1.8(f). Lawyers should consider the "fee-splitting" provisions contained
37 in SCR 20:5.4 when considering their obligations under this provision.

38
39 **ABA COMMENT**

1 [1] To assist the public in learning about and obtaining legal services, lawyers should be
2 allowed to make known their services not only through reputation but also through organized
3 information campaigns in the form of advertising. Advertising involves an active quest for clients,
4 contrary to the tradition that a lawyer should not seek clientele. However, the public's need to know
5 about legal services can be fulfilled in part through advertising. This need is particularly acute in the
6 case of persons of moderate means who have not made extensive use of legal services. The interest
7 in expanding public information about legal services ought to prevail over considerations of
8 tradition. Nevertheless, advertising by lawyers entails the risk of practices that are misleading or
9 overreaching.

10 [2] This Rule permits public dissemination of information concerning a lawyer's name or
11 firm name, address, email address, website, and telephone number; the kinds of services the lawyer
12 will undertake; the basis on which the lawyer's fees are determined, including prices for specific
13 services and payment and credit arrangements; a lawyer's foreign language ability; names of
14 references and, with their consent, names of clients regularly represented; and other information that
15 might invite the attention of those seeking legal assistance.

16 [3] Questions of effectiveness and taste in advertising are matters of speculation and
17 subjective judgment. Some jurisdictions have had extensive prohibitions against television and other
18 forms of advertising, against advertising going beyond specified facts about a lawyer, or against
19 "undignified" advertising. Television, the Internet, and other forms of electronic communication are
20 the most powerful media for getting information to the public, particularly persons of low and
21 moderate income; prohibiting television advertising, therefore, would impede the flow of
22 information about legal services to many sectors of the public. Limiting the information that may be
23 advertised has a similar effect and assumes that the bar can accurately forecast the kind of
24 information that the public would regard as relevant. But see Rule 7.3(a) for the prohibition against a
25 solicitation through a real-time electronic exchange initiated by the lawyer.

26 [4] Neither this Rule nor Rule 7.3 prohibits communications authorized by law, such as
27 notice to members of a class in class action litigation.

28 **Paying Others to Recommend a Lawyer**

29 [5] Except as permitted under paragraphs (b) (1) – (4), lawyers are not permitted to pay
30 others for recommending the lawyer's services or for channeling professional work in a manner that
31 violates Rule 7.3. A communication contains a recommendation if it endorses or vouches for a
32 lawyer's credentials, abilities, competence, character, or other professional qualities. Paragraph
33 (b)(1), however, allows a lawyer to pay for advertising and communications permitted by this Rule,
34 including the costs of print directory listings, on-line directory listings, newspaper ads, television and
35 radio airtime, domain-name registrations, sponsorship fees, Internet-based advertisements, and group
36 advertising. A lawyer may compensate employees, agents and vendors who are engaged to provide
37 marketing or client-development services, such as publicists, public-relations personnel, business-
38 development staff and website designers. Moreover, a lawyer may pay others for generating client
39 leads, such as Internet-based client leads, as long as the lead generator does not recommend the
40 lawyer, any payment to the lead generator is consistent with Rules 1.5(e) (division of fees) and 5.4
41 (professional independence of the lawyer), and the lead generator's communications are consistent
42 with Rule 7.1 (communications concerning a lawyer's services). To comply with Rule 7.1, a lawyer
43 must not pay a lead generator that states, implies, or creates a reasonable impression that it is
44 recommending the lawyer, is making the referral without payment from the lawyer, or has analyzed a
45 person's legal problems when determining which lawyer should receive the referral. See also Rule
46 5.3 duties of lawyers and law firms with respect to the conduct of nonlawyers; Rule 8.4(a) (duty to
47 avoid violating the Rules through the acts of another).

48 [6] A lawyer may pay the usual charges of a legal service plan or a not-for-profit or
49 qualified lawyer referral service. A legal service plan is a prepaid or group legal service plan or a
50 similar delivery system that assists people who seek to secure legal representation. A lawyer referral
51 service, on the other hand, is any organization that holds itself out to the public as a lawyer referral

1 service. Such referral services are understood by the public to be consumer-oriented organizations
2 that provide unbiased referrals to lawyers with appropriate experience in the subject matter of the
3 representation and afford other client protections, such as complaint procedures or malpractice
4 insurance requirements. Consequently, this Rule only permits a lawyer to pay the usual charges of a
5 not-for-profit or qualified lawyer referral service. A qualified lawyer referral service is one that is
6 approved by an appropriate regulatory authority as affording adequate protections for the public. See,
7 e.g., the American Bar Association's Model Supreme Court Rules Governing Lawyer Referral
8 Services and Model Lawyer Referral and Information Service Quality Assurance Act (requiring that
9 organizations that are identified as lawyer referral services (i) permit the participation of all lawyers
10 who are licensed and eligible to practice in the jurisdiction and who meet reasonable objective
11 eligibility requirements as may be established by the referral service for the protection of the public;
12 (ii) require each participating lawyer to carry reasonably adequate malpractice insurance; (iii) act
13 reasonably to assess client satisfaction and address client complaints; and (iv) do not make referrals
14 to lawyers who own, operate or are employed by the referral service.)

15 [7] A lawyer who accepts assignments or referrals from a legal service plan or referrals
16 from a lawyer referral service must act reasonably to assure that the activities of the plan or service
17 are compatible with the lawyer's professional obligations. See Rule 5.3. Legal service plans and
18 lawyer referral services may communicate with the public, but such communication must be in
19 conformity with these Rules. Thus, advertising must not be false or misleading, as would be the case
20 if the communications of a group advertising program or a group legal services plan would mislead
21 the public to think that it was a lawyer referral service sponsored by a state agency or bar association.
22 Nor could the lawyer allow in-person, telephonic, or real-time contacts that would violate Rule 7.3.

23 [8] A lawyer also may agree to refer clients to another lawyer or a nonlawyer professional,
24 in return for the undertaking of that person to refer clients or customers to the lawyer. Such
25 reciprocal referral arrangements must not interfere with the lawyer's professional judgment as to
26 making referrals or as to providing substantive legal services. See Rules 2.1 and 5.4(c). Except as
27 provided in Rule 1.5(e), a lawyer who receives referrals from a lawyer or nonlawyer professional
28 must not pay anything solely for the referral, but the lawyer does not violate paragraph (b) of this
29 Rule by agreeing to refer clients to the other lawyer or nonlawyer professional, so long as the
30 reciprocal referral agreement is not exclusive and the client is informed of the referral agreement.
31 Conflicts of interest created by such arrangements are governed by Rule 1.7. Reciprocal referral
32 agreements should not be of indefinite duration and should be reviewed periodically to determine
33 whether they comply with these Rules. This Rule does not restrict referrals or divisions of revenues
34 or net income among lawyers within firms comprised of multiple entities.

35

36 **SCR 20:7.3 Solicitation of clients**

37 (a) A lawyer shall not by in-person or live telephone or real-time
38 electronic contact solicit professional employment when a significant
39 motive for the lawyer's doing so is the lawyer's pecuniary gain, unless
40 the person contacted:

- 41 (1) is a lawyer; or
- 42 (2) has a family, close personal or prior professional relationship
43 with the lawyer.

44 (b) A lawyer shall not solicit professional employment by
45 written, recorded or electronic communication or by in-person,
46 telephone or real-time electronic contact even when not otherwise
47 prohibited by par. (a), if:

1 (1) the lawyer knows or reasonably should know that the
2 physical, emotional or mental state of the person makes it unlikely that
3 the person would exercise reasonable judgment in employing a lawyer;
4 or

5 (2) the target of solicitation has made known to the lawyer a
6 desire not to be solicited by the lawyer; or

7 (3) the solicitation involves coercion, duress or harassment.

8 (c) Every written, recorded or electronic communication from a
9 lawyer soliciting professional employment from anyone known to be in
10 need of legal services in a particular matter shall include the words
11 "Advertising Material" on the outside envelope, if any, and at the
12 beginning and ending of any printed, recorded or electronic
13 communication, unless the recipient of the communication is a person
14 specified in pars. (a)(1) or (a)(2), and a copy of it shall be filed with the
15 office of lawyer regulation within five days of its dissemination.

16 (d) Notwithstanding the prohibitions in par. (a), a lawyer may
17 participate with a prepaid or group legal service plan operated by an
18 organization not owned or directed by the lawyer that uses in-person or
19 telephone contact to solicit memberships or subscriptions for the plan
20 from persons who are not known to need legal services in a particular
21 matter covered by the plan.

22 (e) Except as permitted under SCR 11.06, a lawyer, at his or her
23 instance, shall not draft legal documents, such as wills, trust instruments
24 or contracts, which require or imply that the lawyer's services be used in
25 relation to that document.

26 27 28 WISCONSIN COMMITTEE COMMENT 29

30 The Wisconsin Supreme Court Rule differs from the Model Rule in that paragraph (b)(1)
31 has been added, as have the last clause of paragraph (c) and all of paragraph (e). These provisions are
32 carried forward from the prior Wisconsin Supreme Court Rule.

33 When a lawyer uses standard form solicitations that are mailed to many prospective clients,
34 the lawyer satisfies the filing obligation in subparagraph (c) by filing one copy of each version of the
35 solicitation form with the office of lawyer regulation, and by maintaining in the lawyer's files the
36 names and addresses to which the solicitation was mailed.

37 Because of differences in content and numbers between the Wisconsin Supreme Court Rule
38 and the Model Rule, care should be used in consulting the ABA Comment.

39 40 ABA COMMENT 41

1 [1] A solicitation is a targeted communication initiated by the lawyer that is directed to a
2 specific person and that offers to provide, or can reasonably be understood as offering to provide,
3 legal services. In contrast, a lawyer's communication typically does not constitute a solicitation if it
4 is directed to the general public, such as through a billboard, an Internet banner advertisement, a
5 website or a television commercial, or if it is in response to a request for information or is
6 automatically generated in response to Internet searches.

7 [2] There is a potential for abuse when a solicitation involves direct in-person, live
8 telephone or real-time electronic contact by a lawyer with someone known to need legal services.
9 These forms of contact subject a person to the private importuning of the trained advocate in a direct
10 interpersonal encounter. The person, who may already feel overwhelmed by the circumstances
11 giving rise to the need for legal services, may find it difficult fully to evaluate all available
12 alternatives with reasoned judgment and appropriate self-interest in the face of the lawyer's presence
13 and insistence upon being retained immediately. The situation is fraught with the possibility of undue
14 influence, intimidation, and over-reaching.

15 [3] This potential for abuse inherent in direct in-person, live telephone or real-time
16 electronic solicitation justifies its prohibition, particularly since lawyers have alternative means of
17 conveying necessary information to those who may be in need of legal services. In particular,
18 communications can be mailed or transmitted by email or other electronic means that do not involve
19 real-time contact and do not violate other laws governing solicitations. These forms of
20 communications and solicitations make it possible for the public to be informed about the need for
21 legal services, and about the qualifications of available lawyers and law firms, without subjecting the
22 public to direct in-person, telephone or real-time electronic persuasion that may overwhelm a
23 person's judgment.

24 [4] The use of general advertising and written, recorded or electronic communications to
25 transmit information from lawyer to the public, rather than direct in-person, live telephone or real-
26 time electronic contact, will help to assure that the information flows cleanly as well as freely. The
27 contents of advertisements and communications permitted under Rule 7.2 can be permanently
28 recorded so that they cannot be disputed and may be shared with others who know the lawyer. This
29 potential for informal review is itself likely to help guard against statements and claims that might
30 constitute false and misleading communications, in violation of Rule 7.1. The contents of direct in-
31 person, live telephone or real-time electronic contact can be disputed and may not be subject to third-
32 party scrutiny. Consequently, they are much more likely to approach (and occasionally cross) the
33 dividing line between accurate representations and those that are false and misleading.

34 [5] There is far less likelihood that a lawyer would engage in abusive practices against a
35 former client, or a person with whom the lawyer has close personal or family relationship, or in
36 situations in which the lawyer is motivated by considerations other than the lawyer's pecuniary gain.
37 Nor is there a serious potential for abuse when the person contacted is a lawyer. Consequently, the
38 general prohibition in Rule 7.3(a) and the requirements of Rule 7.3(c) are not applicable in those
39 situations. Also, paragraph (a) is not intended to prohibit a lawyer from participating in
40 constitutionally protected activities of public or charitable legal-service organizations or bona fide
41 political, social, civic, fraternal, employee or trade organizations whose purposes include providing
42 or recommending legal services to their members or beneficiaries.

43 [6] But even permitted forms of solicitation can be abused. Thus, any solicitation which
44 contains information which is false or misleading within the meaning of Rule 7.1, which involves
45 coercion, duress or harassment within the meaning of Rule 7.3(b)(2), or which involves contact with
46 someone who has made known to the lawyer a desire not to be solicited by the lawyer within the
47 meaning of Rule 7.3(b)(1) is prohibited. Moreover, if after sending a letter or other communication
48 as permitted by Rule 7.2 the lawyer receives no response, any further effort to communicate with the
49 recipient of the communication may violate the provisions of Rule 7.3(b).

50 [7] This Rule is not intended to prohibit a lawyer from contacting representatives of
51 organizations or groups that may be interested in establishing a group or prepaid legal plan for their

1 members, insureds, beneficiaries or other third parties for the purpose of informing such entities of
2 the availability of and details concerning the plan or arrangement which the lawyer or lawyer's firm
3 is willing to offer. This form of communication is not directed to people who are seeking legal
4 services for themselves. Rather, it is usually addressed to an individual acting in a fiduciary capacity
5 seeking a supplier of legal services for others who may, if they choose, become prospective clients of
6 the lawyer. Under these circumstances, the activity which the lawyer undertakes in communicating
7 with such representatives and the type of information transmitted to the individual are functionally
8 similar to and serve the same purpose as advertising permitted under Rule 7.2.

9 [8] The requirement in Rule 7.3(c) that certain communications be marked "Advertising
10 Material" does not apply to communications sent in response to requests of potential clients or their
11 spokespersons or sponsors. General announcements by lawyers, including changes in personnel or
12 office location, do not constitute communications soliciting professional employment from a client
13 known to be in need of legal services within the meaning of this Rule.

14 [9] Paragraph (d) of this Rule permits a lawyer to participate with an organization which
15 uses personal contact to solicit members for its group or prepaid legal service plan, provided that the
16 personal contact is not undertaken by any lawyer who would be a provider of legal services through
17 the plan. The organization must not be owned by or directed (whether as manager or otherwise) by
18 any lawyer or law firm that participates in the plan. For example, paragraph (d) would not permit a
19 lawyer to create an organization controlled directly or indirectly by the lawyer and use the
20 organization for the in-person or telephone solicitation of legal employment of the lawyer through
21 memberships in the plan or otherwise. The communication permitted by these organizations also
22 must not be directed to a person known to need legal services in a particular matter, but is to be
23 designed to inform potential plan members generally of another means of affordable legal services.
24 Lawyers who participate in a legal service plan must reasonably assure that the plan sponsors are in
25 compliance with Rules 7.1, 7.2 and 7.3(b). See Rule 8.4(a).

26 27 **SCR 20:7.4 Communication of fields of practice**

28 (a) A lawyer may communicate the fact that the lawyer does or
29 does not practice in particular fields of law.

30 (b) A lawyer admitted to engage in patent practice before the
31 United States Patent and Trademark Office may use the designation
32 "patent attorney" or a substantially similar designation.

33 (c) A lawyer engaged in admiralty practice may use the
34 designation "admiralty," "proctor in admiralty" or a substantially similar
35 designation.

36 (d) A lawyer shall not state or imply that a lawyer is certified as a
37 specialist in a particular field of law, unless:

38 (1) the lawyer has been certified as a specialist by an organization
39 that has been approved by an appropriate state authority or that has been
40 accredited by the American Bar Association; and

41 (2) the name of the certifying organization is clearly identified in
42 the communication.

43
44 **ABA COMMENT**
45

1 [1] Paragraph (a) of this Rule permits a lawyer to indicate areas of practice in
2 communications about the lawyer's services. If a lawyer practices only in certain fields, or will not
3 accept matters except in a specified field or fields, the lawyer is permitted to so indicate. A lawyer is
4 generally permitted to state that the lawyer is a "specialist," practices a "specialty," or "specializes in"
5 particular fields, but such communications are subject to the "false and misleading" standard applied
6 in Rule 7.1 to communications concerning a lawyer's services.

7 [2] Paragraph (b) recognizes the long-established policy of the Patent and Trademark Office
8 for the designation of lawyers practicing before the Office. Paragraph (c) recognizes that designation
9 of Admiralty practice has a long historical tradition associated with maritime commerce and the
10 federal courts.

11 [3] Paragraph (d) permits a lawyer to state that the lawyer is certified as a specialist in a
12 field of law if such certification is granted by an organization approved by an appropriate state
13 authority or accredited by the American Bar Association or another organization, such as a state bar
14 association, that has been approved by the state authority to accredit organizations that certify
15 lawyers as specialists. Certification signifies that an objective entity has recognized an advanced
16 degree of knowledge and experience in the specialty area greater than is suggested by general
17 licensure to practice law. Certifying organizations may be expected to apply standards of experience,
18 knowledge and proficiency to insure that a lawyer's recognition as a specialist is meaningful and
19 reliable. In order to insure that consumers can obtain access to useful information about an
20 organization granting certification, the name of the certifying organization must be included in any
21 communication regarding the certification.

22 23 **SCR 20:7.5 Firm names and letterheads**

24 (a) A lawyer shall not use a firm name, letterhead or other
25 professional designation that violates SCR 20:7.1. A trade name may be
26 used by a lawyer in private practice if it does not imply a connection
27 with a government agency or with a public or charitable legal services
28 organization and is not otherwise in violation of SCR 20:7.1.

29 (b) A law firm with offices in more than one jurisdiction may use
30 the same name or other professional designation in each jurisdiction, but
31 identification of the lawyers in an office of the firm shall indicate the
32 jurisdictional limitations on those not licensed to practice in the
33 jurisdiction where the office is located.

34 (c) The name of a lawyer holding a public office shall not be used
35 in the name of a law firm, or in communications on its behalf, during
36 any substantial period in which the lawyer is not actively and regularly
37 practicing with the firm.

38 (d) Lawyers may state or imply that they practice in a partnership
39 or other organization only when that is the fact.
40
41

42 ABA COMMENT
43

1 [1] A firm may be designated by the names of all or some of its members, by the names of
2 deceased members where there has been a continuing succession in the firm's identity or by a trade
3 name such as the "ABC Legal Clinic." A lawyer or law firm may also be designated by a distinctive
4 website address or comparable professional designation. Although the United States Supreme Court
5 has held that legislation may prohibit the use of trade names in professional practice, use of such
6 names in law practice is acceptable so long as it is not misleading. If a private firm uses a trade name
7 that includes a geographical name such as "Springfield Legal Clinic," an express disclaimer that it is
8 a public legal aid agency may be required to avoid a misleading implication. It may be observed that
9 any firm name including the name of a deceased partner is, strictly speaking, a trade name. The use
10 of such names to designate law firms has proven a useful means of identification. However, it is
11 misleading to use the name of a lawyer not associated with the firm or a predecessor of the firm, or
12 the name of a nonlawyer.

13 [2] With regard to paragraph (d), lawyers sharing office facilities, but who are not in fact
14 associated with each other in a law firm, may not denominate themselves as, for example, "Smith
15 and Jones," for that title suggests that they are practicing law together in a firm.

16
17 **SCR 20:7.6 Political contributions to obtain government legal**
18 **engagements or appointments by judges**

19 A lawyer or law firm shall not accept a government legal
20 engagement or an appointment by a judge if the lawyer or law firm
21 makes a political contribution or solicits political contributions for the
22 purpose of obtaining or being considered for that type of legal
23 engagement or appointment.

24
25
26 **ABA COMMENT**
27

28 [1] Lawyers have a right to participate fully in the political process, which includes making
29 and soliciting political contributions to candidates for judicial and other public office. Nevertheless,
30 when lawyers make or solicit political contributions in order to obtain an engagement for legal work
31 awarded by a government agency, or to obtain appointment by a judge, the public may legitimately
32 question whether the lawyers engaged to perform the work are selected on the basis of competence
33 and merit. In such a circumstance, the integrity of the profession is undermined.

34 [2] The term "political contribution" denotes any gift, subscription, loan, advance or deposit
35 of anything of value made directly or indirectly to a candidate, incumbent, political party or
36 campaign committee to influence or provide financial support for election to or retention in judicial
37 or other government office. Political contributions in initiative and referendum elections are not
38 included. For purposes of this Rule, the term "political contribution" does not include
39 uncompensated services.

40 [3] Subject to the exceptions below, (i) the term "government legal engagement" denotes
41 any engagement to provide legal services that a public official has the direct or indirect power to
42 award; and (ii) the term "appointment by a judge" denotes an appointment to a position such as
43 referee, commissioner, special master, receiver, guardian or other similar position that is made by a
44 judge. Those terms do not, however, include (a) substantially uncompensated services; (b)
45 engagements or appointments made on the basis of experience, expertise, professional qualifications
46 and cost following a request for proposal or other process that is free from influence based upon
47 political contributions; and (c) engagements or appointments made on a rotational basis from a list
48 compiled without regard to political contributions.

1 [4] The term "lawyer or law firm" includes a political action committee or other entity
2 owned or controlled by a lawyer or law firm.

3 [5] Political contributions are for the purpose of obtaining or being considered for a
4 government legal engagement or appointment by a judge if, but for the desire to be considered for
5 the legal engagement or appointment, the lawyer or law firm would not have made or solicited the
6 contributions. The purpose may be determined by an examination of the circumstances in which the
7 contributions occur. For example, one or more contributions that in the aggregate are substantial in
8 relation to other contributions by lawyers or law firms, made for the benefit of an official in a
9 position to influence award of a government legal engagement, and followed by an award of the
10 legal engagement to the contributing or soliciting lawyer or the lawyer's firm would support an
11 inference that the purpose of the contributions was to obtain the engagement, absent other factors
12 that weigh against existence of the proscribed purpose. Those factors may include among others that
13 the contribution or solicitation was made to further a political, social, or economic interest or because
14 of an existing personal, family, or professional relationship with a candidate.

15 [6] If a lawyer makes or solicits a political contribution under circumstances that constitute
16 bribery or another crime, Rule 8.4(b) is implicated.

17 MAINTAINING THE INTEGRITY OF THE PROFESSION

18 **SCR 20:8.1 Bar admission and disciplinary matters**

19
20
21 An applicant for admission to the bar, or a lawyer in connection
22 with a bar admission application or in connection with a disciplinary
23 matter, shall not:

24 (a) knowingly make a false statement of material fact; or

25 (b) fail to disclose a fact necessary to correct a misapprehension
26 known by the person to have arisen in the matter, or knowingly fail to
27 respond to a lawful demand for information from an admissions or
28 disciplinary authority, except that this rule does not require disclosure of
29 information otherwise protected by SCR 20:1.6.

30 31 ABA COMMENT

32
33 [1] The duty imposed by this Rule extends to persons seeking admission to the bar as well
34 as to lawyers. Hence, if a person makes a material false statement in connection with an application
35 for admission, it may be the basis for subsequent disciplinary action if the person is admitted, and in
36 any event may be relevant in a subsequent admission application. The duty imposed by this Rule
37 applies to a lawyer's own admission or discipline as well as that of others. Thus, it is a separate
38 professional offense for a lawyer to knowingly make a misrepresentation or omission in connection
39 with a disciplinary investigation of the lawyer's own conduct. Paragraph (b) of this Rule also requires
40 correction of any prior misstatement in the matter that the applicant or lawyer may have made and
41 affirmative clarification of any misunderstanding on the part of the admissions or disciplinary
42 authority of which the person involved becomes aware.

43 [2] This Rule is subject to the provisions of the fifth amendment of the United States
44 Constitution and corresponding provisions of state constitutions. A person relying on such a
45 provision in response to a question, however, should do so openly and not use the right of
46 nondisclosure as a justification for failure to comply with this Rule.

1 [3] A lawyer representing an applicant for admission to the bar, or representing a lawyer
2 who is the subject of a disciplinary inquiry or proceeding, is governed by the rules applicable to the
3 client-lawyer relationship, including Rule 1.6 and, in some cases, Rule 3.3.

4 5 **SCR 20:8.2 Judicial and legal officials**

6 (a) A lawyer shall not make a statement that the lawyer knows to
7 be false or with reckless disregard as to its truth or falsity concerning the
8 qualifications or integrity of a judge, adjudicatory officer or public legal
9 officer, or of a candidate for election or appointment to judicial or legal
10 office.

11 (b) A lawyer who is a candidate for judicial office shall comply
12 with the applicable provisions of the code of judicial conduct.

13 14 **ABA COMMENT**

15
16 [1] Assessments by lawyers are relied on in evaluating the professional or personal fitness
17 of persons being considered for election or appointment to judicial office and to public legal offices,
18 such as attorney general, prosecuting attorney and public defender. Expressing honest and candid
19 opinions on such matters contributes to improving the administration of justice. Conversely, false
20 statements by a lawyer can unfairly undermine public confidence in the administration of justice.

21 [2] When a lawyer seeks judicial office, the lawyer should be bound by applicable
22 limitations on political activity.

23 [3] To maintain the fair and independent administration of justice, lawyers are encouraged
24 to continue traditional efforts to defend judges and courts unjustly criticized.

25 26 **SCR 20:8.3 Reporting professional misconduct**

27 (a) A lawyer who knows that another lawyer has committed a
28 violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct that raises a substantial
29 question as to that lawyer's honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as a
30 lawyer in other respects, shall inform the appropriate professional
31 authority.

32 (b) A lawyer who knows that a judge has committed a violation
33 of applicable rules of judicial conduct that raises a substantial question
34 as to the judge's fitness for office shall inform the appropriate authority.

35 (c) If the information revealing misconduct under subs. (a) or (b)
36 is confidential under SCR 20:1.6, the lawyer shall consult with the
37 client about the matter and abide by the client's wishes to the extent
38 required by SCR 20:1.6.

39 (d) This rule does not require disclosure of any of the following:

40 (1) Information gained by a lawyer while participating in a
41 confidential lawyers' assistance program.

1 (2) Information acquired by any person selected to mediate or
2 arbitrate disputes between lawyers arising out of a professional or
3 economic dispute involving law firm dissolutions, termination or
4 departure of one or more lawyers from a law firm where such
5 information is acquired in the course of mediating or arbitrating the
6 dispute between lawyers.
7

8 WISCONSIN COMMENT 9

10 The change from "having knowledge" to "who knows" in SCR 20:8.3(a)
11 and (b) reflects the adoption of the language used in the ABA Model Rule. See
12 also SCR 20:1.0(g) defining "knows." The requirement under paragraph (c) that
13 the lawyer consult with the client is not expressly included in the Model Rule. A
14 lawyer who consults with a client pursuant to subsection (c) should not discourage
15 a client from consenting to reporting a violation unless the lawyer believes there
16 is a reasonable possibility that it would compromise the attorney-client privilege
17 or otherwise prejudice the client. Lawyers should also be mindful of the
18 obligation not to use the threat of a report as a bargaining chip (see Wisconsin
19 Ethics Opinion E-01-01) and the obligation not to seek to contractually limit a
20 person from reporting professional misconduct. See SCR 20:1.8(h)(3).²

21 It deletes reference to judges. The reference to confidential lawyers' assistance programs
22 includes programs such as the state bar sponsored Wisconsin Lawyers' Assistance Program
23 (WISLAP), the Law Office Management Assistance Program (LOMAP), or the Ethics Hotline.
24

25 ABA COMMENT 26

27 [1] Self-regulation of the legal profession requires that members of the profession initiate
28 disciplinary investigation when they know of a violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct.
29 Lawyers have a similar obligation with respect to judicial misconduct. An apparently isolated
30 violation may indicate a pattern of misconduct that only a disciplinary investigation can uncover.
31 Reporting a violation is especially important where the victim is unlikely to discover the offense.

32 [2] A report about misconduct is not required where it would involve violation of Rule 1.6.
33 However, a lawyer should encourage a client to consent to disclosure where prosecution would not
34 substantially prejudice the client's interests.

35 [3] If a lawyer were obliged to report every violation of the Rules, the failure to report any
36 violation would itself be a professional offense. Such a requirement existed in many jurisdictions but
37 proved to be unenforceable. This Rule limits the reporting obligation to those offenses that a self-
38 regulating profession must vigorously endeavor to prevent. A measure of judgment is, therefore,
39 required in complying with the provisions of this Rule. The term "substantial" refers to the
40 seriousness of the possible offense and not the quantum of evidence of which the lawyer is aware. A
41 report should be made to the bar disciplinary agency unless some other agency, such as a peer review
42 agency, is more appropriate in the circumstances. Similar considerations apply to the reporting of
43 judicial misconduct.

² Adopted 12/9/19 (Petition 19-12, Section 2).

1 [4] The duty to report professional misconduct does not apply to a lawyer retained to
2 represent a lawyer whose professional conduct is in question. Such a situation is governed by the
3 Rules applicable to the client-lawyer relationship.

4 [5] Information about a lawyer's or judge's misconduct or fitness may be received by a
5 lawyer in the course of that lawyer's participation in an approved lawyers or judges assistance
6 program. In that circumstance, providing for an exception to the reporting requirements of
7 paragraphs (a) and (b) of this Rule encourages lawyers and judges to seek treatment through such a
8 program. Conversely, without such an exception, lawyers and judges may hesitate to seek assistance
9 from these programs, which may then result in additional harm to their professional careers and
10 additional injury to the welfare of clients and the public. These Rules do not otherwise address the
11 confidentiality of information received by a lawyer or judge participating in an approved lawyers'
12 assistance program; such an obligation, however, may be imposed by the rules of the program or
13 other law.

14 15 **SCR 20:8.4 Misconduct**

16 It is professional misconduct for a lawyer to:

17 (a) violate or attempt to violate the Rules of Professional
18 Conduct, knowingly assist or induce another to do so, or do so through
19 the acts of another;

20 (b) commit a criminal act that reflects adversely on the lawyer's
21 honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer in other respects;

22 23 WISCONSIN COMMENT

24 In addition to the obligations in this rule, Wisconsin Attorneys should note the obligations
25 concerning notification set forth in SCR 21.15(5) and SCR 22.22(1).

26
27 (c) engage in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit or
28 misrepresentation;

29 (d) state or imply an ability to influence improperly a government
30 agency or official or to achieve results by means that violate the Rules
31 of Professional Conduct or other law;

32 (e) knowingly assist a judge or judicial officer in conduct that is a
33 violation of applicable rules of judicial conduct or other law; or

34 (f) violate a statute, supreme court rule, supreme court order or
35 supreme court decision regulating the conduct of lawyers;

36 (g) violate the attorney's oath;

37 (h) fail to cooperate in the investigation of a grievance filed with
38 the office of lawyer regulation as required by SCR 21.15(4), SCR
39 22.001(9)(b), SCR 22.03(2), SCR 22.03(6), or SCR 22.04(1); or

40 (i) harass a person on the basis of sex, race, age, creed, religion,
41 color, national origin, disability, sexual preference or marital status in
42 connection with the lawyer's professional activities. Legitimate
43 advocacy respecting the foregoing factors does not violate par. (i).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

WISCONSIN COMMENT

Intentional violation of tax laws, including failure to file tax returns or failure to pay taxes may violate SCR 20:8.4(f), absent a showing of inability to pay. In re Disciplinary Proceedings Against Cassidy, 172 Wis. 2d 600, 493 N.W.2d 362 (1992).

WISCONSIN COMMITTEE COMMENT

Failure to cooperate, paragraph (h), was previously enforced as a violation of paragraph (f). Paragraph (h) was added to the rule to provide better notice to lawyers of the obligation to cooperate. Other statutes, rules, orders, and decisions continue to be included within the definition of misconduct and are enforceable under paragraph (f).

Paragraphs (f) through (i) do not have counterparts in the Model Rule. What constitutes harassment under paragraph (i) may be determined with reference to anti-discrimination legislation and interpretive case law. Because of differences in content and numbering, care should be used when consulting the ABA Comment.

ABA COMMENT

[1] Lawyers are subject to discipline when they violate or attempt to violate the Rules of Professional Conduct, knowingly assist or induce another to do so or do so through the acts of another, as when they request or instruct an agent to do so on the lawyer's behalf. Paragraph (a), however, does not prohibit a lawyer from advising a client concerning action the client is legally entitled to take.

[2] Many kinds of illegal conduct reflect adversely on fitness to practice law, such as offenses involving fraud and the offense of willful failure to file an income tax return. However, some kinds of offenses carry no such implication. Traditionally, the distinction was drawn in terms of offenses involving "moral turpitude." That concept can be construed to include offenses concerning some matters of personal morality, such as adultery and comparable offenses, that have no specific connection to fitness for the practice of law. Although a lawyer is personally answerable to the entire criminal law, a lawyer should be professionally answerable only for offenses that indicate lack of those characteristics relevant to law practice. Offenses involving violence, dishonesty, breach of trust, or serious interference with the administration of justice are in that category. A pattern of repeated offenses, even ones of minor significance when considered separately, can indicate indifference to legal obligation.

[3] A lawyer who, in the course of representing a client, knowingly manifests by words or conduct, bias or prejudice based upon race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation or socioeconomic status, violates paragraph (d) when such actions are prejudicial to the administration of justice. Legitimate advocacy respecting the foregoing factors does not violate paragraph (d). A trial judge's finding that peremptory challenges were exercised on a discriminatory basis does not alone establish a violation of this Rule.

[4] A lawyer may refuse to comply with an obligation imposed by law upon a good faith belief that no valid obligation exists. The provisions of Rule 1.2(d) concerning a good faith challenge to the validity, scope, meaning or application of the law apply to challenges of legal regulation of the practice of law.

[5] Lawyers holding public office assume legal responsibilities going beyond those of other citizens. A lawyer's abuse of public office can suggest an inability to fulfill the professional role of

1 lawyers. The same is true of abuse of positions of private trust such as trustee, executor,
2 administrator, guardian, agent and officer, director or manager of a corporation or other organization.

3
4 **SCR 20:8.5 Disciplinary authority; choice of law**

5 (a) **Disciplinary authority.** A lawyer admitted to the bar of
6 this state is subject to the disciplinary authority of this state regardless
7 of where the lawyer's conduct occurs. A lawyer not admitted to the bar
8 of this state is also subject to the disciplinary authority of this state if
9 the lawyer provides or offers to provide any legal services in this state.
10 A lawyer may be subject to the disciplinary authority of both this state
11 and another jurisdiction for the same conduct.

12 (b) **Choice of law.** In the exercise of the disciplinary authority
13 of this state, the Rules of Professional Conduct to be applied shall be as
14 follows:

15 (1) for conduct in connection with a matter pending before a
16 tribunal, the rules of the jurisdiction in which the tribunal sits, unless the
17 rules of the tribunal provide otherwise; and

18 (2) for any other conduct,

19 (i) if the lawyer is admitted to the bar of only this state, the
20 rules to be applied shall be the rules of this state.

21 (ii) if the lawyer is admitted to the bars of this state and
22 another jurisdiction, the rules to be applied shall be the rules of the
23 admitting jurisdiction in which the lawyer principally practices, except
24 that if particular conduct clearly has its predominant effect in another
25 jurisdiction in which the lawyer is admitted to the bar, the rules of that
26 jurisdiction shall be applied to that conduct.

27 (iii) if the lawyer is admitted to the bar in another
28 jurisdiction and is providing legal services in this state as allowed under
29 these rules, the rules to be applied shall be the rules of this state.

30 (c) A lawyer shall not be subject to discipline if the lawyer's
31 conduct conforms to the rules of a jurisdiction in which the lawyer
32 reasonably believes the predominant effect of the lawyer's conduct will
33 occur.

34
35 **WISCONSIN COMMITTEE COMMENT**

36
37 SCR 20:8.5 differs from the ABA Model Rule 8.5. Due to substantive and numbering
38 differences, special care should be taken in consulting the ABA Comment.

ABA COMMENT

Disciplinary Authority

[1] It is longstanding law that the conduct of a lawyer admitted to practice in this jurisdiction is subject to the disciplinary authority of this jurisdiction. Extension of the disciplinary authority of this jurisdiction to other lawyers who provide or offer to provide legal services in this jurisdiction is for the protection of the citizens of this jurisdiction. Reciprocal enforcement of a jurisdiction's disciplinary findings and sanctions will further advance the purposes of this Rule. See, Rules 6 and 22, ABA Model Rules for Lawyer Disciplinary Enforcement. A lawyer who is subject to the disciplinary authority of this jurisdiction under Rule 8.5(a) appoints an official to be designated by this Court to receive service of process in this jurisdiction. The fact that the lawyer is subject to the disciplinary authority of this jurisdiction may be a factor in determining whether personal jurisdiction may be asserted over the lawyer for civil matters.

Choice of Law

[2] A lawyer may be potentially subject to more than one set of rules of professional conduct which impose different obligations. The lawyer may be licensed to practice in more than one jurisdiction with differing rules, or may be admitted to practice before a particular court with rules that differ from those of the jurisdiction or jurisdictions in which the lawyer is licensed to practice. Additionally, the lawyer's conduct may involve significant contacts with more than one jurisdiction.

[3] Paragraph (b) seeks to resolve such potential conflicts. Its premise is that minimizing conflicts between rules, as well as uncertainty about which rules are applicable, is in the best interest of both clients and the profession (as well as the bodies having authority to regulate the profession). Accordingly, it takes the approach of (i) providing that any particular conduct of a lawyer shall be subject to only one set of rules of professional conduct, (ii) making the determination of which set of rules applies to particular conduct as straightforward as possible, consistent with recognition of appropriate regulatory interests of relevant jurisdictions, and (iii) providing protection from discipline for lawyers who act reasonably in the face of uncertainty.

[4] Paragraph (b)(1) provides that as to a lawyer's conduct relating to a proceeding pending before a tribunal, the lawyer shall be subject only to the rules of the jurisdiction in which the tribunal sits unless the rules of the tribunal, including its choice of law rule, provide otherwise. As to all other conduct, including conduct in anticipation of a proceeding not yet pending before a tribunal, paragraph (b)(2) provides that a lawyer shall be subject to the rules of the jurisdiction in which the lawyer's conduct occurred, or, if the predominant effect of the conduct is in another jurisdiction, the rules of that jurisdiction shall be applied to the conduct. In the case of conduct in anticipation of a proceeding that is likely to be before a tribunal, the predominant effect of such conduct could be where the conduct occurred, where the tribunal sits or in another jurisdiction.

[5] When a lawyer's conduct involves significant contacts with more than one jurisdiction, it may not be clear whether the predominant effect of the lawyer's conduct will occur in a jurisdiction other than the one in which the conduct occurred. So long as the lawyer's conduct conforms to the rules of a jurisdiction in which the lawyer reasonably believes the predominant effect will occur, the lawyer shall not be subject to discipline under this Rule.

[6] If two admitting jurisdictions were to proceed against a lawyer for the same conduct, they should, applying this rule, identify the same governing ethics rules. They should take all appropriate steps to see that they do apply the same rule to the same conduct, and in all events should avoid proceeding against a lawyer on the basis of two inconsistent rules.

[7] The choice of law provision applies to lawyers engaged in transnational practice, unless international law, treaties or other agreements between competent regulatory authorities in the affected jurisdictions provide otherwise.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10

Adopted by the supreme court on June 10, 1987, effective January 1, 1988; amended January 1, 1989; November 6, 1990; May 29, 1991; October 25, 1991; November 21, 1991; April 19, 1995; November 15, 1995; June 26, 1996; October 28, 1996; March 18, 1997; June 4, 1998; October 30, 1998.; November 9, 1999; November 14, 2001; April 30, 2004; July 1, 2007; January 1, 2009; July 1, 2009; January 1, 2010; October 1, 2013; January 1, 2015; July 1, 2016; December 7, 2016; January 1, 2017, July 1, 2017.

DRAFT