

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

SCR CHAPTER 20

RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT FOR ATTORNEYS

This document reflects the changes approved by the court affecting SCR ch. 20. Pending changes are highlighted.¹ It remains subject to the court’s further consideration of the remaining rule petitions and to review any technical correction. When the technical review is complete and the court has approved the final draft, an order will issue with an anticipated effective date of January 1, 2020.

COUNSELOR

SCR 20:2.1 Advisor

In representing a client, a lawyer shall exercise independent professional judgment and render candid advice. In rendering advice, a lawyer may refer not only to law but to other considerations such as moral, economic, social, and political factors that may be relevant to the client's situation.

ABA COMMENT

Scope of Advice

[1] A client is entitled to straightforward advice expressing the lawyer's honest assessment. Legal advice often involves unpleasant facts and alternatives that a client may be disinclined to confront. In presenting advice, a lawyer endeavors to sustain the client's morale and may put advice in as acceptable a form as honesty permits. However, a lawyer should not be deterred from giving candid advice by the prospect that the advice will be unpalatable to the client.

Advice couched in narrow legal terms may be of little value to a client, especially where practical considerations, such as cost or effects on other people, are predominant. Purely technical legal advice, therefore, can sometimes be inadequate. It is proper for a lawyer to refer to relevant moral and ethical considerations in giving advice. Although a lawyer is not a moral advisor as such, moral and ethical considerations impinge upon most legal questions and may decisively influence how the law will be applied.

[2] A client may expressly or impliedly ask the lawyer for purely technical advice. When such a request is made by a client experienced in legal matters, the lawyer may accept it at face value. When such a request is made by a client inexperienced in legal matters, however, the lawyer's

¹ Blue: 9/16/19 conference; Green: 10/29/19 conference; Pink 12/9/19 conference; Yellow: proposed changes that remain under advisement.

1 responsibility as advisor may include indicating that more may be involved than strictly legal
2 considerations.

3 [3] Matters that go beyond strictly legal questions may also be in the domain of another
4 profession. Family matters can involve problems within the professional competence of psychiatry,
5 clinical psychology or social work; business matters can involve problems within the competence of
6 the accounting profession or of financial specialists. Where consultation with a professional in another
7 field is itself something a competent lawyer would recommend, the lawyer should make such a
8 recommendation. At the same time, a lawyer's advice at its best often consists of recommending a
9 course of action in the face of conflicting recommendations of experts.

10 **Offering Advice**

11 [4] In general, a lawyer is not expected to give advice until asked by the client. However,
12 when a lawyer knows that a client proposes a course of action that is likely to result in substantial
13 adverse legal consequences to the client, the lawyer's duty to the client under Rule 1.4 may require that
14 the lawyer offer advice if the client's course of action is related to the representation. Similarly, when
15 a matter is likely to involve litigation, it may be necessary under Rule 1.4 to inform the client of forms
16 of dispute resolution that might constitute reasonable alternatives to litigation. A lawyer ordinarily has
17 no duty to initiate investigation of a client's affairs or to give advice that the client has indicated is
18 unwanted, but a lawyer may initiate advice to a client when doing so appears to be in the client's
19 interest.

20 21 **SCR 20:2.2 Omitted.**

22 23 **SCR 20:2.3 Evaluation for use by 3rd persons**

24 (a) A lawyer may provide an evaluation of a matter affecting a
25 client for the use of someone other than the client if the lawyer reasonably
26 believes that making the evaluation is compatible with other aspects of
27 the lawyer's relationship with the client.

28 (b) When the lawyer knows or reasonably should know that the
29 evaluation is likely to affect the client's interests materially and adversely,
30 the lawyer shall not provide the evaluation unless the client gives
31 informed consent.

32 (c) Except as disclosure is authorized in connection with a report
33 of an evaluation, information relating to the evaluation is otherwise
34 protected by SCR 20:1.6.

35 36 **ABA COMMENT**

37 38 **Definition**

39 [1] An evaluation may be performed at the client's direction or when impliedly authorized in
40 order to carry out the representation. See Rule 1.2. Such an evaluation may be for the primary purpose
41 of establishing information for the benefit of third parties; for example, an opinion concerning the title
42 of property rendered at the behest of a vendor for the information of a prospective purchaser, or at the
43 behest of a borrower for the information of a prospective lender. In some situations, the evaluation
44 may be required by a government agency; for example, an opinion concerning the legality of the

1 securities registered for sale under the securities laws. In other instances, the evaluation may be
2 required by a third person, such as a purchaser of a business.

3 [2] A legal evaluation should be distinguished from an investigation of a person with whom
4 the lawyer does not have a client-lawyer relationship. For example, a lawyer retained by a purchaser
5 to analyze a vendor's title to property does not have a client-lawyer relationship with the vendor. So
6 also, an investigation into a person's affairs by a government lawyer, or by special counsel by a
7 government lawyer, or by special counsel employed by the government, is not an evaluation as that
8 term is used in this Rule. The question is whether the lawyer is retained by the person whose affairs
9 are being examined. When the lawyer is retained by that person, the general rules concerning loyalty
10 to client and preservation of confidences apply, which is not the case if the lawyer is retained by
11 someone else. For this reason, it is essential to identify the person by whom the lawyer is retained.
12 This should be made clear not only to the person under examination, but also to others to whom the
13 results are to be made available.

14 **Duties Owed to Third Person and Client**

15 [3] When the evaluation is intended for the information or use of a third person, a legal duty
16 to that person may or may not arise. That legal question is beyond the scope of this Rule. However,
17 since such an evaluation involves a departure from the normal client-lawyer relationship, careful
18 analysis of the situation is required. The lawyer must be satisfied as a matter of professional judgment
19 that making the evaluation is compatible with other functions undertaken in behalf of the client. For
20 example, if the lawyer is acting as advocate in defending the client against charges of fraud, it would
21 normally be incompatible with that responsibility for the lawyer to perform an evaluation for others
22 concerning the same or a related transaction. Assuming no such impediment is apparent, however, the
23 lawyer should advise the client of the implications of the evaluation, particularly the lawyer's
24 responsibilities to third persons and the duty to disseminate the findings.

25 **Access to and Disclosure of Information**

26 [4] The quality of an evaluation depends on the freedom and extent of the investigation upon
27 which it is based. Ordinarily a lawyer should have whatever latitude of investigation seems necessary
28 as a matter of professional judgment. Under some circumstances, however, the terms of the evaluation
29 may be limited. For example, certain issues or sources may be categorically excluded, or the scope of
30 search may be limited by time constraints or the noncooperation of persons having relevant
31 information. Any such limitations that are material to the evaluation should be described in the report.
32 If after a lawyer has commenced an evaluation, the client refuses to comply with the terms upon which
33 it was understood the evaluation was to have been made, the lawyer's obligations are determined by
34 law, having reference to the terms of the client's agreement and the surrounding circumstances. In no
35 circumstances is the lawyer permitted to knowingly make a false statement of material fact or law in
36 providing an evaluation under this Rule. See Rule 4.1.

37 **Obtaining Client's Informed Consent**

38 [5] Information relating to an evaluation is protected by Rule 1.6. In many situations,
39 providing an evaluation to a third party poses no significant risk to the client; thus, the lawyer may be
40 impliedly authorized to disclose information to carry out the representation. See Rule 1.6(a). Where,
41 however, it is reasonably likely that providing the evaluation will affect the client's interests materially
42 and adversely, the lawyer must first obtain the client's consent after the client has been adequately
43 informed concerning the important possible effects on the client's interests. See Rules 1.6(a) and 1.0(e).

45 **Financial Auditors' Requests for Information**

46 [6] When a question concerning the legal situation of a client arises at the instance of the
47 client's financial auditor and the question is referred to the lawyer, the lawyer's response may be made
48 in accordance with procedures recognized in the legal profession. Such a procedure is set forth in the
49 American Bar Association Statement of Policy Regarding Lawyers' Responses to Auditors' Requests

1 for Information, adopted in 1975.

2
3 **SCR 20:2.4 Lawyer serving as 3rd-party neutral**

4 (a) A lawyer serves as a 3rd-party neutral when the lawyer assists
5 two or more persons who are not clients of the lawyer to reach a
6 resolution of a dispute or other matter that has arisen between them.
7 Service as a 3rd-party neutral may include service as an arbitrator, a
8 mediator or in such other capacity as will enable the lawyer to assist the
9 parties to resolve the matter.

10 (b) A lawyer serving as a 3rd-party neutral shall inform
11 unrepresented parties that the lawyer is not representing them. When the
12 lawyer knows or reasonably should know that a party does not understand
13 the lawyer's role in the matter, the lawyer shall explain the difference
14 between the lawyer's role as a 3rd-party neutral and a lawyer's role as one
15 who represents a client.

16 (c)(1) A lawyer serving as mediator in a case arising under ch.
17 767, stats., in which the parties have resolved one or more issues being
18 mediated may draft, select, complete, modify, or file documents
19 confirming, memorializing, or implementing such resolution, as long
20 as the lawyer maintains his or her neutrality throughout the process and
21 both parties give their informed consent, confirmed in a writing signed
22 by the parties to the mediation. For purposes of this subsection,
23 informed consent requires, at a minimum, the lawyer to disclose to each
24 party any interest or relationship that is likely to affect the lawyer's
25 impartiality in the case or to create an appearance of partiality or bias
26 and that the lawyer explain all of the following to each of the parties:

27 a. The limits of the lawyer's role.

28 b. That the lawyer does not represent either party to the
29 mediation.

30 c. That the lawyer cannot give legal advice or advocate on behalf
31 of either party to the mediation.

32 d. The desirability of seeking independent legal advice before
33 executing any documents prepared by the lawyer-mediator.

34 (2) The drafting, selection, completion, modification, and filing
35 of documents pursuant to par. (1) does not create a client-lawyer
36 relationship between the lawyer and a party.

37 (3) Notwithstanding par. (2), in drafting, selecting, completing
38 or modifying the documents referred to in par. (1), a lawyer serving as
39 mediator shall exercise the same degree of competence and shall act

1 with the same degree of diligence as SCR 20:1.1 and 20:1.3 would
2 require if the lawyer were representing the parties to the mediation.

3 (4) A lawyer serving as mediator who has prepared documents
4 pursuant to par. (1) may, with the informed consent of all parties to the
5 mediation, file such documents with the court. However, a lawyer who
6 has served as a mediator may not appear in court on behalf of either or
7 both of the parties in mediation.

8 (5) Any document prepared pursuant to this subsection that is
9 filed with the court shall clearly indicate on the document that it was
10 "prepared with the assistance of a lawyer acting as mediator."
11

12 WISCONSIN COMMENT

13
14 Mediation is a process designed to resolve disputes between two or more parties
15 through agreement facilitated by a neutral person. Although many lawyers
16 routinely act as mediators, there has been some concern about the applicability of
17 the SCR 20:1.1 to lawyers acting as mediators. However, the selection, drafting,
18 completion, modification, or filing of legal documents or agreements to
19 memorialize or implement a mediated settlement does constitute the practice of
20 law and is regulated by SCR Chapter 23. See SCR 23.01. The purpose of
21 subsection (c) is to clarify that a lawyer serving as mediator in a Chapter 767
22 proceeding may, while acting in that capacity, memorialize the outcome of the
23 mediation, if it can be done without compromising his or her neutrality and that,
24 by doing so, the lawyer does not assume a client-lawyer relationship with either
25 party. The lawyer serving as mediator may not at any stage of the process attempt
26 to advance the interests of one party at the expense of any other party.

27 Although a lawyer acting as mediator should strive to anticipate the
28 issues and resolve them prior to documenting the outcome of the mediation, the
29 process of documenting itself may illuminate or create previously unforeseen
30 issues. For this reason, the mediator should make it clear to the parties that the
31 process of documentation is part of the mediation and the mediator must maintain
32 neutrality throughout that process.

33 Likewise, even after documents confirming, memorializing, or
34 implementing the resolution of issues have been finalized, other previously-
35 unidentified or unresolved issues may arise. The mediator may, as an extension
36 of the original mediation, continue in a neutral capacity to assist the parties in
37 resolving and memorializing those issues. While this rule does not require the
38 mediator to resolve or memorialize all issues, the prudent mediator may want to
39 consider identifying any issues the parties have intentionally left unresolved.

40 Documents drafted, selected, completed or modified by a mediator can
41 have consequences an unrepresented party might not perceive. Although an
42 attorney acting as neutral mediator may attempt to explain those consequences to
43 the parties in mediation, he or she does not stand in a client-lawyer relationship
44 with either party and may not give legal advice to either or both parties while
45 acting in that neutral capacity. Moreover, because the line between discussing
46 consequences and dispensing advice is not always clear, a lawyer acting as
47 mediator who chooses to explain those consequences should take care to avoid
48 offering or appearing to offer legal advice. For these reasons, and to emphasize to
49 the parties that the lawyer acting as mediator does not represent the parties,
50 subsection (c)(1)(d) requires an attorney who has mediated a dispute between

1 unrepresented parties to recommend that each seek independent legal advice
 2 before executing the documents that attorney has drafted, selected, completed, or
 3 modified.

4 Notwithstanding that no client-lawyer relationship is created when a
 5 lawyer-mediator drafts documents pursuant to this rule, subsection (c)(3) imposes
 6 duties of competence and diligence in connection with the drafting of such
 7 documents. A lawyer who fails to fulfill such duties violates SCR 20:2.4(c)(4).

8 Filing documents prepared pursuant to this subsection in court can often
 9 be accomplished most efficiently by a lawyer familiar with the documents and, as
 10 long as done with the consent of the parties to the mediation, may be accomplished
 11 by the mediator without impairing his or her neutrality. However, any appearance
 12 by a lawyer in court on behalf of one or more parties is so closely associated with
 13 advocacy that it could compromise the appearance of neutrality and/or provide an
 14 occasion to depart from it. For this reason, although a lawyer who has served as
 15 a mediator may file documents with the court, such a lawyer may not appear in
 16 court on behalf of one or both parties. A lawyer who has served as a third party
 17 neutral, such as a mediator in a matter, may not thereafter represent any party at
 18 any stage of the matter. See SCR 20:1.12.

19 Because the lawyer-mediator does not have a client-lawyer relationship
 20 with any of the parties, SCR 20:1.2(cm) does not apply. Subsection (5) makes it
 21 clear that the lawyer-mediator must make an equivalent disclosure. Filing of
 22 documents by a lawyer-mediator pursuant to this rule does not constitute an
 23 appearance in the matter.
 24
 25

26 ABA COMMENT

27
 28 [1] Alternative dispute resolution has become a substantial part of the civil justice system.
 29 Aside from representing clients in dispute-resolution processes, lawyers often serve as third-party
 30 neutrals. A third-party neutral is a person, such as a mediator, arbitrator, conciliator or evaluator, who
 31 assists the parties, represented or unrepresented, in the resolution of a dispute or in the arrangement of
 32 a transaction. Whether a third-party neutral serves primarily as a facilitator, evaluator or decision
 33 maker depends on the particular process that is either selected by the parties or mandated by a court.

34 [2] The role of a third-party neutral is not unique to lawyers, although, in some court-
 35 connected contexts, only lawyers are allowed to serve in this role or to handle certain types of cases.
 36 In performing this role, the lawyer may be subject to court rules or other law that apply either to third-
 37 party neutrals generally or to lawyers serving as third-party neutrals. Lawyer-neutrals may also be
 38 subject to various codes of ethics, such as the Code of Ethics for Arbitration in Commercial Disputes
 39 prepared by a joint committee of the American Bar Association and the American Arbitration
 40 Association or the Model Standards of Conduct for Mediators jointly prepared by the American Bar
 41 Association, the American Arbitration Association and the Society of Professionals in Dispute
 42 Resolution.

43 [3] Unlike nonlawyers who serve as third-party neutrals, lawyers serving in this role may
 44 experience unique problems as a result of differences between the role of a third-party neutral and a
 45 lawyer's service as a client representative. The potential for confusion is significant when the parties
 46 are unrepresented in the process. Thus, paragraph (b) requires a lawyer-neutral to inform unrepresented
 47 parties that the lawyer is not representing them. For some parties, particularly parties who frequently
 48 use dispute-resolution processes, this information will be sufficient. For others, particularly those who
 49 are using the process for the first time, more information will be required. Where appropriate, the
 50 lawyer should inform unrepresented parties of the important differences between the lawyer's role as
 51 third-party neutral and a lawyer's role as a client representative, including the inapplicability of the
 52 attorney-client evidentiary privilege. The extent of disclosure required under this paragraph will
 53 depend on the particular parties involved and the subject matter of the proceeding, as well as the

1 particular features of the dispute-resolution process selected.

2 [4] A lawyer who serves as a third-party neutral subsequently may be asked to serve as a
3 lawyer representing a client in the same matter. The conflicts of interest that arise for both the
4 individual lawyer and the lawyer's law firm are addressed in Rule 1.12.

5 [5] Lawyers who represent clients in alternative dispute-resolution processes are governed by
6 the Rules of Professional Conduct. When the dispute-resolution process takes place before a tribunal,
7 as in binding arbitration (see Rule 1.0(m)), the lawyer's duty of candor is governed by Rule 3.3.
8 Otherwise, the lawyer's duty of candor toward both the third-party neutral and other parties is governed
9 by Rule 4.1.

10
11 **ADVOCATE**

12
13 **SCR 20:3.1 Meritorious claims and contentions**

14 (a) In representing a client, a lawyer shall not:

15 (1) knowingly advance a claim or defense that is unwarranted
16 under existing law, except that the lawyer may advance such claim or
17 defense if it can be supported by good faith argument for an extension,
18 modification or reversal of existing law;

19 (am) A lawyer providing limited scope representation pursuant to
20 SCR 20:1.2(c) may rely on the otherwise self-represented person's
21 representation of facts, unless the lawyer has reason to believe that such
22 representations are false, or materially insufficient, in which instance the
23 lawyer shall make an independent reasonable inquiry into the facts.

24 (2) knowingly advance a factual position unless there is a basis for
25 doing so that is not frivolous; or

26 (3) file a suit, assert a position, conduct a defense, delay a trial or
27 take other action on behalf of the client when the lawyer knows or when
28 it is obvious that such an action would serve merely to harass or
29 maliciously injure another.

30 (b) A lawyer for the defendant in a criminal proceeding, or the
31 respondent in a proceeding that could result in deprivation of liberty, may
32 nevertheless so defend the proceeding as to require that every element of
33 the case be established.

34
35 **WISCONSIN COMMITTEE COMMENT**

36
37 This Wisconsin Supreme Court Rule differs from the Model Rule in expressly establishing a
38 subjective test for an ethical violation.

39
40 **ABA COMMENT**

41
42 [1] The advocate has a duty to use legal procedure for the fullest benefit of the client's cause,
43 but also a duty not to abuse legal procedure. The law, both procedural and substantive, establishes the
44 limits within which an advocate may proceed. However, the law is not always clear and never is static.

1 Accordingly, in determining the proper scope of advocacy, account must be taken of the law's
2 ambiguities and potential for change.

3 [2] The filing of an action or defense or similar action taken for a client is not frivolous merely
4 because the facts have not first been fully substantiated or because the lawyer expects to develop vital
5 evidence only by discovery. What is required of lawyers, however, is that they inform themselves
6 about the facts of their clients' cases and the applicable law and determine that they can make good
7 faith arguments in support of their clients' positions. Such action is not frivolous even though the
8 lawyer believes that the client's position ultimately will not prevail. The action is frivolous, however,
9 if the lawyer is unable either to make a good faith argument on the merits of the action taken or to
10 support the action taken by a good faith argument for an extension, modification or reversal of existing
11 law.

12 [3] The lawyer's obligations under this Rule are subordinate to federal or state constitutional
13 law that entitles a defendant in a criminal matter to the assistance of counsel in presenting a claim or
14 contention that otherwise would be prohibited by this Rule.

15 **SCR 20:3.2 Expediting litigation**

16
17 A lawyer shall make reasonable efforts to expedite litigation
18 consistent with the interests of the client.
19

20 **ABA COMMENT**

21
22 [1] Dilatory practices bring the administration of justice into disrepute. Although there will be
23 occasions when a lawyer may properly seek a postponement for personal reasons, it is not proper for
24 a lawyer to routinely fail to expedite litigation solely for the convenience of the advocates. Nor will a
25 failure to expedite be reasonable if done for the purpose of frustrating an opposing party's attempt to
26 obtain rightful redress or repose. It is not a justification that similar conduct is often tolerated by the
27 bench and bar. The question is whether a competent lawyer acting in good faith would regard the
28 course of action as having some substantial purpose other than delay. Realizing financial or other
29 benefit from otherwise improper delay in litigation is not a legitimate interest of the client.

30 **SCR 20:3.3 Candor toward the tribunal**

31
32 (a) A lawyer shall not knowingly:

33 (1) make a false statement of fact or law to a tribunal or fail to
34 correct a false statement of material fact or law previously made to the
35 tribunal by the lawyer;

36 (2) fail to disclose to the tribunal legal authority in the controlling
37 jurisdiction known to the lawyer to be directly adverse to the position of
38 the client and not disclosed by opposing counsel; or

39 (3) offer evidence that the lawyer knows to be false. If a lawyer,
40 the lawyer's client, or a witness called by the lawyer, has offered material
41 evidence and the lawyer comes to know of its falsity, the lawyer shall
42 take reasonable remedial measures, including, if necessary, disclosure to
43 the tribunal. A lawyer may refuse to offer evidence, other than the
44 testimony of a defendant in a criminal matter that the lawyer reasonably
45 believes is false.

1 (b) A lawyer who represents a client in an adjudicative proceeding
2 and who knows that a person intends to engage, is engaging, or has
3 engaged in criminal or fraudulent conduct related to the proceeding shall
4 take reasonable remedial measures, including, if necessary, disclosure to
5 the tribunal.

6 (c) The duties stated in pars. (a) and (b) apply even if compliance
7 requires disclosure of information otherwise protected by SCR 20:1.6.

8 (d) In an ex parte proceeding, a lawyer shall inform the tribunal of
9 all material facts known to the lawyer that will enable the tribunal to make
10 an informed decision, whether or not the facts are adverse.

11 WISCONSIN COMMITTEE COMMENT

12 Unlike its Model Rule counterpart, paragraph (c) does not specify when the duties expire. For
13 this reason, ABA Comment [13] is inapplicable.

14 ABA COMMENT

15 [1] This Rule governs the conduct of a lawyer who is representing a client in the proceedings
16 of a tribunal. See Rule 1.0(m) for the definition of "tribunal." It also applies when the lawyer is
17 representing a client in an ancillary proceeding conducted pursuant to the tribunal's adjudicative
18 authority, such as a deposition. Thus, for example, paragraph (a)(3) requires a lawyer to take
19 reasonable remedial measures if the lawyer comes to know that a client who is testifying in a deposition
20 has offered evidence that is false.

21 [2] This Rule sets forth the special duties of lawyers as officers of the court to avoid conduct
22 that undermines the integrity of the adjudicative process. A lawyer acting as an advocate in an
23 adjudicative proceeding has an obligation to present the client's case with persuasive force.
24 Performance of that duty while maintaining confidences of the client, however, is qualified by the
25 advocate's duty of candor to the tribunal. Consequently, although a lawyer in an adversary proceeding
26 is not required to present an impartial exposition of the law or to vouch for the evidence submitted in
27 a cause, the lawyer must not allow the tribunal to be misled by false statements of law or fact or
28 evidence that the lawyer knows to be false.

29 **Representations by a Lawyer**

30 [3] An advocate is responsible for pleadings and other documents prepared for litigation, but
31 is usually not required to have personal knowledge of matters asserted therein, for litigation documents
32 ordinarily present assertions by the client, or by someone on the client's behalf, and not assertions by
33 the lawyer. Compare Rule 3.1. However, an assertion purporting to be on the lawyer's own knowledge,
34 as in an affidavit by the lawyer or in a statement in open court, may properly be made only when the
35 lawyer knows the assertion is true or believes it to be true on the basis of a reasonably diligent inquiry.
36 There are circumstances where failure to make a disclosure is the equivalent of an affirmative
37 misrepresentation. The obligation prescribed in Rule 1.2(d) not to counsel a client to commit or assist
38 the client in committing a fraud applies in litigation. Regarding compliance with Rule 1.2(d), see the
39 Comment to that Rule. See also the Comment to Rule 8.4(b).

40 **Legal Argument**

41 [4] Legal argument based on a knowingly false representation of law constitutes dishonesty
42 toward the tribunal. A lawyer is not required to make a disinterested exposition of the law, but must
43 recognize the existence of pertinent legal authorities. Furthermore, as stated in paragraph (a)(2), an
44

1 advocate has a duty to disclose directly adverse authority in the controlling jurisdiction that has not
2 been disclosed by the opposing party. The underlying concept is that legal argument is a discussion
3 seeking to determine the legal premises properly applicable to the case.

4 **Offering Evidence**

5 [5] Paragraph (a)(3) requires that the lawyer refuse to offer evidence that the lawyer knows
6 to be false, regardless of the client's wishes. This duty is premised on the lawyer's obligation as an
7 officer of the court to prevent the trier of fact from being misled by false evidence. A lawyer does not
8 violate this Rule if the lawyer offers the evidence for the purpose of establishing its falsity.

9 [6] If a lawyer knows that the client intends to testify falsely or wants the lawyer to introduce
10 false evidence, the lawyer should seek to persuade the client that the evidence should not be offered.
11 If the persuasion is ineffective and the lawyer continues to represent the client, the lawyer must refuse
12 to offer the false evidence. If only a portion of a witness's testimony will be false, the lawyer may call
13 the witness to testify but may not elicit or otherwise permit the witness to present the testimony that
14 the lawyer knows is false.

15 [7] The duties stated in paragraphs (a) and (b) apply to all lawyers, including defense counsel
16 in criminal cases. In some jurisdictions, however, courts have required counsel to present the accused
17 as a witness or to give a narrative statement if the accused so desires, even if counsel knows that the
18 testimony or statement will be false. The obligation of the advocate under the Rules of Professional
19 Conduct is subordinate to such requirements. See also Comment [9].

20 [8] The prohibition against offering false evidence only applies if the lawyer knows that the
21 evidence is false. A lawyer's reasonable belief that evidence is false does not preclude its presentation
22 to the trier of fact. A lawyer's knowledge that evidence is false, however, can be inferred from the
23 circumstances. See Rule 1.0(f). Thus, although a lawyer should resolve doubts about the veracity of
24 testimony or other evidence in favor of the client, the lawyer cannot ignore an obvious falsehood.

25 [9] Although paragraph (a)(3) only prohibits a lawyer from offering evidence the lawyer
26 knows to be false, it permits the lawyer to refuse to offer testimony or other proof that the lawyer
27 reasonably believes is false. Offering such proof may reflect adversely on the lawyer's ability to
28 discriminate in the quality of evidence and thus impair the lawyer's effectiveness as an advocate.
29 Because of the special protections historically provided criminal defendants, however, this Rule does
30 not permit a lawyer to refuse to offer the testimony of such a client where the lawyer reasonably
31 believes but does not know that the testimony will be false. Unless the lawyer knows the testimony
32 will be false, the lawyer must honor the client's decision to testify. See also Comment [7].

33 **Remedial Measures**

34 [10] Having offered material evidence in the belief that it was true, a lawyer may
35 subsequently come to know that the evidence is false. Or, a lawyer may be surprised when the lawyer's
36 client, or another witness called by the lawyer, offers testimony the lawyer knows to be false, either
37 during the lawyer's direct examination or in response to cross-examination by the opposing lawyer. In
38 such situations or if the lawyer knows of the falsity of testimony elicited from the client during a
39 deposition, the lawyer must take reasonable remedial measures. In such situations, the advocate's
40 proper course is to remonstrate with the client confidentially, advise the client of the lawyer's duty of
41 candor to the tribunal and seek the client's cooperation with respect to the withdrawal or correction of
42 the false statements or evidence. If that fails, the advocate must take further remedial action. If
43 withdrawal from the representation is not permitted or will not undo the effect of the false evidence,
44 the advocate must make such disclosure to the tribunal as is reasonably necessary to remedy the
45 situation, even if doing so requires the lawyer to reveal information that otherwise would be protected
46 by Rule 1.6. It is for the tribunal then to determine what should be done—making a statement about
47 the matter to the trier of fact, ordering a mistrial or perhaps nothing.

48 [11] The disclosure of a client's false testimony can result in grave consequences to the client,
49 including not only a sense of betrayal but also loss of the case and perhaps a prosecution for perjury.

1 But the alternative is that the lawyer cooperate in deceiving the court, thereby subverting the truth-
2 finding process which the adversary system is designed to implement. See Rule 1.2(d). Furthermore,
3 unless it is clearly understood that the lawyer will act upon the duty to disclose the existence of false
4 evidence, the client can simply reject the lawyer's advice to reveal the false evidence and insist that the
5 lawyer keep silent. Thus the client could in effect coerce the lawyer into being a party to fraud on the
6 court.

7 **Preserving Integrity of Adjudicative Process**

8 [12] Lawyers have a special obligation to protect a tribunal against criminal or fraudulent
9 conduct that undermines the integrity of the adjudicative process, such as bribing, intimidating or
10 otherwise unlawfully communicating with a witness, juror, court official or other participant in the
11 proceeding, unlawfully destroying or concealing documents or other evidence or failing to disclose
12 information to the tribunal when required by law to do so. Thus, paragraph (b) requires a lawyer to
13 take reasonable remedial measures, including disclosure if necessary, whenever the lawyer knows that
14 a person, including the lawyer's client, intends to engage, is engaging or has engaged in criminal or
15 fraudulent conduct related to the proceeding.

16 **Duration of Obligation**

17 [13] A practical time limit on the obligation to rectify false evidence or false statements of
18 law and fact has to be established. The conclusion of the proceeding is a reasonably definite point for
19 the termination of the obligation. A proceeding has concluded within the meaning of this Rule when a
20 final judgment in the proceeding has been affirmed on appeal or the time for review has passed.

21 **Ex Parte Proceedings**

22 [14] Ordinarily, an advocate has the limited responsibility of presenting one side of the
23 matters that a tribunal should consider in reaching a decision; the conflicting position is expected to be
24 presented by the opposing party. However, in any ex parte proceeding, such as an application for a
25 temporary restraining order, there is no balance of presentation by opposing advocates. The object of
26 an ex parte proceeding is nevertheless to yield a substantially just result. The judge has an affirmative
27 responsibility to accord the absent party just consideration. The lawyer for the represented party has
28 the correlative duty to make disclosures of material facts known to the lawyer and that the lawyer
29 reasonably believes are necessary to an informed decision.

30 **Withdrawal**

31 [15] Normally, a lawyer's compliance with the duty of candor imposed by this Rule does not
32 require that the lawyer withdraw from the representation of a client whose interests will be or have
33 been adversely affected by the lawyer's disclosure. The lawyer may, however, be required by Rule
34 1.16(a) to seek permission of the tribunal to withdraw if the lawyer's compliance with this Rule's duty
35 of candor results in such an extreme deterioration of the client-lawyer relationship that the lawyer can
36 no longer competently represent the client. Also see Rule 1.16(b) for the circumstances in which a
37 lawyer will be permitted to seek a tribunal's permission to withdraw. In connection with a request for
38 permission to withdraw that is premised on a client's misconduct, a lawyer may reveal information
39 relating to the representation only to the extent reasonably necessary to comply with this Rule or as
40 otherwise permitted by Rule 1.6.

41 **SCR 20:3.4 Fairness to opposing party and counsel**

42 A lawyer shall not:

43 (a) unlawfully obstruct another party's access to evidence or
44 unlawfully alter, destroy or conceal a document or other material having
45 potential evidentiary value. A lawyer shall not counsel or assist another
46 person to do any such act;
47

1 (b) falsify evidence, counsel or assist a witness to testify falsely, or
2 offer an inducement to a witness that is prohibited by law;

3 (c) knowingly disobey an obligation under the rules of a tribunal,
4 except for an open refusal based on an assertion that no valid obligation
5 exists;

6 (d) in pretrial procedure, make a frivolous discovery request or fail
7 to make reasonably diligent effort to comply with a legally proper
8 discovery request by an opposing party;

9 (e) in trial, allude to any matter that the lawyer does not reasonably
10 believe is relevant or that will not be supported by admissible evidence,
11 assert personal knowledge of facts in issue except when testifying as a
12 witness, or state a personal opinion as to the justness of a cause, the
13 credibility of a witness, the culpability of a civil litigant or the guilt or
14 innocence of an accused; or

15 (f) request a person other than a client to refrain from voluntarily
16 giving relevant information to another party unless:

17 (1) the person is a relative or an employee or other agent of a client;
18 and

19 (2) the lawyer reasonably believes that the person's interests will
20 not be adversely affected by refraining from giving such information.

21
22 ABA COMMENT
23

24 [1] The procedure of the adversary system contemplates that the evidence in a case is to be
25 marshalled competitively by the contending parties. Fair competition in the adversary system is
26 secured by prohibitions against destruction or concealment of evidence, improperly influencing
27 witnesses, obstructive tactics in discovery procedure, and the like.

28 [2] Documents and other items of evidence are often essential to establish a claim or defense.
29 Subject to evidentiary privileges, the right of an opposing party, including the government, to obtain
30 evidence through discovery or subpoena is an important procedural right. The exercise of that right
31 can be frustrated if relevant material is altered, concealed or destroyed. Applicable law in many
32 jurisdictions makes it an offense to destroy material for purpose of impairing its availability in a
33 pending proceeding or one whose commencement can be foreseen. Falsifying evidence is also
34 generally a criminal offense. Paragraph (a) applies to evidentiary material generally, including
35 computerized information. Applicable law may permit a lawyer to take temporary possession of
36 physical evidence of client crimes for the purpose of conducting a limited examination that will not
37 alter or destroy material characteristics of the evidence. In such a case, applicable law may require the
38 lawyer to turn the evidence over to the police or other prosecuting authority, depending on the
39 circumstances.

40 [3] With regard to paragraph (b), it is not improper to pay a witness's expenses or to
41 compensate an expert witness on terms permitted by law. The common-law rule in most jurisdictions
42 is that it is improper to pay an occurrence witness any fee for testifying and that it is improper to pay
43 an expert witness a contingent fee.

1 [4] Paragraph (f) permits a lawyer to advise employees of a client to refrain from giving
2 information to another party, for the employees may identify their interests with those of the client.
3 See also Rule 4.2.

4
5 **SCR 20:3.5 Impartiality and decorum of the tribunal**

6 A lawyer shall not:

7 (a) seek to influence a judge, juror, prospective juror or other
8 official by means prohibited by law;

9 (b) communicate ex parte with such a person during the
10 proceeding unless authorized to do so by law or court order or for
11 scheduling purposes if permitted by the court. If communication between
12 a lawyer and judge has occurred in order to schedule the matter, the
13 lawyer involved shall promptly notify the lawyer for the other party or
14 the other party, if unrepresented, of such communication;

15 (c) communicate with a juror or prospective juror after discharge
16 of the jury if:

17 (1) the communication is prohibited by law or court order;

18 (2) the juror has made known to the lawyer a desire not to
19 communicate; or

20 (3) the communication involves misrepresentation, coercion,
21 duress or harassment; or

22 (d) engage in conduct intended to disrupt a tribunal.
23

24 **WISCONSIN COMMITTEE COMMENT**

25
26 Paragraph (b) differs from the Model Rule in that it expressly imposes a duty promptly to
27 notify other parties in the event of an ex parte communication with a judge concerning scheduling.
28

29 **ABA COMMENT**

30
31 [1] Many forms of improper influence upon a tribunal are proscribed by criminal law. Others
32 are specified in the ABA Model Code of Judicial Conduct, with which an advocate should be familiar.
33 A lawyer is required to avoid contributing to a violation of such provisions.
34 During a proceeding a lawyer may not communicate ex parte with persons serving in an official
35 capacity in the proceeding, such as judges, masters or jurors, unless authorized to do so by law or court
36 order.

37 [2] A lawyer may on occasion want to communicate with a juror or prospective juror after
38 the jury has been discharged. The lawyer may do so unless the communication is prohibited by law or
39 a court order but must respect the desire of the juror not to talk with the lawyer. The lawyer may not
40 engage in improper conduct during the communication.

41 [3] The advocate's function is to present evidence and argument so that the cause may be
42 decided according to law. Refraining from abusive or obstreperous conduct is a corollary of the
43 advocate's right to speak on behalf of litigants. A lawyer may stand firm against abuse by a judge but
44 should avoid reciprocation; the judge's default is no justification for similar dereliction by an advocate.
45 An advocate can present the cause, protect the record for subsequent review and preserve professional

1 integrity by patient firmness no less effectively than by belligerence or theatrics.
2 The duty to refrain from disruptive conduct applies to any proceeding of a tribunal, including a
3 deposition. See Rule 1.0(m).

4
5 **SCR 20:3.6 Trial publicity**

6 (a) A lawyer who is participating or has participated in the
7 investigation or litigation of a matter shall not make an extrajudicial
8 statement that the lawyer knows or reasonably should know will be
9 disseminated by means of public communication and will have a
10 substantial likelihood of materially prejudicing an adjudicative
11 proceeding in the matter.

12 (b) A statement referred to in par. (a) ordinarily is likely to have
13 such an effect when it refers to a civil matter triable to a jury, a criminal
14 matter, or any other proceeding that could result in deprivation of liberty,
15 and the statement relates to:

16 (1) the character, credibility, reputation or criminal record of a
17 party, suspect in a criminal investigation or witness, or the identity of a
18 witness, or the expected testimony of a party or witness;

19 (2) in a criminal case or proceeding that could result in deprivation
20 of liberty, the possibility of a plea of guilty to the offense or the existence
21 or contents of any confession, admission, or statement given by a
22 defendant or suspect or that person's refusal or failure to make a
23 statement;

24 (3) the performance or results of any examination or test or the
25 refusal or failure of a person to submit to an examination or test, or the
26 identity or nature of physical evidence expected to be presented;

27 (4) any opinion as to the guilt or innocence of a defendant or
28 suspect in a criminal case or proceeding that could result in deprivation
29 of liberty;

30 (5) information the lawyer knows or reasonably should know is
31 likely to be inadmissible as evidence in a trial and would if disclosed
32 create a substantial risk of prejudicing an impartial trial; or

33 (6) the fact that a defendant has been charged with a crime, unless
34 there is included therein a statement explaining that the charge is merely
35 an accusation and that the defendant is presumed innocent until and
36 unless proven guilty.

37 (c) Notwithstanding pars. (a) and (b)(1) through (5), a lawyer may
38 state:

39 (1) the claim, offense or defense involved and, except when
40 prohibited by law, the identity of the persons involved;

1 (2) information contained in a public record;
2 (3) that an investigation of a matter is in progress;
3 (4) the scheduling or result of any step in litigation;
4 (5) a request for assistance in obtaining evidence and information
5 necessary thereto;

6 (6) a warning of danger concerning the behavior of a person
7 involved, when there is reason to believe that there exists the likelihood
8 of substantial harm to an individual or to the public interest; and

9 (7) in a criminal case, in addition to subs. (1) through (6):

10 (i) the identity, residence, occupation and family status of
11 the accused;

12 (ii) if the accused has not been apprehended, information
13 necessary to aid in apprehension of that person;

14 (iii) the fact, time and place of arrest; and

15 (iv) the identity of investigating and arresting officers or
16 agencies and the length of the investigation.

17 (d) Notwithstanding par. (a), a lawyer may make a statement that
18 a reasonable lawyer would believe is required to protect a client from the
19 substantial likelihood of undue prejudicial effect of recent publicity not
20 initiated by the lawyer or the lawyer's client. A statement made pursuant
21 to this paragraph shall be limited to such information as is necessary to
22 mitigate the recent adverse publicity.

23 (e) No lawyer associated in a firm or government agency with a
24 lawyer subject to par. (a) shall make a statement prohibited by par. (a).

25
26 **WISCONSIN COMMITTEE COMMENT**

27
28 Paragraph (b) contains provisions found in ABA Comment [5] but not contained in the Model
29 Rule. Because of the addition of paragraph (b), this rule and the Model Rule have differing numbering,
30 so that care should be used in consulting the ABA Comment.

31
32 **ABA COMMENT**

33
34 [1] It is difficult to strike a balance between protecting the right to a fair trial and safeguarding
35 the right of free expression. Preserving the right to a fair trial necessarily entails some curtailment of
36 the information that may be disseminated about a party prior to trial, particularly where trial by jury is
37 involved. If there were no such limits, the result would be the practical nullification of the protective
38 effect of the rules of forensic decorum and the exclusionary rules of evidence. On the other hand, there
39 are vital social interests served by the free dissemination of information about events having legal
40 consequences and about legal proceedings themselves. The public has a right to know about threats to
41 its safety and measures aimed at assuring its security. It also has a legitimate interest in the conduct of
42 judicial proceedings, particularly in matters of general public concern. Furthermore, the subject matter
43 of legal proceedings is often of direct significance in debate and deliberation over questions of public
44 policy.

1 [2] Special rules of confidentiality may validly govern proceedings in juvenile, domestic
2 relations and mental disability proceedings, and perhaps other types of litigation. Rule 3.4(c) requires
3 compliance with such rules.

4 [3] The Rule sets forth a basic general prohibition against a lawyer's making statements that
5 the lawyer knows or should know will have a substantial likelihood of materially prejudicing an
6 adjudicative proceeding. Recognizing that the public value of informed commentary is great and the
7 likelihood of prejudice to a proceeding by the commentary of a lawyer who is not involved in the
8 proceeding is small, the Rule applies only to lawyers who are, or who have been involved in the
9 investigation or litigation of a case, and their associates.

10 [4] Paragraph (b) identifies specific matters about which a lawyer's statements would not
11 ordinarily be considered to present a substantial likelihood of material prejudice, and should not in any
12 event be considered prohibited by the general prohibition of paragraph (a). Paragraph (b) is not
13 intended to be an exhaustive listing of the subjects upon which a lawyer may make a statement, but
14 statements on other matters may be subject to paragraph (a).

15 [5] There are, on the other hand, certain subjects that are more likely than not to have a
16 material prejudicial effect on a proceeding, particularly when they refer to a civil matter triable to a
17 jury, a criminal matter, or any other proceeding that could result in incarceration. These subjects relate
18 to:

19 (1) the character, credibility, reputation or criminal record of a party, suspect in a criminal
20 investigation or witness, or the identity of a witness, or the expected testimony of a party or witness;

21 (2) in a criminal case or proceeding that could result in incarceration, the possibility of a plea
22 of guilty to the offense or the existence or contents of any confession, admission, or statement given
23 by a defendant or suspect or that person's refusal or failure to make a statement;

24 (3) the performance or results of any examination or test or the refusal or failure of a person
25 to submit to an examination or test, or the identity or nature of physical evidence expected to be
26 presented;

27 (4) any opinion as to the guilt or innocence of a defendant or suspect in a criminal case or
28 proceeding that could result in incarceration;

29 (5) information that the lawyer knows or reasonably should know is likely to be inadmissible
30 as evidence in a trial and that would, if disclosed, create a substantial risk of prejudicing an impartial
31 trial; or

32 (6) the fact that a defendant has been charged with a crime, unless there is included therein a
33 statement explaining that the charge is merely an accusation and that the defendant is presumed
34 innocent until and unless proven guilty.

35 [6] Another relevant factor in determining prejudice is the nature of the proceeding involved.
36 Criminal jury trials will be most sensitive to extrajudicial speech. Civil trials may be less sensitive.
37 Non-jury hearings and arbitration proceedings may be even less affected. The Rule will still place
38 limitations on prejudicial comments in these cases, but the likelihood of prejudice may be different
39 depending on the type of proceeding.

40 [7] Finally, extrajudicial statements that might otherwise raise a question under this Rule may
41 be permissible when they are made in response to statements made publicly by another party, another
42 party's lawyer, or third persons, where a reasonable lawyer would believe a public response is required
43 in order to avoid prejudice to the lawyer's client. When prejudicial statements have been publicly made
44 by others, responsive statements may have the salutary effect of lessening any resulting adverse impact
45 on the adjudicative proceeding. Such responsive statements should be limited to contain only such
46 information as is necessary to mitigate undue prejudice created by the statements made by others.

47 [8] See Rule 3.8(f) for additional duties of prosecutors in connection with extrajudicial
48 statements about criminal proceedings.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

SCR 20:3.7 Lawyer as witness

(a) A lawyer shall not act as advocate at a trial in which the lawyer is likely to be a necessary witness unless:

- (1) the testimony relates to an uncontested issue;
- (2) the testimony relates to the nature and value of legal services rendered in the case; or
- (3) disqualification of the lawyer would work substantial hardship on the client.

(b) A lawyer may act as advocate in a trial in which another lawyer in the lawyer's firm is likely to be called as a witness unless precluded from doing so by SCR 20:1.7 or SCR 20:1.9.

ABA COMMENT

[1] Combining the roles of advocate and witness can prejudice the tribunal and the opposing party and can also involve a conflict of interest between the lawyer and client.

Advocate-Witness Rule

[2] The tribunal has proper objection when the trier of fact may be confused or misled by a lawyer serving as both advocate and witness. The opposing party has proper objection where the combination of roles may prejudice that party's rights in the litigation. A witness is required to testify on the basis of personal knowledge, while an advocate is expected to explain and comment on evidence given by others. It may not be clear whether a statement by an advocate-witness should be taken as proof or as an analysis of the proof.

[3] To protect the tribunal, paragraph (a) prohibits a lawyer from simultaneously serving as advocate and necessary witness except in those circumstances specified in paragraphs (a)(1) through (a)(3). Paragraph (a)(1) recognizes that if the testimony will be uncontested, the ambiguities in the dual role are purely theoretical. Paragraph (a)(2) recognizes that where the testimony concerns the extent and value of legal services rendered in the action in which the testimony is offered, permitting the lawyers to testify avoids the need for a second trial with new counsel to resolve that issue. Moreover, in such a situation the judge has firsthand knowledge of the matter in issue; hence, there is less dependence on the adversary process to test the credibility of the testimony.

[4] Apart from these two exceptions, paragraph (a)(3) recognizes that a balancing is required between the interests of the client and those of the tribunal and the opposing party. Whether the tribunal is likely to be misled or the opposing party is likely to suffer prejudice depends on the nature of the case, the importance and probable tenor of the lawyer's testimony, and the probability that the lawyer's testimony will conflict with that of other witnesses. Even if there is risk of such prejudice, in determining whether the lawyer should be disqualified, due regard must be given to the effect of disqualification on the lawyer's client. It is relevant that one or both parties could reasonably foresee that the lawyer would probably be a witness. The conflict of interest principles stated in Rules 1.7, 1.9, and 1.10 have no application to this aspect of the problem.

[5] Because the tribunal is not likely to be misled when a lawyer acts as advocate in a trial in which another lawyer in the lawyer's firm will testify as a necessary witness, paragraph (b) permits the lawyer to do so except in situations involving a conflict of interest.

Conflict of Interest

[6] In determining if it is permissible to act as advocate in a trial in which the lawyer will be a necessary witness, the lawyer must also consider that the dual role may give rise to a conflict of interest that will require compliance with Rules 1.7 or 1.9. For example, if there is likely to be a substantial conflict between the testimony of the client and that of the lawyer the representation involves a conflict of interest that requires compliance with Rule 1.7. This would be true even though the lawyer might not be prohibited by paragraph (a) from simultaneously serving as advocate and witness because the lawyer's disqualification would work a substantial hardship on the client. Similarly, a lawyer who might be permitted to simultaneously serve as an advocate and a witness by paragraph (a)(3) might be precluded from doing so by Rule 1.9. The problem can arise whether the lawyer is called as a witness on behalf of the client or is called by the opposing party. Determining whether or not such a conflict exists is primarily the responsibility of the lawyer involved. If there is a conflict of interest, the lawyer must secure the client's informed consent, confirmed in writing. In some cases, the lawyer will be precluded from seeking the client's consent. See Rule 1.7. See Rule 1.0(b) for the definition of "confirmed in writing" and Rule 1.0(e) for the definition of "informed consent."

[7] Paragraph (b) provides that a lawyer is not disqualified from serving as an advocate because a lawyer with whom the lawyer is associated in a firm is precluded from doing so by paragraph (a). If, however, the testifying lawyer would also be disqualified by Rule 1.7 or Rule 1.9 from representing the client in the matter, other lawyers in the firm will be precluded from representing the client by Rule 1.10 unless the client gives informed consent under the conditions stated in Rule 1.7.

SCR 20:3.8 Special responsibilities of a prosecutor

(a) A prosecutor in a criminal case or a proceeding that could result in deprivation of liberty shall not prosecute a charge that the prosecutor knows is not supported by probable cause.

(b) When communicating with an unrepresented person in the context of an investigation or proceeding, a prosecutor shall inform the person of the prosecutor's role and interest in the matter.

(c) When communicating with an unrepresented person who has a constitutional or statutory right to counsel, the prosecutor shall inform the person of the right to counsel and the procedures to obtain counsel and shall give that person a reasonable opportunity to obtain counsel.

(d) When communicating with an unrepresented person a prosecutor may discuss the matter, provide information regarding settlement, and negotiate a resolution which may include a waiver of constitutional and statutory rights, but a prosecutor, other than a municipal prosecutor, shall not:

(1) otherwise provide legal advice to the person, including, but not limited to whether to obtain counsel, whether to accept or reject a settlement offer, whether to waive important procedural rights or how the tribunal is likely to rule in the case, or

(2) assist the person in the completion of (i) guilty plea forms (ii) forms for the waiver of a preliminary hearing or (iii) forms for the waiver of a jury trial.

1 (e) A prosecutor shall not subpoena a lawyer in a grand jury or
2 other proceeding to present evidence about a past or present client unless
3 the prosecutor reasonably believes:

4 (1) the information sought is not protected from disclosure by any
5 applicable privilege;

6 (2) the evidence sought is essential to the successful completion of
7 an ongoing investigation or prosecution; and

8 (3) there is no other feasible alternative to obtain the information.

9 (f) A prosecutor, other than a municipal prosecutor, in a criminal
10 case or a proceeding that could result in deprivation of liberty shall:

11 (1) make timely disclosure to the defense of all evidence or
12 information known to the prosecutor that tends to negate the guilt of the
13 accused or mitigates the offense, and, in connection with sentencing,
14 disclose to the defense and to the tribunal all unprivileged mitigating
15 information known to the prosecutor, except when the prosecutor is
16 relieved of this responsibility by a protective order of the tribunal; and

17 (2) exercise reasonable care to prevent investigators, law
18 enforcement personnel, employees or other persons assisting or
19 associated with the prosecutor in a criminal case from making an
20 extrajudicial statement that the prosecutor would be prohibited from
21 making under SCR 20:3.6.

22 (g) When a prosecutor knows of new, credible, and material
23 evidence creating a reasonable likelihood that a convicted defendant did
24 not commit an offense of which the defendant was convicted, the
25 prosecutor shall do all of the following:

26 (1) promptly disclose that evidence to an appropriate court or
27 authority; and

28 (2) if the conviction was obtained in the prosecutor's jurisdiction:

29 (i) promptly make reasonable efforts to disclose that evidence to
30 the defendant unless a court authorizes delay; and

31 (ii) make reasonable efforts to undertake an investigation or cause
32 an investigation to be undertaken, to determine whether the defendant
33 was convicted of an offense that the defendant did not commit.

34 (h) When a prosecutor knows of clear and convincing evidence
35 establishing that a defendant in the prosecutor's jurisdiction was
36 convicted of an offense that the defendant did not commit, the prosecutor
37 shall seek to remedy the conviction.

38
39
40

1
2 The Wisconsin Supreme Court Rule differs from the Model Rule in several respects: (1)
3 paragraph (b) adds the reference to "in the context of an investigation or proceeding"; (2) paragraphs
4 (c) and (d) expand the rule by deleting a reference to communications occurring only "after the
5 commencement of litigation"; (3) paragraphs (d) and (f) exempt municipal prosecutors from certain
6 requirements of the rule. Care should be used in consulting the ABA Comment.

7 Wisconsin prosecutors have long embraced the notion that the duty to do justice
8 requires both holding offenders accountable and protecting the innocent. New Rule 20:3.8(g) and (h)
9 reinforces this notion. The Wisconsin rule differs slightly from the new A.B.A. rule to recognize limits
10 in the investigative resources of Wisconsin prosecutors.

11 This rule was not designed to address significant changes in the law that might affect the
12 incarceration status of a number of prisoners, such as where a statute is declared unconstitutional.

13 14 15 ABA COMMENT 16

17 [1] A prosecutor has the responsibility of a minister of justice and not simply that of an
18 advocate. This responsibility carries with it specific obligations to see that the defendant is accorded
19 procedural justice and that guilt is decided upon the basis of sufficient evidence. Precisely how far the
20 prosecutor is required to go in this direction is a matter of debate and varies in different jurisdictions.
21 Many jurisdictions have adopted the ABA Standards of Criminal Justice Relating to the Prosecution
22 Function, which in turn are the product of prolonged and careful deliberation by lawyers experienced
23 in both criminal prosecution and defense. Applicable law may require other measures by the prosecutor
24 and knowing disregard of those obligations or a systematic abuse of prosecutorial discretion could
25 constitute a violation of Rule 8.4.

26 [2] In some jurisdictions, a defendant may waive a preliminary hearing and thereby lose a
27 valuable opportunity to challenge probable cause. Accordingly, prosecutors should not seek to obtain
28 waivers of preliminary hearings or other important pretrial rights from unrepresented accused persons.
29 Paragraph (c) does not apply, however, to an accused appearing pro se with the approval of the tribunal.
30 Nor does it forbid the lawful questioning of an uncharged suspect who has knowingly waived the rights
31 to counsel and silence.

32 [3] The exception in paragraph (d) recognizes that a prosecutor may seek an appropriate
33 protective order from the tribunal if disclosure of information to the defense could result in substantial
34 harm to an individual or to the public interest.

35 [4] Paragraph (e) is intended to limit the issuance of lawyer subpoenas in grand jury and other
36 criminal proceedings to those situations in which there is a genuine need to intrude into the client-
37 lawyer relationship.

38 [5] Paragraph (f) supplements Rule 3.6, which prohibits extrajudicial statements that have a
39 substantial likelihood of prejudicing an adjudicatory proceeding. In the context of a criminal
40 prosecution, a prosecutor's extrajudicial statement can create the additional problem of increasing
41 public condemnation of the accused. Although the announcement of an indictment, for example, will
42 necessarily have severe consequences for the accused, a prosecutor can, and should, avoid comments
43 which have no legitimate law enforcement purpose and have a substantial likelihood of increasing
44 public opprobrium of the accused. Nothing in this Comment is intended to restrict the statements which
45 a prosecutor may make which comply with Rule 3.6(b) or 3.6(c).

46 [6] Like other lawyers, prosecutors are subject to Rules 5.1 and 5.3, which relate to
47 responsibilities regarding lawyers and nonlawyers who work for or are associated with the lawyer's
48 office. Paragraph (f) reminds the prosecutor of the importance of these obligations in connection with

1 the unique dangers of improper extrajudicial statements in a criminal case. In addition, paragraph (f)
2 requires a prosecutor to exercise reasonable care to prevent persons assisting or associated with the
3 prosecutor from making improper extrajudicial statements, even when such persons are not under the
4 direct supervision of the prosecutor. Ordinarily, the reasonable care standard will be satisfied if the
5 prosecutor issues the appropriate cautions to law-enforcement personnel and other relevant
6 individuals.

7 [7] When a prosecutor knows of new, credible and material evidence creating a reasonable
8 likelihood that a person outside the prosecutor's jurisdiction was convicted of a crime that the person
9 did not commit, paragraph (g) requires prompt disclosure to the court or other appropriate authority,
10 such as the chief prosecutor of the jurisdiction where the conviction occurred. If the conviction was
11 obtained in the prosecutor's jurisdiction, paragraph (g) requires the prosecutor to examine the evidence
12 and undertake further investigation to determine whether the defendant is in fact innocent or make
13 reasonable efforts to cause another appropriate authority to undertake the necessary investigation, and
14 to promptly disclose the evidence to the court and, absent court-authorized delay, to the defendant.
15 Consistent with the objectives of Rules 4.2 and 4.3, disclosure to a represented defendant must be
16 made through the defendant's counsel, and, in the case of an unrepresented defendant, would ordinarily
17 be accompanied by a request to a court for the appointment of counsel to assist the defendant in taking
18 such legal measures as may be appropriate.

19 [8] Under paragraph (h), once the prosecutor knows of clear and convincing evidence that
20 the defendant was convicted of an offense that the defendant did not commit, the prosecutor must seek
21 to remedy the conviction. Necessary steps may include disclosure of the evidence to the defendant,
22 requesting that the court appoint counsel for an unrepresented indigent defendant and, where
23 appropriate, notifying the court that the prosecutor has knowledge that the defendant did not commit
24 the offense of which the defendant was convicted.

25 [9] A prosecutor's independent judgment, made in good faith, that the new evidence is not of
26 such nature as to trigger the obligations of sections (g) and (h), though subsequently determined to
27 have been erroneous, does not constitute a violation of this Rule.

28
29
30
31 **SCR 20:3.9 Advocate in nonadjudicative proceedings**

32 A lawyer representing a client before a legislative body of
33 administrative agency in a nonadjudicative proceeding shall disclose that
34 the appearance is in a representative capacity and shall conform to the
35 provisions of SCR 20:3.3(a) through (c), SCR 20:3.4(a) through (c), and
36 SCR 20:3.5.
37

38 **ABA COMMENT**
39

40 [1] In representation before bodies such as legislatures, municipal councils, and executive
41 and administrative agencies acting in a rule-making or policy-making capacity, lawyers present facts,
42 formulate issues and advance argument in the matters under consideration. The decision-making body,
43 like a court, should be able to rely on the integrity of the submissions made to it. A lawyer appearing
44 before such a body must deal with it honestly and in conformity with applicable rules of procedure.
45 See Rules 3.3(a) through (c), 3.4(a) through (c) and 3.5.

46 [2] Lawyers have no exclusive right to appear before nonadjudicative bodies, as they do
47 before a court. The requirements of this Rule therefore may subject lawyers to regulations inapplicable

1 to advocates who are not lawyers. However, legislatures and administrative agencies have a right to
2 expect lawyers to deal with them as they deal with courts.

3 [3] This Rule only applies when a lawyer represents a client in connection with an official
4 hearing or meeting of a governmental agency or a legislative body to which the lawyer or the lawyer's
5 client is presenting evidence or argument. It does not apply to representation of a client in a negotiation
6 or other bilateral transaction with a governmental agency or in connection with an application for a
7 license or other privilege or the client's compliance with generally applicable reporting requirements,
8 such as the filing of income-tax returns. Nor does it apply to the representation of a client in connection
9 with an investigation or examination of the client's affairs conducted by government investigators or
10 examiners. Representation in such matters is governed by Rules 4.1 through 4.4.

11
12 **SCR 20:3.10 Omitted.**
13

14 **TRANSACTIONS WITH PERSONS**
15 **OTHER THAN CLIENTS**

16
17 **SCR 20:4.1 Truthfulness in statements to others**

18 (a) In the course of representing a client a lawyer shall not
19 knowingly:

20 (1) make a false statement of a material fact or law to a 3rd person;

21 or

22 (2) fail to disclose a material fact to a 3rd person when disclosure
23 is necessary to avoid assisting a criminal or fraudulent act by a client,
24 unless disclosure is prohibited by SCR 20:1.6.

25 (b) Notwithstanding par. (a), SCR 20:5.3(c)(1), and SCR 20:8.4, a
26 lawyer may advise or supervise others with respect to lawful investigative
27 activities.
28

29 **WISCONSIN COMMITTEE COMMENT**
30

31 Paragraph (b) has no counterpart in the Model Rule. As a general matter, a lawyer may advise
32 a client concerning whether proposed conduct is lawful. See SCR 20:1.2(d). This is allowed even in
33 circumstances in which the conduct involves some form of deception, for example the use of testers
34 to investigate unlawful discrimination or the use of undercover detectives to investigate theft in the
35 workplace. When the lawyer personally participates in the deception, however, serious questions arise.
36 See SCR 20:8.4(c). Paragraph (b) recognizes that, where the law expressly permits it, lawyers may
37 have limited involvement in certain investigative activities involving deception.

38 Lawful investigative activity may involve a lawyer as an advisor or supervisor only when the
39 lawyer in good faith believes there is a reasonable possibility that unlawful activity has taken place, is
40 taking place or will take place in the foreseeable future.

41
42 **ABA COMMENT**
43

1 **Misrepresentation**

2 [1] A lawyer is required to be truthful when dealing with others on a client's behalf, but
3 generally has no affirmative duty to inform an opposing party of relevant facts. A misrepresentation
4 can occur if the lawyer incorporates or affirms a statement of another person that the lawyer knows is
5 false. Misrepresentations can also occur by partially true but misleading statements or omissions that
6 are the equivalent of affirmative false statements. For dishonest conduct that does not amount to a false
7 statement or for misrepresentations by a lawyer other than in the course of representing a client, see
8 Rule 8.4.

9 **Statements of Fact**

10 [2] This Rule refers to statements of fact. Whether a particular statement should be regarded
11 as one of fact can depend on the circumstances. Under generally accepted conventions in negotiation,
12 certain types of statements ordinarily are not taken as statements of material fact. Estimates of price or
13 value placed on the subject of a transaction and a party's intentions as to an acceptable settlement of a
14 claim are ordinarily in this category, and so is the existence of an undisclosed principal except where
15 nondisclosure of the principal would constitute fraud. Lawyers should be mindful of their obligations
16 under applicable law to avoid criminal and tortious misrepresentation.

17 **Crime or Fraud by Client**

18 [3] Under Rule 1.2(d), a lawyer is prohibited from counseling or assisting a client in conduct
19 that the lawyer knows is criminal or fraudulent. Paragraph (b) states a specific application of the
20 principle set forth in Rule 1.2(d) and addresses the situation where a client's crime or fraud takes the
21 form of a lie or misrepresentation. Ordinarily, a lawyer can avoid assisting a client's crime or fraud by
22 withdrawing from the representation. Sometimes it may be necessary for the lawyer to give notice of
23 the fact of withdrawal and to disaffirm an opinion, document, affirmation or the like. In extreme cases,
24 substantive law may require a lawyer to disclose information relating to the representation to avoid
25 being deemed to have assisted the client's crime or fraud. If the lawyer can avoid assisting a client's
26 crime or fraud only by disclosing this information, then under paragraph (b) the lawyer is required to
27 do so, unless the disclosure is prohibited by Rule 1.6.

28
29 **SCR 20:4.2 Communication with person represented by**
30 **counsel**

31 (a) In representing a client, a lawyer shall not communicate about
32 the subject of the representation with a person the lawyer knows to be
33 represented by another lawyer in the matter, unless the lawyer has the
34 consent of the other lawyer or is authorized to do so by law or a court
35 order.

36 (b) An otherwise unrepresented party to whom limited scope
37 representation is being provided or has been provided in accordance with
38 SCR 20:1.2(c) is considered to be unrepresented for purposes of this rule
39 unless the lawyer providing limited scope representation notifies the
40 opposing lawyer otherwise.

41

ABA COMMENT

1
2
3 [1] This Rule contributes to the proper functioning of the legal system by protecting a person
4 who has chosen to be represented by a lawyer in a matter against possible overreaching by other
5 lawyers who are participating in the matter, interference by those lawyers with the client-lawyer
6 relationship and the uncounselled disclosure of information relating to the representation.

7 [2] This Rule applies to communications with any person who is represented by counsel
8 concerning the matter to which the communication relates.

9 [3] The Rule applies even though the represented person initiates or consents to the
10 communication. A lawyer must immediately terminate communication with a person if, after
11 commencing communication, the lawyer learns that the person is one with whom communication is
12 not permitted by this Rule.

13 [4] This Rule does not prohibit communication with a represented person, or an employee or
14 agent of such a person, concerning matters outside the representation. For example, the existence of a
15 controversy between a government agency and a private party, or between two organizations, does not
16 prohibit a lawyer for either from communicating with nonlawyer representatives of the other regarding
17 a separate matter. Nor does this Rule preclude communication with a represented person who is
18 seeking advice from a lawyer who is not otherwise representing a client in the matter. A lawyer may
19 not make a communication prohibited by this Rule through the acts of another. See Rule 8.4(a). Parties
20 to a matter may communicate directly with each other, and a lawyer is not prohibited from advising a
21 client concerning a communication that the client is legally entitled to make. Also, a lawyer having
22 independent justification or legal authorization for communicating with a represented person is
23 permitted to do so.

24 [5] Communications authorized by law may include communications by a lawyer on behalf
25 of a client who is exercising a constitutional or other legal right to communicate with the government.
26 Communications authorized by law may also include investigative activities of lawyers representing
27 governmental entities, directly or through investigative agents, prior to the commencement of criminal
28 or civil enforcement proceedings. When communicating with the accused in a criminal matter, a
29 government lawyer must comply with this Rule in addition to honoring the constitutional rights of the
30 accused. The fact that a communication does not violate a state or federal constitutional right is
31 insufficient to establish that the communication is permissible under this Rule.

32 [6] A lawyer who is uncertain whether a communication with a represented person is
33 permissible may seek a court order. A lawyer may also seek a court order in exceptional circumstances
34 to authorize a communication that would otherwise be prohibited by this Rule, for example, where
35 communication with a person represented by counsel is necessary to avoid reasonably certain injury.

36 [7] In the case of a represented organization, this Rule prohibits communications with a
37 constituent of the organization who supervises, directs or regularly consults with the organization's
38 lawyer concerning the matter or has authority to obligate the organization with respect to the matter or
39 whose act or omission in connection with the matter may be imputed to the organization for purposes
40 of civil or criminal liability. Consent of the organization's lawyer is not required for communication
41 with a former constituent. If a constituent of the organization is represented in the matter by his or her
42 own counsel, the consent by that counsel to a communication will be sufficient for purposes of this
43 Rule. Compare Rule 3.4(f). In communicating with a current or former constituent of an organization,
44 a lawyer must not use methods of obtaining evidence that violate the legal rights of the organization.
45 See Rule 4.4.

46 [8] The prohibition on communications with a represented person only applies in
47 circumstances where the lawyer knows that the person is in fact represented in the matter to be
48 discussed. This means that the lawyer has actual knowledge of the fact of the representation; but such

1 actual knowledge may be inferred from the circumstances. See Rule 1.0(f). Thus, the lawyer cannot
2 evade the requirement of obtaining the consent of counsel by closing eyes to the obvious.

3 [9] In the event the person with whom the lawyer communicates is not known to be
4 represented by counsel in the matter, the lawyer's communications are subject to Rule 4.3.

5
6 **SCR 20:4.3 Dealing with unrepresented person**

7 (a) In dealing on behalf of a client with a person who is not
8 represented by counsel, a lawyer shall inform such person of the lawyer's
9 role in the matter. When the lawyer knows or reasonably should know
10 that the unrepresented person misunderstands the lawyer's role in the
11 matter, the lawyer shall make reasonable efforts to correct the
12 misunderstanding. The lawyer shall not give legal advice to an
13 unrepresented person, other than the advice to secure counsel, if the
14 lawyer knows or reasonably should know that the interests of such a
15 person are or have a reasonable possibility of being in conflict with the
16 interests of the client.

17 (b) An otherwise unrepresented party to whom limited scope
18 representation is being provided or has been provided in accordance with
19 SCR 20.1.2(c) is considered to be unrepresented for purposes of this rule
20 unless the lawyer providing limited scope representation notifies the
21 opposing lawyer otherwise.
22

23 **WISCONSIN COMMENT**
24

25 A municipal prosecutor's obligations under this rule should be read in conjunction with SCR
26 20:3.8(d) and (f).

27
28 **WISCONSIN COMMITTEE COMMENT**
29

30 This Wisconsin Supreme Court Rule differs from the Model Rule in requiring lawyers to
31 inform unrepresented persons of the lawyer's role in the matter, whereas the Model Rule requires only
32 that the lawyer not state or imply that the lawyer is disinterested. A similar obligation to clarify the
33 lawyer's role is expressed in SCR 20:1.13(f), SCR 20:2.4, SCR 20:3.8(b), and SCR 20:4.1.

34
35 **ABA COMMENT**
36

37 [1] An unrepresented person, particularly one not experienced in dealing with legal matters,
38 might assume that a lawyer is disinterested in loyalties or is a disinterested authority on the law even
39 when the lawyer represents a client. In order to avoid a misunderstanding, a lawyer will typically need
40 to identify the lawyer's client and, where necessary, explain that the client has interests opposed to
41 those of the unrepresented person. For misunderstandings that sometimes arise when a lawyer for an
42 organization deals with an unrepresented constituent, see Rule 1.13(f).

1 [2] The Rule distinguishes between situations involving unrepresented persons whose
 2 interests may be adverse to those of the lawyer's client and those in which the person's interests are not
 3 in conflict with the client's. In the former situation, the possibility that the lawyer will compromise the
 4 unrepresented person's interests is so great that the Rule prohibits the giving of any advice, apart from
 5 the advice to obtain counsel. Whether a lawyer is giving impermissible advice may depend on the
 6 experience and sophistication of the unrepresented person, as well as the setting in which the behavior
 7 and comments occur. This Rule does not prohibit a lawyer from negotiating the terms of a transaction
 8 or settling a dispute with an unrepresented person. So long as the lawyer has explained that the lawyer
 9 represents an adverse party and is not representing the person, the lawyer may inform the person of
 10 the terms on which the lawyer's client will enter into an agreement or settle a matter, prepare documents
 11 that require the person's signature and explain the lawyer's own view of the meaning of the document
 12 or the lawyer's view of the underlying legal obligations.

13 14 **SCR 20:4.4 Respect for rights of 3rd persons**

15 (a) In representing a client, a lawyer shall not use means that have
 16 no substantial purpose other than to embarrass, delay, or burden a 3rd
 17 person, or use methods of obtaining evidence that violate the legal rights
 18 of such a person.

19 (b) A lawyer who receives a document or electronically stored
 20 information relating to the representation of the lawyer's client and knows
 21 or reasonably should know that the document or electronically stored
 22 information was inadvertently sent shall promptly notify the sender.

23 (c) A lawyer who receives a document or electronically stored
 24 information relating to the representation of the lawyer's client and knows
 25 or reasonably should know that the document or electronically stored
 26 information contains information protected by the lawyer-client privilege
 27 or the work product rule and has been disclosed to the lawyer
 28 inadvertently shall:

29 (1) immediately terminate review or use of the document or
 30 electronically stored information;

31 (2) promptly notify the person or the person's lawyer if
 32 communication with the person is prohibited by SCR 20:4.2 of the
 33 inadvertent disclosure; and

34 (3) abide by that person's or lawyer's instructions with respect to
 35 disposition of the document or electronically stored information until
 36 obtaining a definitive ruling on the proper disposition from a court with
 37 appropriate jurisdiction.

38 39 **WISCONSIN COMMENT** 40

41 This Rule, unlike its Model Rule counterpart, contains paragraph (c), which
 42 specifically applies to information protected by the lawyer-client privilege and the work product rule.
 43 If a lawyer knows that the document or electronically stored information contains information

1 protected by the lawyer-client privilege or the work product rule and has been disclosed to the lawyer
2 inadvertently, then this Rule requires the lawyer to immediately terminate review or use of the
3 document or electronically stored information, promptly notify the person or the person's lawyer if
4 communication with the person is prohibited by SCR 20:4.2 of the inadvertent disclosure, and abide
5 by that person's or lawyer's instructions with respect to disposition of the document or electronically
6 stored information until obtaining a definitive ruling on the proper disposition from a court with
7 appropriate jurisdiction.

8 Due to substantive and numbering differences, special care should be taken in consulting the
9 ABA Comment.

10 ABA COMMENT

11
12
13 [1] Responsibility to a client requires a lawyer to subordinate the interests of others to those
14 of the client, but that responsibility does not imply that a lawyer may disregard the rights of third
15 persons. It is impractical to catalogue all such rights, but they include legal restrictions on methods of
16 obtaining evidence from third persons and unwarranted intrusions into privileged relationships, such
17 as the client-lawyer relationship.

18 [2] Paragraph (b) recognizes that lawyers sometimes receive a document or electronically
19 stored information that was mistakenly sent or produced by opposing parties or their lawyers. A
20 document or electronically stored information is inadvertently sent when it is accidentally transmitted,
21 such as when an email or letter is misaddressed or a document or electronically stored information is
22 accidentally included with information that was intentionally transmitted. If a lawyer knows or
23 reasonably should know that such a document or electronically stored information was sent
24 inadvertently, then this Rule requires the lawyer to promptly notify the sender in order to permit that
25 person to take protective measures. Whether the lawyer is required to take additional steps, such as
26 returning the original document or electronically stored information, is a matter of law beyond the
27 scope of these Rules, as is the question of whether the privileged status of a document or electronically
28 stored information has been waived. Similarly, this Rule does not address the legal duties of a lawyer
29 who receives a document or electronically stored information that the lawyer knows or reasonably
30 should know may have been inappropriately obtained by the sending person. For purposes of this Rule,
31 "document or electronically stored information" includes, in addition to paper documents, email or
32 other forms of electronically stored information, including embedded data (commonly referred to as
33 "metadata"), that is subject to being read or put into readable form. Metadata in electronic documents
34 creates an obligation under this Rule only if the receiving lawyer knows or reasonably should know
35 that the metadata was inadvertently sent to the receiving lawyer.

36 [3] Some lawyers may choose to return a document or delete electronically stored information
37 unread, for example, when the lawyer learns before receiving it that it was inadvertently sent. Where
38 a lawyer is not required by applicable law to do so, the decision to voluntarily return such a document
39 or delete electronically stored information is a matter of professional judgment ordinarily reserved to
40 the lawyer. See Rules 1.2 and 1.4.

41

1 **SCR 20:4.5 Guardians ad litem**

2 A lawyer appointed to act as a guardian ad litem or as an attorney
3 for the best interests of an individual represents, and shall act in, the
4 individual's best interests, even if doing so is contrary to the individual's
5 wishes. A lawyer so appointed shall comply with the Rules of
6 Professional Conduct that are consistent with the lawyer's role in
7 representing the best interests of the individual rather than the individual
8 personally.
9

10 **WISCONSIN COMMENT**
11

12 The Model Rules do not contain a counterpart provision. This rule reflects established case
13 law that a guardian ad litem in Wisconsin is a lawyer who represents the best interests of an individual,
14 not the individual personally. See *Paige K.B. v. Molepske*, 219 Wis. 2d 418, 580 N.W.2d 289 (1998);
15 *In re Steveon R.A.*, 196 Wis. 2d 171, 537 N.W.2d 142 (Ct. App. 1995). Supreme Court Rules,
16 Chapters 35—36, govern eligibility for appointment as guardian ad litem in certain situations.

17 This rule expressly recognizes that a lawyer who represents the best interests of the individual
18 does not have a client in the traditional sense but must comply with the Rules of Professional Conduct
19 to the extent the rules apply.
20

21 **LAW FIRMS AND ASSOCIATIONS**
22

23 **SCR 20:5.1 Responsibilities of partners, managers, and**
24 **supervisory lawyers**
25

26 (a) A partner in a law firm, and a lawyer who individually or
27 together with other lawyers possesses comparable managerial authority
28 in a law firm, shall make reasonable efforts to ensure that the firm has in
29 effect measures giving reasonable assurance that all lawyers in the firm
30 conform to the Rules of Professional Conduct.

31 (b) A lawyer having direct supervisory authority over another
32 lawyer shall make reasonable efforts to ensure that the other lawyer
33 conforms to the Rules of Professional Conduct.

34 (c) A lawyer shall be responsible for another lawyer's violation of
35 the Rules of Professional Conduct if:

36 (1) the lawyer orders or, with knowledge of the specific conduct,
37 ratifies the conduct involved; or

38 (2) the lawyer is a partner or has comparable managerial authority
39 in the law firm in which the other lawyer practices, or has direct
40 supervisory authority over the other lawyer, and knows of the conduct at
41 a time when its consequences can be avoided or mitigated but fails to take

1 reasonable remedial action.
2

3 ABA COMMENT
4

5 [1] Paragraph (a) applies to lawyers who have managerial authority over the professional
6 work of a firm. See Rule 1.0(c). This includes members of a partnership, the shareholders in a law firm
7 organized as a professional corporation, and members of other associations authorized to practice law;
8 lawyers having comparable managerial authority in a legal services organization or a law department
9 of an enterprise or government agency; and lawyers who have intermediate managerial responsibilities
10 in a firm. Paragraph (b) applies to lawyers who have supervisory authority over the work of other
11 lawyers in a firm.

12 [2] Paragraph (a) requires lawyers with managerial authority within a firm to make reasonable
13 efforts to establish internal policies and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that all
14 lawyers in the firm will conform to the Rules of Professional Conduct. Such policies and procedures
15 include those designed to detect and resolve conflicts of interest, identify dates by which actions must
16 be taken in pending matters, account for client funds and property and ensure that inexperienced
17 lawyers are properly supervised.

18 [3] Other measures that may be required to fulfill the responsibility prescribed in paragraph
19 (a) can depend on the firm's structure and the nature of its practice. In a small firm of experienced
20 lawyers, informal supervision and periodic review of compliance with the required systems ordinarily
21 will suffice. In a large firm, or in practice situations in which difficult ethical problems frequently arise,
22 more elaborate measures may be necessary. Some firms, for example, have a procedure whereby junior
23 lawyers can make confidential referral of ethical problems directly to a designated senior partner or
24 special committee. See Rule 5.2. Firms, whether large or small, may also rely on continuing legal
25 education in professional ethics. In any event, the ethical atmosphere of a firm can influence the
26 conduct of all its members and the partners may not assume that all lawyers associated with the firm
27 will inevitably conform to the Rules.

28 [4] Paragraph (c) expresses a general principle of personal responsibility for acts of another.
29 See also Rule 8.4(a).

30 [5] Paragraph (c)(2) defines the duty of a partner or other lawyer having comparable
31 managerial authority in a law firm, as well as a lawyer who has direct supervisory authority over
32 performance of specific legal work by another lawyer. Whether a lawyer has supervisory authority in
33 particular circumstances is a question of fact. Partners and lawyers with comparable authority have at
34 least indirect responsibility for all work being done by the firm, while a partner or manager in charge
35 of a particular matter ordinarily also has supervisory responsibility for the work of other firm lawyers
36 engaged in the matter. Appropriate remedial action by a partner or managing lawyer would depend on
37 the immediacy of that lawyer's involvement and the seriousness of the misconduct. A supervisor is
38 required to intervene to prevent avoidable consequences of misconduct if the supervisor knows that
39 the misconduct occurred. Thus, if a supervising lawyer knows that a subordinate misrepresented a
40 matter to an opposing party in negotiation, the supervisor as well as the subordinate has a duty to
41 correct the resulting misapprehension.

42 [6] Professional misconduct by a lawyer under supervision could reveal a violation of
43 paragraph (b) on the part of the supervisory lawyer even though it does not entail a violation of
44 paragraph (c) because there was no direction, ratification or knowledge of the violation.

45 [7] Apart from this Rule and Rule 8.4(a), a lawyer does not have disciplinary liability for the
46 conduct of a partner, associate or subordinate. Whether a lawyer may be liable civilly or criminally for
47 another lawyer's conduct is a question of law beyond the scope of these Rules.

48 [8] The duties imposed by this Rule on managing and supervising lawyers do not alter the
49 personal duty of each lawyer in a firm to abide by the Rules of Professional Conduct. See Rule 5.2(a).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

SCR 20:5.2 Responsibilities of a subordinate lawyer

- (a) A lawyer is bound by the Rules of Professional Conduct notwithstanding that the lawyer acted at the direction of another person.
- (b) A subordinate lawyer does not violate the Rules of Professional Conduct if that lawyer acts in accordance with a supervisory lawyer's reasonable resolution of an arguable question of professional duty.

ABA COMMENT

[1] Although a lawyer is not relieved of responsibility for a violation by the fact that the lawyer acted at the direction of a supervisor, that fact may be relevant in determining whether a lawyer had the knowledge required to render conduct a violation of the Rules. For example, if a subordinate filed a frivolous pleading at the direction of a supervisor, the subordinate would not be guilty of a professional violation unless the subordinate knew of the document's frivolous character.

[2] When lawyers in a supervisor-subordinate relationship encounter a matter involving professional judgment as to ethical duty, the supervisor may assume responsibility for making the judgment. Otherwise a consistent course of action or position could not be taken. If the question can reasonably be answered only one way, the duty of both lawyers is clear and they are equally responsible for fulfilling it. However, if the question is reasonably arguable, someone has to decide upon the course of action. That authority ordinarily reposes in the supervisor, and a subordinate may be guided accordingly. For example, if a question arises whether the interests of two clients conflict under Rule 1.7, the supervisor's reasonable resolution of the question should protect the subordinate professionally if the resolution is subsequently challenged.

SCR 20:5.3 Responsibilities regarding nonlawyer assistance

- With respect to a nonlawyer employed or retained by or associated with a lawyer:
- (a) a partner, and a lawyer who individually or together with other lawyers possesses comparable managerial authority in a law firm shall make reasonable efforts to ensure that the firm has in effect measures giving reasonable assurance that the person's conduct is compatible with the professional obligations of the lawyer;
 - (b) a lawyer having direct supervisory authority over the nonlawyer shall make reasonable efforts to ensure that the person's conduct is compatible with the professional obligations of the lawyer; and
 - (c) a lawyer shall be responsible for conduct of such a person that would be a violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct if engaged in by a lawyer if:
 - (1) the lawyer orders or, with the knowledge of the specific conduct, ratifies the conduct involved; or
 - (2) the lawyer is a partner or has comparable managerial authority

1 in the law firm in which the person is employed, or has direct supervisory
2 authority over the person, and knows of the conduct at a time when its
3 consequences can be avoided or mitigated but fails to take reasonable
4 remedial action.
5

6 ABA COMMENT 7

8 [1] Paragraph (a) requires lawyers with managerial authority within a law firm to make
9 reasonable efforts to ensure that the firm has in effect measures giving reasonable assurance that
10 nonlawyers in the firm and nonlawyers outside the firm who work on firm matters act in a way
11 compatible with the professional obligations of the lawyer. See Comment [6] to Rule 1.1 (retaining
12 lawyers outside the firm) and Comment [1] to Rule 5.1 (responsibilities with respect to lawyers within
13 a firm). Paragraph (b) applies to lawyers who have supervisory authority over such nonlawyers within
14 or outside the firm. Paragraph (c) specifies the circumstances in which a lawyer is responsible for the
15 conduct of such nonlawyers inside or outside the firm that would be a violation of the Rules of
16 Professional Conduct if engaged in by a lawyer.

17 [2] Lawyers generally employ assistants in their practice, including secretaries, investigators,
18 law student interns, and paraprofessionals. Such assistants, whether employees or independent
19 contractors, act for the lawyer in rendition of the lawyer's professional services. A lawyer must give
20 such assistants appropriate instruction and supervision concerning the ethical aspects of their
21 employment, particularly regarding the obligation not to disclose information relating to representation
22 of the client, and should be responsible for their work product. The measures employed in supervising
23 nonlawyers should take account of the fact that they do not have legal training and are not subject to
24 professional discipline.

25 [3] A lawyer may use nonlawyers outside the firm to assist the lawyer in rendering legal
26 services to the client. Examples include the retention of an investigative or paraprofessional service,
27 hiring a document management company to create and maintain a database for complex litigation,
28 sending client documents to a third party for printing or scanning, and using an Internet-based service
29 to store client information. When using such services outside the firm, a lawyer must make reasonable
30 efforts to ensure that the services are provided in a manner that is compatible with the lawyer's
31 professional obligations. The extent of this obligation will depend upon the circumstances, including
32 the education, experience and reputation of the nonlawyer; the nature of the services involved; the
33 terms of any arrangements concerning the protection of client information; and the legal and ethical
34 environments of the jurisdictions in which the services will be performed, particularly with regard to
35 confidentiality. See also Rules 1.1 (competence), 1.2 (allocation of authority), 1.4 (communication
36 with client), 1.6 (confidentiality), 5.4(a) (professional independence of the lawyer), and 5.5(a)
37 (unauthorized practice of law). When retaining or directing a nonlawyer outside the firm, a lawyer
38 should communicate directions appropriate under the circumstances to give reasonable assurance that
39 the nonlawyer's conduct is compatible with the professional obligations of the lawyer.

40 [4] Where the client directs the selection of a particular nonlawyer service provider outside
41 the firm, the lawyer ordinarily should agree with the client concerning the allocation of responsibility
42 for monitoring as between the client and the lawyer. See Rule 1.2. When making such an allocation
43 in a matter pending before a tribunal, lawyers and parties may have additional obligations that are a
44 matter of law beyond the scope of these Rules.

45 46 47 **SCR 20:5.4 Professional independence of a lawyer**

1 (a) A lawyer or law firm shall not share legal fees with a
2 nonlawyer, except that:

3 (1) an agreement by a lawyer with the lawyer's firm, partner, or
4 associate may provide for the payment of money, over a reasonable
5 period of time after the lawyer's death, to the lawyer's estate or to one or
6 more specified persons;

7 (2) a lawyer who purchases the practice of a deceased, disabled, or
8 disappeared lawyer may, pursuant to the provisions of SCR 20:1.17, pay
9 to the estate or other representative of that lawyer the agreed-upon
10 purchase price;

11 (3) a lawyer or law firm may include nonlawyer employees in a
12 compensation or retirement plan, even though the plan is based in whole
13 or in part on a profit-sharing arrangement; and

14 (4) a lawyer may share court-awarded legal fees with a nonprofit
15 organization that employed, retained or recommended employment of the
16 lawyer in the matter.

17 (b) A lawyer shall not form a partnership with a nonlawyer if any
18 of the activities of the partnership consist of the practice of law.

19 (c) A lawyer shall not permit a person who recommends, employs,
20 or pays the lawyer to render legal services for another to direct or regulate
21 the lawyer's professional judgment in rendering such legal services.

22 (d) A lawyer shall not practice with or in the form of a professional
23 corporation or association authorized to practice law for a profit, if:

24 (1) a nonlawyer owns any interest therein, except that a fiduciary
25 representative of the estate of a lawyer may hold the stock or interest of
26 the lawyer for a reasonable time during administration;

27 (2) a nonlawyer is a corporate director or officer thereof or
28 occupies the position of similar responsibility in any form of association
29 other than a corporation; or

30 (3) a nonlawyer has the right to direct or control the professional
31 judgment of a lawyer.
32
33

34 ABA COMMENT

35
36 [1] The provisions of this Rule express traditional limitations on sharing fees. These
37 limitations are to protect the lawyer's professional independence of judgment. Where someone other
38 than the client pays the lawyer's fee or salary, or recommends employment of the lawyer, that
39 arrangement does not modify the lawyer's obligation to the client. As stated in paragraph (c), such
40 arrangements should not interfere with the lawyer's professional judgment.

41 [2] This Rule also expresses traditional limitations on permitting a third party to direct or
42 regulate the lawyer's professional judgment in rendering legal services to another. See also Rule 1.8(f)

1 (lawyer may accept compensation from a third party as long as there is no interference with the lawyer's
2 independent professional judgment and the client gives informed consent).

3
4 **SCR 20:5.5 Unauthorized practice of law; multijurisdictional**
5 **practice of law**

6 (a) A lawyer shall not:

7 (1) practice law in a jurisdiction where doing so violates the
8 regulation of the legal profession in that jurisdiction except that a lawyer
9 admitted to practice in Wisconsin does not violate this rule by conduct in
10 another jurisdiction that is permitted in Wisconsin under SCR 20:5.5 (c)
11 and (d) for lawyers not admitted in Wisconsin; or

12 (2) assist another in practicing law in a jurisdiction where doing so
13 violates the regulation of the legal profession in that jurisdiction.

14 (b) A lawyer who is not admitted to practice in this jurisdiction
15 shall not:

16 (1) except as authorized by this rule or other law, establish an
17 office or maintain a systematic and continuous presence in this
18 jurisdiction for the practice of law; or

19 (2) hold out to the public or otherwise represent that the lawyer
20 is admitted to the practice of law in this jurisdiction.

21 (c) Except as authorized by this rule, a lawyer who is not admitted
22 to practice in this jurisdiction but who is admitted to practice in another
23 jurisdiction of the United States and not disbarred or suspended from
24 practice in any jurisdiction for disciplinary reasons or for medical
25 incapacity, may not provide legal services in this jurisdiction except when
26 providing services on an occasional basis in this jurisdiction that:

27 (1) are undertaken in association with a lawyer who is admitted to
28 practice in this jurisdiction and who actively participates in the matter; or

29 (2) are in, or reasonably related to, a pending or potential
30 proceeding before a tribunal in this or another jurisdiction, if the lawyer,
31 or a person the lawyer is assisting, is authorized by law or order to appear
32 in such proceeding or reasonably expects to be so authorized; or

33 (3) are in, or reasonably related to, a pending or potential
34 arbitration, mediation, or other alternative dispute resolution proceeding
35 in this or another jurisdiction, if the services arise out of, or are reasonably
36 related to, the lawyer's practice in a jurisdiction in which the lawyer is
37 admitted to practice and are not services for which the forum requires pro
38 hac vice admission; or

39 (4) are not within subsections (c)(2) or (c)(3) and arise out of, or
40 are reasonably related to, the lawyer's practice in a jurisdiction in which

1 the lawyer is admitted to practice.

2 (d) A lawyer admitted to practice in another United States
3 jurisdiction or in a foreign jurisdiction, who is not disbarred or suspended
4 from practice in any jurisdiction for disciplinary reasons or medical
5 incapacity, may provide legal services through an office or other
6 systematic and continuous presence in this jurisdiction that:

7 (1) are provided to the lawyer's employer or its organizational
8 affiliates after compliance with SCR 10.03 (4) (f), and are not services
9 for which the forum requires pro hac vice admission; or

10 (2) are services that the lawyer is authorized to provide by federal
11 law or other law or other rule of this jurisdiction.

12 (e) A lawyer admitted to practice in another jurisdiction of the
13 United States or a foreign jurisdiction who provides legal services in this
14 jurisdiction pursuant to sub. (c) and (d) above shall consent to the
15 appointment of the Clerk of the Wisconsin Supreme Court as agent upon
16 whom service of process may be made for all actions against the lawyer
17 or the lawyer's firm that may arise out of the lawyer's participation in legal
18 matters in this jurisdiction.
19

20 WISCONSIN COMMITTEE COMMENT 21

22 See also SCR 10.03(4) (requirements for admission pro hac vice and registration of in-house
23 counsel).

24 This Wisconsin Supreme Court Rule differs from the Model Rule in that an attorney is not
25 precluded from seeking admission pro hac vice if the attorney is administratively suspended from
26 practice in a jurisdiction other than the attorney's primary jurisdiction of practice. An attorney must
27 not be suspended or disbarred in his or her primary jurisdiction of practice. Due to substantive and
28 numbering differences, special care should be taken in consulting the ABA Comment.

29 30 ABA COMMENT 31

32 [1] A lawyer may practice law only in a jurisdiction in which the lawyer is authorized to
33 practice. A lawyer may be admitted to practice law in a jurisdiction on a regular basis or may be
34 authorized by court rule or order or by law to practice for a limited purpose or on a restricted basis.
35 Paragraph (a) applies to unauthorized practice of law by a lawyer, whether through the lawyer's direct
36 action or by the lawyer assisting another person. For example, a lawyer may not assist a person in
37 practicing law in violation of the rules governing professional conduct in that person's jurisdiction.

38 [2] The definition of the practice of law is established by law and varies from one jurisdiction
39 to another. Whatever the definition, limiting the practice of law to members of the bar protects the
40 public against rendition of legal services by unqualified persons. This Rule does not prohibit a lawyer
41 from employing the services of paraprofessionals and delegating functions to them, so long as the
42 lawyer supervises the delegated work and retains responsibility for their work. See Rule 5.3.

43 [3] A lawyer may provide professional advice and instruction to nonlawyers whose
44 employment requires knowledge of the law; for example, claims adjusters, employees of financial or

1 commercial institutions, social workers, accountants and persons employed in government agencies.
2 Lawyers also may assist independent nonlawyers, such as paraprofessionals, who are authorized by
3 the law of a jurisdiction to provide particular law-related services. In addition, a lawyer may counsel
4 nonlawyers who wish to proceed pro se.

5 [4] Other than as authorized by law or this Rule, a lawyer who is not admitted to practice
6 generally in this jurisdiction violates paragraph (b) (1) if the lawyer establishes an office or other
7 systematic and continuous presence in this jurisdiction for the practice of law. Presence may be
8 systematic and continuous even if the lawyer is not physically present here. Such a lawyer must not
9 hold out to the public or otherwise represent that the lawyer is admitted to practice law in this
10 jurisdiction. See also Rules 7.1(a) and 7.5(b).

11 [5] There are occasions in which a lawyer admitted to practice in another United States
12 jurisdiction, and not disbarred or suspended from practice in any jurisdiction, may provide legal
13 services on a temporary basis in this jurisdiction under circumstances that do not create an
14 unreasonable risk to the interests of their clients, the public or the courts. Paragraph (c) identifies four
15 such circumstances. The fact that conduct is not so identified does not imply that the conduct is or is
16 not authorized. With the exception of paragraphs (d)(1) and (d)(2), this Rule does not authorize a
17 lawyer to establish an office or other systematic and continuous presence in this jurisdiction without
18 being admitted to practice generally here.

19 [6] There is no single test to determine whether a lawyer's services are provided on a
20 "temporary basis" in this jurisdiction, and may therefore be permissible under paragraph (c). Services
21 may be "temporary" even though the lawyer provides services in this jurisdiction on a recurring basis,
22 or for an extended period of time, as when the lawyer is representing a client in a single lengthy
23 negotiation or litigation.

24 [7] Paragraphs (c) and (d) apply to lawyers who are admitted to practice law in any United
25 States jurisdiction, which includes the District of Columbia and any state, territory or commonwealth
26 of the United States. The word "admitted" in paragraph (c) contemplates that the lawyer is authorized
27 to practice in the jurisdiction in which the lawyer is admitted and excludes a lawyer who while
28 technically admitted is not authorized to practice, because, for example, the lawyer is on inactive status.

29 [8] Paragraph (c)(1) recognizes that the interests of clients and the public are protected if a
30 lawyer admitted only in another jurisdiction associates with a lawyer licensed to practice in this
31 jurisdiction. For this paragraph to apply, however, the lawyer admitted to practice in this jurisdiction
32 must actively participate in and share responsibility for the representation of the client.

33 [9] Lawyers not admitted to practice generally in a jurisdiction may be authorized by law or
34 order of a tribunal or an administrative agency to appear before the tribunal or agency. This authority
35 may be granted pursuant to formal rules governing admission pro hac vice or pursuant to informal
36 practice of the tribunal or agency. Under paragraph (c)(2), a lawyer does not violate this Rule when
37 the lawyer appears before a tribunal or agency pursuant to such authority. To the extent that a court
38 rule or other law of this jurisdiction requires a lawyer who is not admitted to practice in this jurisdiction
39 to obtain admission pro hac vice before appearing before a tribunal or administrative agency, this Rule
40 requires the lawyer to obtain that authority.

41 [10] Paragraph (c)(2) also provides that a lawyer rendering services in this jurisdiction on a
42 temporary basis does not violate this Rule when the lawyer engages in conduct in anticipation of a
43 proceeding or hearing in a jurisdiction in which the lawyer is authorized to practice law or in which
44 the lawyer reasonably expects to be admitted pro hac vice. Examples of such conduct include meetings
45 with the client, interviews of potential witnesses, and the review of documents. Similarly, a lawyer
46 admitted only in another jurisdiction may engage in conduct temporarily in this jurisdiction in
47 connection with pending litigation in another jurisdiction in which the lawyer is or reasonably expects
48 to be authorized to appear, including taking depositions in this jurisdiction.

49 [11] When a lawyer has been or reasonably expects to be admitted to appear before a court
50 or administrative agency, paragraph (c)(2) also permits conduct by lawyers who are associated with

1 that lawyer in the matter, but who do not expect to appear before the court or administrative agency.
2 For example, subordinate lawyers may conduct research, review documents, and attend meetings with
3 witnesses in support of the lawyer responsible for the litigation.

4 [12] Paragraph (c)(3) permits a lawyer admitted to practice law in another jurisdiction to
5 perform services on a temporary basis in this jurisdiction if those services are in or reasonably related
6 to a pending or potential arbitration, mediation, or other alternative dispute resolution proceeding in
7 this or another jurisdiction, if the services arise out of or are reasonably related to the lawyer's practice
8 in a jurisdiction in which the lawyer is admitted to practice. The lawyer, however, must obtain
9 admission pro hac vice in the case of a court-annexed arbitration or mediation or otherwise if court
10 rules or law so require.

11 [13] Paragraph (c)(4) permits a lawyer admitted in another jurisdiction to provide certain
12 legal services on a temporary basis in this jurisdiction that arise out of or are reasonably related to the
13 lawyer's practice in a jurisdiction in which the lawyer is admitted but are not within paragraphs (c)(2)
14 or (c)(3). These services include both legal services and services that nonlawyers may perform but that
15 are considered the practice of law when performed by lawyers.

16 [14] Paragraphs (c)(3) and (c)(4) require that the services arise out of or be reasonably related
17 to the lawyer's practice in a jurisdiction in which the lawyer is admitted. A variety of factors evidence
18 such a relationship. The lawyer's client may have been previously represented by the lawyer, or may
19 be resident in or have substantial contacts with the jurisdiction in which the lawyer is admitted. The
20 matter, although involving other jurisdictions, may have a significant connection with that jurisdiction.
21 In other cases, significant aspects of the lawyer's work might be conducted in that jurisdiction or a
22 significant aspect of the matter may involve the law of that jurisdiction. The necessary relationship
23 might arise when the client's activities or the legal issues involve multiple jurisdictions, such as when
24 the officers of a multinational corporation survey potential business sites and seek the services of their
25 lawyer in assessing the relative merits of each. In addition, the services may draw on the lawyer's
26 recognized expertise developed through the regular practice of law on behalf of clients in matters
27 involving a particular body of federal, nationally-uniform, foreign, or international law. Lawyers
28 desiring to provide pro bono legal services on a temporary basis in a jurisdiction that has been affected
29 by a major disaster, but in which they are not otherwise authorized to practice law, as well as lawyers
30 from the affected jurisdiction who seek to practice law temporarily in another jurisdiction, but in which
31 they are not otherwise authorized to practice law, should consult the [Model Court Rule on Provision
32 of Legal Services Following Determination of Major Disaster].

33 [15] Paragraph (d) identifies two circumstances in which a lawyer who is admitted to practice
34 in another United States jurisdiction, and is not disbarred or suspended from practice in any
35 jurisdiction, may establish an office or other systematic and continuous presence in this jurisdiction
36 for the practice of law as well as provide legal services on a temporary basis. Except as provided in
37 paragraphs (d)(1) and (d)(2), a lawyer who is admitted to practice law in another jurisdiction and who
38 establishes an office or other systematic or continuous presence in this jurisdiction must become
39 admitted to practice law generally in this jurisdiction.

40 [16] Paragraph (d)(1) applies to a lawyer who is employed by a client to provide legal services
41 to the client or its organizational affiliates, i.e., entities that control, are controlled by, or are under
42 common control with the employer. This paragraph does not authorize the provision of personal legal
43 services to the employer's officers or employees. The paragraph applies to in-house corporate lawyers,
44 government lawyers and others who are employed to render legal services to the employer. The
45 lawyer's ability to represent the employer outside the jurisdiction in which the lawyer is licensed
46 generally serves the interests of the employer and does not create an unreasonable risk to the client and
47 others because the employer is well situated to assess the lawyer's qualifications and the quality of the
48 lawyer's work.

49 [17] If an employed lawyer establishes an office or other systematic presence in this
50 jurisdiction for the purpose of rendering legal services to the employer, the lawyer may be subject to

1 registration or other requirements, including assessments for client protection funds and mandatory
2 continuing legal education.

3 [18] Paragraph (d)(2) recognizes that a lawyer may provide legal services in a jurisdiction in
4 which the lawyer is not licensed when authorized to do so by federal or other law, which includes
5 statute, court rule, executive regulation or judicial precedent. See, e.g., The ABA Model Rule on
6 Practice Pending Admission.

7 [19] A lawyer who practices law in this jurisdiction pursuant to paragraphs (c) or (d) or
8 otherwise is subject to the disciplinary authority of this jurisdiction. See Rule 8.5(a).

9 [20] In some circumstances, a lawyer who practices law in this jurisdiction pursuant to
10 paragraphs (c) or (d) may have to inform the client that the lawyer is not licensed to practice law in
11 this jurisdiction. For example, that may be required when the representation occurs primarily in this
12 jurisdiction and requires knowledge of the law of this jurisdiction. See Rule 1.4(b).

13 [21] Paragraphs (c) and (d) do not authorize communications advertising legal services in this
14 jurisdiction by lawyers who are admitted to practice in other jurisdictions. Whether and how lawyers
15 may communicate the availability of their services in this jurisdiction is governed by Rules 7.1 to 7.5.

16 WISCONSIN COMMENT

17 Lawyers desiring to provide pro bono legal services on a temporary basis in the State of Wisconsin
18 when it has been affected by a major disaster, when they are not otherwise authorized to practice
19 law in the State of Wisconsin, as well as lawyers from a jurisdiction affected by a major disaster
20 who seek to practice law temporarily in this jurisdiction, but who are not otherwise authorized to
21 practice law in the State of Wisconsin, should consult Supreme Court Rule 23.03.
22

23 **SCR 20:5.6 Restrictions on right to practice**

24 A lawyer shall not participate in offering or making:

25 (a) a partnership, shareholders, operating, employment, or other
26 similar type of agreement that restricts the right of a lawyer to practice
27 after termination of the relationship, except an agreement concerning
28 benefits upon retirement; or

29 (b) an agreement in which a restriction on the lawyer's right to
30 practice is part of the settlement of a client controversy.
31

32 ABA COMMENT

33
34 [1] An agreement restricting the right of lawyers to practice after leaving a firm not only
35 limits their professional autonomy but also limits the freedom of clients to choose a lawyer. Paragraph
36 (a) prohibits such agreements except for restrictions incident to provisions concerning retirement
37 benefits for service with the firm.

38 [2] Paragraph (b) prohibits a lawyer from agreeing not to represent other persons in
39 connection with settling a claim on behalf of a client.

40 [3] This Rule does not apply to prohibit restrictions that may be included in the terms of the
41 sale of a law practice pursuant to Rule 1.17.
42

43 **SCR 20:5.7 Limited liability legal practice**

44 (a)(1) A lawyer may be a member of a law firm that is organized

1 as a limited liability organization solely to render professional legal
2 services under the laws of this state, including chs. 178 and 183 and
3 subch. XIX of ch. 180. The lawyer may practice in or as a limited liability
4 organization if the lawyer is otherwise authorized to practice law in this
5 state and the organization is registered under sub. (b).

6 (2) Nothing in this rule or the laws under which the lawyer or law
7 firm is organized shall relieve a lawyer from personal liability for any
8 acts, errors or omissions of the lawyer arising out of the performance of
9 professional services.

10 (b) A lawyer or law firm that is organized as a limited liability
11 organization shall file an annual registration with the state bar of
12 Wisconsin in a form and with a filing fee that shall be determined by the
13 state bar. The annual registration shall be signed by a lawyer who is
14 licensed to practice law in this state and who holds an ownership interest
15 in the organization seeking to register under this rule. The annual
16 registration shall include all of the following:

17 (1) The name and address of the organization.

18 (2) The names, residence addresses, states or jurisdictions where
19 licensed to practice law, and attorney registration numbers of the lawyers
20 in the organization and their ownership interest in the organization.

21 (3) A representation that at the time of the filing each lawyer in the
22 organization is in good standing in this state or, if licensed to practice law
23 elsewhere, in the states or jurisdictions in which he or she is licensed.

24 (4) A certificate of insurance issued by an insurance carrier
25 certifying that it has issued to the organization a professional liability
26 policy to the organization as provided in sub. (bm).

27 (5) Such other information as may be required from time to time
28 by the state bar of Wisconsin.

29 (bm) The professional liability policy under sub. (b)(4) shall
30 identify the name of the professional liability carrier, the policy number,
31 the expiration date and the limits and deductible. Such professional
32 liability insurance shall provide not less than the following limits of
33 liability:

34 (1) For a firm composed of 1 to 3 lawyers, \$100,000 of combined
35 indemnity and defense cost coverage per claim, with a \$300,000
36 aggregate combined indemnity and defense cost coverage amount per
37 policy period.

38 (2) For a firm composed of 4 to 6 lawyers, \$250,000 of combined
39 indemnity and defense cost coverage per claim, with \$750,000 aggregate
40 combined indemnity and defense cost coverage amount per policy period.

1 (3) For a firm composed of 7 to 14 lawyers, \$500,000 of combined
2 indemnity and defense cost coverage per claim, with \$1,000,000
3 aggregate combined indemnity and defense cost coverage amount per
4 policy period.

5 (4) For a firm composed of 15 to 30 lawyers, \$1,000,000 of
6 combined indemnity and defense cost coverage per claim, with
7 \$2,000,000 aggregate combined indemnity and defense cost coverage
8 amount per policy period.

9 (5) For a firm composed of 31 to 50 lawyers, \$4,000,000 of
10 combined indemnity and defense cost coverage per claim, with
11 \$4,000,000 aggregate combined indemnity and defense cost coverage
12 amount per policy period.

13 (6) For a firm composed of 51 or more lawyers, \$10,000,000 of
14 combined indemnity and defense cost coverage per claim, with
15 \$10,000,000 aggregate combined indemnity and defense cost coverage
16 amount per policy period.

17 (c) Nothing in this rule or the laws under which a lawyer or law
18 firm is organized shall diminish a lawyer's or law firm's obligations or
19 responsibilities under any provisions of this chapter.

20 (d) A law firm that is organized as a limited liability organization
21 under the laws of any other state or jurisdiction or of the United States
22 solely for the purpose of rendering professional legal services that is
23 authorized to do business in Wisconsin and that has at least one lawyer
24 licensed to practice law in Wisconsin and who also has an ownership
25 interest in the firm may register under this rule by complying with the
26 provisions of sub. (b).

27 (e) A lawyer or law firm that is organized as a limited liability
28 organization shall do all of the following:

29 (1) Include a written designation of the limited liability structure
30 as part of its name.

31 (2) Provide to clients and potential clients in writing a plain-
32 English summary of the features of the limited liability law under which
33 it is organized and the applicable provisions of this chapter.

34
35 **WISCONSIN COMMITTEE COMMENT**

36
37 This Wisconsin Supreme Court Rule has no counterpart in the Model Rules. Model Rule 5.7,
38 concerning law-related services, is not part of these rules.

39
40 **PUBLIC SERVICE**

1
2 **SCR 20:5.8 Responsibilities Regarding Law-Related Services**

3 (a) A lawyer shall be subject to the Rules of Professional Conduct
4 with respect to the provision of law-related services, as defined in
5 paragraph (b), if the law-related services are provided:

6 (1) by the lawyer in circumstances that are not distinct from the
7 lawyer's provision of legal services to clients; or

8 (2) in other circumstances by an entity controlled by the lawyer
9 individually or with others if the lawyer fails to take reasonable measures
10 to assure that a person obtaining the law-related services knows that the
11 services are not legal services and that the protections of the client-lawyer
12 relationship do not exist.

13 (b) The term "law-related services" denotes services that might
14 reasonably be performed in conjunction with and in substance are related
15 to the provision of legal services, and that are not prohibited as
16 unauthorized practice of law when provided by a nonlawyer.
17

18 **ABA COMMENT**

19
20 [1] When a lawyer performs law-related services or controls an organization that does so,
21 there exists the potential for ethical problems. Principal among these is the possibility that the person
22 for whom the law-related services are performed fails to understand that the services may not carry
23 with them the protections normally afforded as part of the client-lawyer relationship. The recipient of
24 the law-related services may expect, for example, that the protection of client confidences, prohibitions
25 against representation of persons with conflicting interests, and obligations of a lawyer to maintain
26 professional independence apply to the provision of law-related services when that may not be the
27 case.

28 [2] Rule 5.7 applies to the provision of law-related services by a lawyer even when the lawyer
29 does not provide any legal services to the person for whom the law-related services are performed and
30 whether the law-related services are performed through a law firm or a separate entity. The Rule
31 identifies the circumstances in which all of the Rules of Professional Conduct apply to the provision
32 of law-related services. Even when those circumstances do not exist, however, the conduct of a lawyer
33 involved in the provision of law-related services is subject to those Rules that apply generally to lawyer
34 conduct, regardless of whether the conduct involves the provision of legal services. See, e.g., Rule 8.4.

35 [3] When law-related services are provided by a lawyer under circumstances that are not
36 distinct from the lawyer's provision of legal services to clients, the lawyer in providing the law-related
37 services must adhere to the requirements of the Rules of Professional Conduct as provided in paragraph
38 (a)(1). Even when the law-related and legal services are provided in circumstances that are distinct
39 from each other, for example through separate entities or different support staff within the law firm,
40 the Rules of Professional Conduct apply to the lawyer as provided in paragraph (a)(2) unless the lawyer
41 takes reasonable measures to assure that the recipient of the law-related services knows that the
42 services are not legal services and that the protections of the client-lawyer relationship do not apply.

43 [4] Law-related services also may be provided through an entity that is distinct from that
44 through which the lawyer provides legal services. If the lawyer individually or with others has control
45 of such an entity's operations, the Rule requires the lawyer to take reasonable measures to assure that
46 each person using the services of the entity knows that the services provided by the entity are not legal

1 services and that the Rules of Professional Conduct that relate to the client-lawyer relationship do not
2 apply. A lawyer's control of an entity extends to the ability to direct its operation. Whether a lawyer
3 has such control will depend upon the circumstances of the particular case.

4 [5] When a client-lawyer relationship exists with a person who is referred by a lawyer to a
5 separate law-related service entity controlled by the lawyer, individually or with others, the lawyer
6 must comply with Rule 1.8(a).

7 [6] In taking the reasonable measures referred to in paragraph (a)(2) to assure that a person
8 using law-related services understands the practical effect or significance of the inapplicability of the
9 Rules of Professional Conduct, the lawyer should communicate to the person receiving the law-related
10 services, in a manner sufficient to assure that the person understands the significance of the fact, that
11 the relationship of the person to the business entity will not be a client-lawyer relationship. The
12 communication should be made before entering into an agreement for provision of or providing law-
13 related services, and preferably should be in writing.

14 [7] The burden is upon the lawyer to show that the lawyer has taken reasonable measures
15 under the circumstances to communicate the desired understanding. For instance, a sophisticated user
16 of law-related services, such as a publicly held corporation, may require a lesser explanation than
17 someone unaccustomed to making distinctions between legal services and law-related services, such
18 as an individual seeking tax advice from a lawyer-accountant or investigative services in connection
19 with a lawsuit.

20 [8] Regardless of the sophistication of potential recipients of law-related services, a lawyer
21 should take special care to keep separate the provision of law-related and legal services in order to
22 minimize the risk that the recipient will assume that the law-related services are legal services. The
23 risk of such confusion is especially acute when the lawyer renders both types of services with respect
24 to the same matter. Under some circumstances the legal and law-related services may be so closely
25 entwined that they cannot be distinguished from each other, and the requirement of disclosure and
26 consultation imposed by paragraph (a)(2) of the Rule cannot be met. In such a case a lawyer will be
27 responsible for assuring that both the lawyer's conduct and, to the extent required by Rule 5.3, that of
28 nonlawyer employees in the distinct entity that the lawyer controls complies in all respects with the
29 Rules of Professional Conduct.

30 [9] A broad range of economic and other interests of clients may be served by lawyers
31 engaging in the delivery of law-related services. Examples of law-related services include providing
32 title insurance, financial planning, accounting, trust services, real estate counseling, legislative
33 lobbying, economic analysis, social work, psychological counseling, tax preparation, and patent,
34 medical or environmental consulting.

35 [10] When a lawyer is obliged to accord the recipients of such services the protections of
36 those Rules that apply to the client-lawyer relationship, the lawyer must take special care to heed the
37 proscriptions of the Rules addressing conflict of interest (Rules 1.7 through 1.11, especially Rules
38 1.7(a)(2) and 1.8(a), (b) and (f)), and to scrupulously adhere to the requirements of Rule 1.6 relating
39 to disclosure of confidential information. The promotion of the law-related services must also in all
40 respects comply with Rules 7.1 through 7.3, dealing with advertising and solicitation. In that regard,
41 lawyers should take special care to identify the obligations that may be imposed as a result of a
42 jurisdiction's decisional law.

43 [11] When the full protections of all of the Rules of Professional Conduct do not apply to the
44 provision of law-related services, principles of law external to the Rules, for example, the law of
45 principal and agent, govern the legal duties owed to those receiving the services. Those other legal
46 principles may establish a different degree of protection for the recipient with respect to confidentiality
47 of information, conflicts of interest and permissible business relationships with clients. See also Rule
48 8.4 (Misconduct).

49

SCR 20:6.1 Voluntary pro bono publico service

Every lawyer has a professional responsibility to provide legal services to those unable to pay. A lawyer should aspire to render at least 50 hours of pro bono publico legal services per year. In fulfilling this responsibility the lawyer should:

(a) provide a substantial majority of the 50 hours of legal services without fee or expectation of fee to:

(1) persons of limited means or

(2) charitable, religious, civic, community, governmental and educational organizations in matters that are designed primarily to address the needs of persons of limited means; and

(b) provide any additional services through:

(1) delivery of legal services at no fee or substantially reduced fee to individuals, groups or organizations seeking to secure or protect civil rights, civil liberties or public rights, or charitable, religious, civic, community, governmental and educational organizations in matters in furtherance of their organizational purposes, where the payment of standard legal fees would significantly deplete the organization's economic resources or would be otherwise inappropriate;

(2) delivery of legal services at a substantially reduced fee to persons of limited means; or

(3) participation in activities for improving the law, the legal system or the legal profession.

In addition, a lawyer should voluntarily contribute financial support to organizations that provide legal services to persons of limited means.

ABA COMMENT

[1] Every lawyer, regardless of professional prominence or professional work load, has a responsibility to provide legal services to those unable to pay, and personal involvement in the problems of the disadvantaged can be one of the most rewarding experiences in the life of a lawyer. The American Bar Association urges all lawyers to provide a minimum of 50 hours of pro bono services annually. States, however, may decide to choose a higher or lower number of hours of annual service (which may be expressed as a percentage of a lawyer's professional time) depending upon local needs and local conditions. It is recognized that in some years a lawyer may render greater or fewer hours than the annual standard specified, but during the course of his or her legal career, each lawyer should render on average per year, the number of hours set forth in this Rule. Services can be performed in civil matters or in criminal or quasi—criminal matters for which there is no government obligation to provide funds for legal representation, such as post—conviction death penalty appeal cases.

[2] Paragraphs (a)(1) and (2) recognize the critical need for legal services that exists among persons of limited means by providing that a substantial majority of the legal services rendered annually to the disadvantaged be furnished without fee or expectation of fee. Legal services under

1 these paragraphs consist of a full range of activities, including individual and class representation, the
2 provision of legal advice, legislative lobbying, administrative rule making and the provision of free
3 training or mentoring to those who represent persons of limited means. The variety of these activities
4 should facilitate participation by government lawyers, even when restrictions exist on their engaging
5 in the outside practice of law.

6 [3] Persons eligible for legal services under paragraphs (a)(1) and (2) are those who qualify
7 for participation in programs funded by the Legal Services Corporation and those whose incomes and
8 financial resources are slightly above the guidelines utilized by such programs but nevertheless, cannot
9 afford counsel. Legal services can be rendered to individuals or to organizations such as homeless
10 shelters, battered women's centers and food pantries that serve those of limited means. The term
11 "governmental organizations" includes, but is not limited to, public protection programs and sections
12 of governmental or public sector agencies.

13 [4] Because service must be provided without fee or expectation of fee, the intent of the
14 lawyer to render free legal services is essential for the work performed to fall within the meaning of
15 paragraphs (a)(1) and (2). Accordingly, services rendered cannot be considered pro bono if an
16 anticipated fee is uncollected, but the award of statutory attorneys' fees in a case originally accepted as
17 pro bono would not disqualify such services from inclusion under this section. Lawyers who do receive
18 fees in such cases are encouraged to contribute an appropriate portion of such fees to organizations or
19 projects that benefit persons of limited means.

20 [5] While it is possible for a lawyer to fulfill the annual responsibility to perform pro bono
21 services exclusively through activities described in paragraphs (a)(1) and (2), to the extent that any
22 hours of service remained unfulfilled, the remaining commitment can be met in a variety of ways as
23 set forth in paragraph (b). Constitutional, statutory or regulatory restrictions may prohibit or impede
24 government and public sector lawyers and judges from performing the pro bono services outlined in
25 paragraphs (a)(1) and (2). Accordingly, where those restrictions apply, government and public sector
26 lawyers and judges may fulfill their pro bono responsibility by performing services outlined in
27 paragraph (b).

28 [6] Paragraph (b)(1) includes the provision of certain types of legal services to those whose
29 incomes and financial resources place them above limited means. It also permits the pro bono lawyer
30 to accept a substantially reduced fee for services. Examples of the types of issues that may be addressed
31 under this paragraph include First Amendment claims, Title VII claims and environmental protection
32 claims. Additionally, a wide range of organizations may be represented, including social service,
33 medical research, cultural and religious groups.

34 [7] Paragraph (b)(2) covers instances in which lawyers agree to and receive a modest fee for
35 furnishing legal services to persons of limited means. Participation in judicare programs and
36 acceptance of court appointments in which the fee is substantially below a lawyer's usual rate are
37 encouraged under this section.

38 [8] Paragraph (b)(3) recognizes the value of lawyers engaging in activities that improve the
39 law, the legal system or the legal profession. Serving on bar association committees, serving on boards
40 of pro bono or legal services programs, taking part in Law Day activities, acting as a continuing legal
41 education instructor, a mediator or an arbitrator and engaging in legislative lobbying to improve the
42 law, the legal system or the profession are a few examples of the many activities that fall within this
43 paragraph.

44 [9] Because the provision of pro bono services is a professional responsibility, it is the
45 individual ethical commitment of each lawyer. Nevertheless, there may be times when it is not feasible
46 for a lawyer to engage in pro bono services. At such times a lawyer may discharge the pro bono
47 responsibility by providing financial support to organizations providing free legal services to persons
48 of limited means. Such financial support should be reasonably equivalent to the value of the hours of
49 service that would have otherwise been provided. In addition, at times it may be more feasible to satisfy
50 the pro bono responsibility collectively, as by a firm's aggregate pro bono activities.

1 [10] Because the efforts of individual lawyers are not enough to meet the need for free legal
2 services that exists among persons of limited means, the government and the profession have instituted
3 additional programs to provide those services. Every lawyer should financially support such programs,
4 in addition to either providing direct pro bono services or making financial contributions when pro
5 bono service is not feasible.

6 [11] Law firms should act reasonably to enable and encourage all lawyers in the firm to
7 provide the pro bono legal services called for by this Rule.

8 [12] The responsibility set forth in this Rule is not intended to be enforced through
9 disciplinary process.

10
11 **SCR 20:6.2 Accepting appointments**

12 A lawyer shall not seek to avoid appointment by a tribunal to
13 represent a person except for good cause, such as:

14 (a) representing the client is likely to result in violation of the Rules
15 of Professional Conduct or other law;

16 (b) representing the client is likely to result in an unreasonable
17 financial burden on the lawyer; or

18 (c) the client or the cause is so repugnant to the lawyer as to be
19 likely to impair the client—lawyer relationship or the lawyer's ability to
20 represent the client.

21
22 **ABA COMMENT**
23

24 [1] A lawyer ordinarily is not obliged to accept a client whose character or cause the lawyer
25 regards as repugnant. The lawyer's freedom to select clients is, however, qualified. All lawyers have a
26 responsibility to assist in providing pro bono publico service. See Rule 6.1. An individual lawyer
27 fulfills this responsibility by accepting a fair share of unpopular matters or indigent or unpopular
28 clients. A lawyer may also be subject to appointment by a court to serve unpopular clients or persons
29 unable to afford legal services.

30 **Appointed Counsel**

31 [2] For good cause a lawyer may seek to decline an appointment to represent a person who
32 cannot afford to retain counsel or whose cause is unpopular. Good cause exists if the lawyer could not
33 handle the matter competently, see Rule 1.1, or if undertaking the representation would result in an
34 improper conflict of interest, for example, when the client or the cause is so repugnant to the lawyer
35 as to be likely to impair the client-lawyer relationship or the lawyer's ability to represent the client. A
36 lawyer may also seek to decline an appointment if acceptance would be unreasonably burdensome, for
37 example, when it would impose a financial sacrifice so great as to be unjust.

38 [3] An appointed lawyer has the same obligations to the client as retained counsel, including
39 the obligations of loyalty and confidentiality, and is subject to the same limitations on the client-lawyer
40 relationship, such as the obligation to refrain from assisting the client in violation of the Rules.

41
42 **SCR 20:6.3 Membership in legal services organization**

43 A lawyer may serve as a director, officer or member of a legal

1 services organization, apart from the law firm in which the lawyer
2 practices, notwithstanding that the organization serves persons having
3 interests adverse to a client of the lawyer. The lawyer shall not knowingly
4 participate in a decision or action of the organization:

5 (a) if participating in the decision would be incompatible with the
6 lawyer's obligations to a client under SCR 20:1.7; or

7 (b) where the decision could have a material adverse effect on the
8 representation of a client of the organization whose interests are adverse
9 to a client of the lawyer.

10
11 **ABA COMMENT**
12

13 [1] Lawyers should be encouraged to support and participate in legal service organizations.
14 A lawyer who is an officer or a member of such an organization does not thereby have a client-lawyer
15 relationship with persons served by the organization. However, there is potential conflict between the
16 interests of such persons and the interests of the lawyer's clients. If the possibility of such conflict
17 disqualified a lawyer from serving on the board of a legal services organization, the profession's
18 involvement in such organizations would be severely curtailed.

19 [2] It may be necessary in appropriate cases to reassure a client of the organization that the
20 representation will not be affected by conflicting loyalties of a member of the board. Established,
21 written policies in this respect can enhance the credibility of such assurances.

22
23 **SCR 20:6.4 Law reform activities affecting client interests**

24 A lawyer may serve as a director, officer or member of an
25 organization involved in reform of the law or its administration
26 notwithstanding that the reform may affect the interests of a client of the
27 lawyer. When the lawyer knows that the interests of a client may be
28 materially benefited by a decision in which the lawyer participates, the
29 lawyer shall disclose that fact but need not identify the client.
30
31

32
33 **ABA COMMENT**
34

35 [1] Lawyers involved in organizations seeking law reform generally do not have a client—
36 lawyer relationship with the organization. Otherwise, it might follow that a lawyer could not be
37 involved in a bar association law reform program that might indirectly affect a client. See also Rule
38 1.2(b). For example, a lawyer specializing in antitrust litigation might be regarded as disqualified from
39 participating in drafting revisions of rules governing that subject. In determining the nature and scope
40 of participation in such activities, a lawyer should be mindful of obligations to clients under other
41 Rules, particularly Rule 1.7. A lawyer is professionally obligated to protect the integrity of the program
42 by making an appropriate disclosure within the organization when the lawyer knows a private client
43 might be materially benefited.

1 is inapplicable to a representation governed by this Rule except as provided by paragraph (a)(2).
2 Paragraph (a)(2) requires the participating lawyer to comply with Rule 1.10 when the lawyer knows
3 that the lawyer's firm is disqualified by Rules 1.7 or 1.9(a). By virtue of paragraph (b), however, a
4 lawyer's participation in a short-term limited legal services program will not preclude the lawyer's firm
5 from undertaking or continuing the representation of a client with interests adverse to a client being
6 represented under the program's auspices. Nor will the personal disqualification of a lawyer
7 participating in the program be imputed to other lawyers participating in the program.

8 [5] If, after commencing a short-term limited representation in accordance with this Rule, a
9 lawyer undertakes to represent the client in the matter on an ongoing basis, Rules 1.7, 1.9(a) and 1.10
10 become applicable.

11
12 **INFORMATION ABOUT LEGAL SERVICES**
13

14 **SCR 20:7.1 Communications concerning a lawyer's services**

15 A lawyer shall not make a false or misleading communication
16 about the lawyer or the lawyer's services. A communication is false or
17 misleading if it:

18 (a) contains a material misrepresentation of fact or law, or omits a
19 fact necessary to make the statement considered as a whole not materially
20 misleading;

21 (b) is likely to create an unjustified expectation about results the
22 lawyer can achieve, or states or implies that the lawyer can achieve results
23 by means that violate the Rules of Professional Conduct or other law; or

24 (c) compares the lawyer's services with other lawyers' services,
25 unless the comparison can be factually substantiated; or

26 (d) contains any paid testimonial about, or paid endorsement of,
27 the lawyer without identifying the fact that payment has been made or, if
28 the testimonial or endorsement is not made by an actual client, without
29 identifying that fact.
30

31 **WISCONSIN COMMITTEE COMMENT**
32

33 Paragraphs (b) through (d) of the Wisconsin Supreme Court Rule are not contained in the
34 Model Rule.

35
36 **ABA COMMENT**
37

38 [1] This Rule governs all communications about a lawyer's services, including advertising
39 permitted by Rule 7.2. Whatever means are used to make known a lawyer's services, statements about
40 them must be truthful.

41 [2] Truthful statements that are misleading are also prohibited by this Rule. A truthful
42 statement is misleading if it omits a fact necessary to make the lawyer's communication considered as
43 a whole not materially misleading. A truthful statement is also misleading if there is a substantial

1 likelihood that it will lead a reasonable person to formulate a specific conclusion about the lawyer or
2 the lawyer's services for which there is no reasonable factual foundation.

3 [3] An advertisement that truthfully reports a lawyer's achievements on behalf of clients or
4 former clients may be misleading if presented so as to lead a reasonable person to form an unjustified
5 expectation that the same results could be obtained for other clients in similar matters without reference
6 to the specific factual and legal circumstances of each client's case. Similarly, an unsubstantiated
7 comparison of the lawyer's services or fees with the services or fees of other lawyers may be misleading
8 if presented with such specificity as would lead a reasonable person to conclude that the comparison
9 can be substantiated. The inclusion of an appropriate disclaimer or qualifying language may preclude
10 a finding that a statement is likely to create unjustified expectations or otherwise mislead the public.

11 [4] See also Rule 8.4(e) for the prohibition against stating or implying an ability to influence
12 improperly a government agency or official or to achieve results by means that violate the Rules of
13 Professional Conduct or other law.

14
15 **SCR 20:7.2 Advertising**

16 (a) Subject to the requirements of SCR 20:7.1 and SCR 20:7.3, a
17 lawyer may advertise services through written, recorded or electronic
18 communication, including public media.

19 (b) A lawyer shall not give anything of value to a person for
20 recommending the lawyer's services, except that a lawyer may:

21 (1) pay the reasonable cost of advertisements or communications
22 permitted by this rule;

23 (2) pay the usual charges of a legal service plan or a not-for-profit
24 or qualified lawyer referral service. A qualified lawyer referral service is
25 a lawyer referral service that has been approved by an appropriate
26 regulatory authority;

27 (3) pay for a law practice in accordance with SCR 20:1.17; and

28 (4) refer clients to another lawyer or nonlawyer professional
29 pursuant to an agreement not otherwise prohibited under these rules that
30 provides for the other person to refer clients or customers to the lawyer,
31 if

32 (i) the reciprocal referral arrangement is not exclusive;

33 (ii) the client gives informed consent;

34 (iii) there is no interference with the lawyer's independence
35 of professional judgment or with the client-lawyer relationship; and

36 (iv) information relating to representation of a client is
37 protected as required by SCR 20:1.6.

38 (c) Any communication made pursuant to this rule shall include
39 the name and office address of at least one lawyer or law firm responsible
40 for its content.

41
42

WISCONSIN COMMITTEE COMMENT

Paragraph (b)(4) differs from the Model Rule by requiring additional safeguards consistent with those found in SCR 20:1.8(f). Lawyers should consider the "fee-splitting" provisions contained in SCR 20:5.4 when considering their obligations under this provision.

ABA COMMENT

[1] To assist the public in learning about and obtaining legal services, lawyers should be allowed to make known their services not only through reputation but also through organized information campaigns in the form of advertising. Advertising involves an active quest for clients, contrary to the tradition that a lawyer should not seek clientele. However, the public's need to know about legal services can be fulfilled in part through advertising. This need is particularly acute in the case of persons of moderate means who have not made extensive use of legal services. The interest in expanding public information about legal services ought to prevail over considerations of tradition. Nevertheless, advertising by lawyers entails the risk of practices that are misleading or overreaching.

[2] This Rule permits public dissemination of information concerning a lawyer's name or firm name, address, email address, website, and telephone number; the kinds of services the lawyer will undertake; the basis on which the lawyer's fees are determined, including prices for specific services and payment and credit arrangements; a lawyer's foreign language ability; names of references and, with their consent, names of clients regularly represented; and other information that might invite the attention of those seeking legal assistance.

[3] Questions of effectiveness and taste in advertising are matters of speculation and subjective judgment. Some jurisdictions have had extensive prohibitions against television and other forms of advertising, against advertising going beyond specified facts about a lawyer, or against "undignified" advertising. Television, the Internet, and other forms of electronic communication are the most powerful media for getting information to the public, particularly persons of low and moderate income; prohibiting television advertising, therefore, would impede the flow of information about legal services to many sectors of the public. Limiting the information that may be advertised has a similar effect and assumes that the bar can accurately forecast the kind of information that the public would regard as relevant. But see Rule 7.3(a) for the prohibition against a solicitation through a real-time electronic exchange initiated by the lawyer.

[4] Neither this Rule nor Rule 7.3 prohibits communications authorized by law, such as notice to members of a class in class action litigation.

Paying Others to Recommend a Lawyer

[5] Except as permitted under paragraphs (b) (1) – (4), lawyers are not permitted to pay others for recommending the lawyer's services or for channeling professional work in a manner that violates Rule 7.3. A communication contains a recommendation if it endorses or vouches for a lawyer's credentials, abilities, competence, character, or other professional qualities. Paragraph (b)(1), however, allows a lawyer to pay for advertising and communications permitted by this Rule, including the costs of print directory listings, on-line directory listings, newspaper ads, television and radio airtime, domain-name registrations, sponsorship fees, Internet-based advertisements, and group advertising. A lawyer may compensate employees, agents and vendors who are engaged to provide marketing or client-development services, such as publicists, public-relations personnel, business-development staff and website designers. Moreover, a lawyer may pay others for generating client leads, such as Internet-based client leads, as long as the lead generator does not recommend the lawyer, any payment to the lead generator is consistent with Rules 1.5(e) (division of fees) and 5.4 (professional independence of the lawyer), and the lead generator's communications are consistent with Rule 7.1 (communications concerning a lawyer's services). To comply with Rule 7.1, a lawyer must not pay a lead generator that

1 states, implies, or creates a reasonable impression that it is recommending the lawyer, is making the
 2 referral without payment from the lawyer, or has analyzed a person's legal problems when determining
 3 which lawyer should receive the referral. See also Rule 5.3 duties of lawyers and law firms with respect
 4 to the conduct of nonlawyers; Rule 8.4(a) (duty to avoid violating the Rules through the acts of
 5 another).

6 [6] A lawyer may pay the usual charges of a legal service plan or a not-for-profit or qualified
 7 lawyer referral service. A legal service plan is a prepaid or group legal service plan or a similar delivery
 8 system that assists people who seek to secure legal representation. A lawyer referral service, on the
 9 other hand, is any organization that holds itself out to the public as a lawyer referral service. Such
 10 referral services are understood by the public to be consumer-oriented organizations that provide
 11 unbiased referrals to lawyers with appropriate experience in the subject matter of the representation
 12 and afford other client protections, such as complaint procedures or malpractice insurance
 13 requirements. Consequently, this Rule only permits a lawyer to pay the usual charges of a not-for-
 14 profit or qualified lawyer referral service. A qualified lawyer referral service is one that is approved
 15 by an appropriate regulatory authority as affording adequate protections for the public. See, e.g., the
 16 American Bar Association's Model Supreme Court Rules Governing Lawyer Referral Services and
 17 Model Lawyer Referral and Information Service Quality Assurance Act (requiring that organizations
 18 that are identified as lawyer referral services (i) permit the participation of all lawyers who are licensed
 19 and eligible to practice in the jurisdiction and who meet reasonable objective eligibility requirements
 20 as may be established by the referral service for the protection of the public; (ii) require each
 21 participating lawyer to carry reasonably adequate malpractice insurance; (iii) act reasonably to assess
 22 client satisfaction and address client complaints; and (iv) do not make referrals to lawyers who own,
 23 operate or are employed by the referral service.)

24 [7] A lawyer who accepts assignments or referrals from a legal service plan or referrals from
 25 a lawyer referral service must act reasonably to assure that the activities of the plan or service are
 26 compatible with the lawyer's professional obligations. See Rule 5.3. Legal service plans and lawyer
 27 referral services may communicate with the public, but such communication must be in conformity
 28 with these Rules. Thus, advertising must not be false or misleading, as would be the case if the
 29 communications of a group advertising program or a group legal services plan would mislead the
 30 public to think that it was a lawyer referral service sponsored by a state agency or bar association. Nor
 31 could the lawyer allow in-person, telephonic, or real-time contacts that would violate Rule 7.3.

32 [8] A lawyer also may agree to refer clients to another lawyer or a nonlawyer professional,
 33 in return for the undertaking of that person to refer clients or customers to the lawyer. Such reciprocal
 34 referral arrangements must not interfere with the lawyer's professional judgment as to making referrals
 35 or as to providing substantive legal services. See Rules 2.1 and 5.4(c). Except as provided in Rule
 36 1.5(e), a lawyer who receives referrals from a lawyer or nonlawyer professional must not pay anything
 37 solely for the referral, but the lawyer does not violate paragraph (b) of this Rule by agreeing to refer
 38 clients to the other lawyer or nonlawyer professional, so long as the reciprocal referral agreement is
 39 not exclusive and the client is informed of the referral agreement. Conflicts of interest created by such
 40 arrangements are governed by Rule 1.7. Reciprocal referral agreements should not be of indefinite
 41 duration and should be reviewed periodically to determine whether they comply with these Rules. This
 42 Rule does not restrict referrals or divisions of revenues or net income among lawyers within firms
 43 comprised of multiple entities.

44 45 **SCR 20:7.3 Solicitation of clients**

46 (a) A lawyer shall not by in-person or live telephone or real-time
 47 electronic contact solicit professional employment when a significant
 48 motive for the lawyer's doing so is the lawyer's pecuniary gain, unless the
 49 person contacted:

1 (1) is a lawyer; or
2 (2) has a family, close personal or prior professional relationship
3 with the lawyer.

4 (b) A lawyer shall not solicit professional employment by written,
5 recorded or electronic communication or by in-person, telephone or real-
6 time electronic contact even when not otherwise prohibited by par. (a), if:

7 (1) the lawyer knows or reasonably should know that the physical,
8 emotional or mental state of the person makes it unlikely that the person
9 would exercise reasonable judgment in employing a lawyer; or

10 (2) the target of solicitation has made known to the lawyer a desire
11 not to be solicited by the lawyer; or

12 (3) the solicitation involves coercion, duress or harassment.

13 (c) Every written, recorded or electronic communication from a
14 lawyer soliciting professional employment from anyone known to be in
15 need of legal services in a particular matter shall include the words
16 "Advertising Material" on the outside envelope, if any, and at the
17 beginning and ending of any printed, recorded or electronic
18 communication, unless the recipient of the communication is a person
19 specified in pars. (a)(1) or (a)(2), and a copy of it shall be filed with the
20 office of lawyer regulation within five days of its dissemination.

21 (d) Notwithstanding the prohibitions in par. (a), a lawyer may
22 participate with a prepaid or group legal service plan operated by an
23 organization not owned or directed by the lawyer that uses in-person or
24 telephone contact to solicit memberships or subscriptions for the plan
25 from persons who are not known to need legal services in a particular
26 matter covered by the plan.

27 (e) Except as permitted under SCR 11.06, a lawyer, at his or her
28 instance, shall not draft legal documents, such as wills, trust instruments
29 or contracts, which require or imply that the lawyer's services be used in
30 relation to that document.

31
32
33
34

WISCONSIN COMMITTEE COMMENT

35 The Wisconsin Supreme Court Rule differs from the Model Rule in that paragraph (b)(1) has
36 been added, as have the last clause of paragraph (c) and all of paragraph (e). These provisions are
37 carried forward from the prior Wisconsin Supreme Court Rule.

38 When a lawyer uses standard form solicitations that are mailed to many prospective clients,
39 the lawyer satisfies the filing obligation in subparagraph (c) by filing one copy of each version of the
40 solicitation form with the office of lawyer regulation, and by maintaining in the lawyer's files the names
41 and addresses to which the solicitation was mailed.

1 meaning of Rule 7.3(b)(1) is prohibited. Moreover, if after sending a letter or other communication as
2 permitted by Rule 7.2 the lawyer receives no response, any further effort to communicate with the
3 recipient of the communication may violate the provisions of Rule 7.3(b).

4 [7] This Rule is not intended to prohibit a lawyer from contacting representatives of
5 organizations or groups that may be interested in establishing a group or prepaid legal plan for their
6 members, insureds, beneficiaries or other third parties for the purpose of informing such entities of the
7 availability of and details concerning the plan or arrangement which the lawyer or lawyer's firm is
8 willing to offer. This form of communication is not directed to people who are seeking legal services
9 for themselves. Rather, it is usually addressed to an individual acting in a fiduciary capacity seeking a
10 supplier of legal services for others who may, if they choose, become prospective clients of the lawyer.
11 Under these circumstances, the activity which the lawyer undertakes in communicating with such
12 representatives and the type of information transmitted to the individual are functionally similar to and
13 serve the same purpose as advertising permitted under Rule 7.2.

14 [8] The requirement in Rule 7.3(c) that certain communications be marked "Advertising
15 Material" does not apply to communications sent in response to requests of potential clients or their
16 spokespersons or sponsors. General announcements by lawyers, including changes in personnel or
17 office location, do not constitute communications soliciting professional employment from a client
18 known to be in need of legal services within the meaning of this Rule.

19 [9] Paragraph (d) of this Rule permits a lawyer to participate with an organization which uses
20 personal contact to solicit members for its group or prepaid legal service plan, provided that the
21 personal contact is not undertaken by any lawyer who would be a provider of legal services through
22 the plan. The organization must not be owned by or directed (whether as manager or otherwise) by
23 any lawyer or law firm that participates in the plan. For example, paragraph (d) would not permit a
24 lawyer to create an organization controlled directly or indirectly by the lawyer and use the organization
25 for the in-person or telephone solicitation of legal employment of the lawyer through memberships in
26 the plan or otherwise. The communication permitted by these organizations also must not be directed
27 to a person known to need legal services in a particular matter, but is to be designed to inform potential
28 plan members generally of another means of affordable legal services. Lawyers who participate in a
29 legal service plan must reasonably assure that the plan sponsors are in compliance with Rules 7.1, 7.2
30 and 7.3(b). See Rule 8.4(a).

31
32 **SCR 20:7.4 Communication of fields of practice**

33 (a) A lawyer may communicate the fact that the lawyer does or
34 does not practice in particular fields of law.

35 (b) A lawyer admitted to engage in patent practice before the
36 United States Patent and Trademark Office may use the designation
37 "patent attorney" or a substantially similar designation.

38 (c) A lawyer engaged in admiralty practice may use the
39 designation "admiralty," "proctor in admiralty" or a substantially similar
40 designation.

41 (d) A lawyer shall not state or imply that a lawyer is certified as a
42 specialist in a particular field of law, unless:

43 (1) the lawyer has been certified as a specialist by an organization
44 that has been approved by an appropriate state authority or that has been
45 accredited by the American Bar Association; and

46 (2) the name of the certifying organization is clearly identified in

1 the communication.
2

3 ABA COMMENT
4

5 [1] Paragraph (a) of this Rule permits a lawyer to indicate areas of practice in communications
6 about the lawyer's services. If a lawyer practices only in certain fields, or will not accept matters except
7 in a specified field or fields, the lawyer is permitted to so indicate. A lawyer is generally permitted to
8 state that the lawyer is a "specialist," practices a "specialty," or "specializes in" particular fields, but
9 such communications are subject to the "false and misleading" standard applied in Rule 7.1 to
10 communications concerning a lawyer's services.

11 [2] Paragraph (b) recognizes the long-established policy of the Patent and Trademark Office
12 for the designation of lawyers practicing before the Office. Paragraph (c) recognizes that designation
13 of Admiralty practice has a long historical tradition associated with maritime commerce and the federal
14 courts.

15 [3] Paragraph (d) permits a lawyer to state that the lawyer is certified as a specialist in a field
16 of law if such certification is granted by an organization approved by an appropriate state authority or
17 accredited by the American Bar Association or another organization, such as a state bar association,
18 that has been approved by the state authority to accredit organizations that certify lawyers as
19 specialists. Certification signifies that an objective entity has recognized an advanced degree of
20 knowledge and experience in the specialty area greater than is suggested by general licensure to
21 practice law. Certifying organizations may be expected to apply standards of experience, knowledge
22 and proficiency to insure that a lawyer's recognition as a specialist is meaningful and reliable. In order
23 to insure that consumers can obtain access to useful information about an organization granting
24 certification, the name of the certifying organization must be included in any communication regarding
25 the certification.

26
27 **SCR 20:7.5 Firm names and letterheads**

28 (a) A lawyer shall not use a firm name, letterhead or other
29 professional designation that violates SCR 20:7.1. A trade name may be
30 used by a lawyer in private practice if it does not imply a connection with
31 a government agency or with a public or charitable legal services
32 organization and is not otherwise in violation of SCR 20:7.1.

33 (b) A law firm with offices in more than one jurisdiction may use
34 the same name or other professional designation in each jurisdiction, but
35 identification of the lawyers in an office of the firm shall indicate the
36 jurisdictional limitations on those not licensed to practice in the
37 jurisdiction where the office is located.

38 (c) The name of a lawyer holding a public office shall not be used
39 in the name of a law firm, or in communications on its behalf, during any
40 substantial period in which the lawyer is not actively and regularly
41 practicing with the firm.

42 (d) Lawyers may state or imply that they practice in a partnership
43 or other organization only when that is the fact.
44

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

ABA COMMENT

[1] A firm may be designated by the names of all or some of its members, by the names of deceased members where there has been a continuing succession in the firm's identity or by a trade name such as the "ABC Legal Clinic." A lawyer or law firm may also be designated by a distinctive website address or comparable professional designation. Although the United States Supreme Court has held that legislation may prohibit the use of trade names in professional practice, use of such names in law practice is acceptable so long as it is not misleading. If a private firm uses a trade name that includes a geographical name such as "Springfield Legal Clinic," an express disclaimer that it is a public legal aid agency may be required to avoid a misleading implication. It may be observed that any firm name including the name of a deceased partner is, strictly speaking, a trade name. The use of such names to designate law firms has proven a useful means of identification. However, it is misleading to use the name of a lawyer not associated with the firm or a predecessor of the firm, or the name of a nonlawyer.

[2] With regard to paragraph (d), lawyers sharing office facilities, but who are not in fact associated with each other in a law firm, may not denominate themselves as, for example, "Smith and Jones," for that title suggests that they are practicing law together in a firm.

SCR 20:7.6 Political contributions to obtain government legal engagements or appointments by judges

A lawyer or law firm shall not accept a government legal engagement or an appointment by a judge if the lawyer or law firm makes a political contribution or solicits political contributions for the purpose of obtaining or being considered for that type of legal engagement or appointment.

ABA COMMENT

[1] Lawyers have a right to participate fully in the political process, which includes making and soliciting political contributions to candidates for judicial and other public office. Nevertheless, when lawyers make or solicit political contributions in order to obtain an engagement for legal work awarded by a government agency, or to obtain appointment by a judge, the public may legitimately question whether the lawyers engaged to perform the work are selected on the basis of competence and merit. In such a circumstance, the integrity of the profession is undermined.

[2] The term "political contribution" denotes any gift, subscription, loan, advance or deposit of anything of value made directly or indirectly to a candidate, incumbent, political party or campaign committee to influence or provide financial support for election to or retention in judicial or other government office. Political contributions in initiative and referendum elections are not included. For purposes of this Rule, the term "political contribution" does not include uncompensated services.

[3] Subject to the exceptions below, (i) the term "government legal engagement" denotes any engagement to provide legal services that a public official has the direct or indirect power to award; and (ii) the term "appointment by a judge" denotes an appointment to a position such as referee, commissioner, special master, receiver, guardian or other similar position that is made by a judge. Those terms do not, however, include (a) substantially uncompensated services; (b) engagements or

1 appointments made on the basis of experience, expertise, professional qualifications and cost following
2 a request for proposal or other process that is free from influence based upon political contributions;
3 and (c) engagements or appointments made on a rotational basis from a list compiled without regard
4 to political contributions.

5 [4] The term "lawyer or law firm" includes a political action committee or other entity owned
6 or controlled by a lawyer or law firm.

7 [5] Political contributions are for the purpose of obtaining or being considered for a
8 government legal engagement or appointment by a judge if, but for the desire to be considered for the
9 legal engagement or appointment, the lawyer or law firm would not have made or solicited the
10 contributions. The purpose may be determined by an examination of the circumstances in which the
11 contributions occur. For example, one or more contributions that in the aggregate are substantial in
12 relation to other contributions by lawyers or law firms, made for the benefit of an official in a position
13 to influence award of a government legal engagement, and followed by an award of the legal
14 engagement to the contributing or soliciting lawyer or the lawyer's firm would support an inference
15 that the purpose of the contributions was to obtain the engagement, absent other factors that weigh
16 against existence of the proscribed purpose. Those factors may include among others that the
17 contribution or solicitation was made to further a political, social, or economic interest or because of
18 an existing personal, family, or professional relationship with a candidate.

19 [6] If a lawyer makes or solicits a political contribution under circumstances that constitute
20 bribery or another crime, Rule 8.4(b) is implicated.

21
22 **MAINTAINING THE INTEGRITY OF THE PROFESSION**

23
24 **SCR 20:8.1 Bar admission and disciplinary matters**

25 An applicant for admission to the bar, or a lawyer in connection
26 with a bar admission application or in connection with a disciplinary
27 matter, shall not:

28 (a) knowingly make a false statement of material fact; or

29 (b) fail to disclose a fact necessary to correct a misapprehension
30 known by the person to have arisen in the matter, or knowingly fail to
31 respond to a lawful demand for information from an admissions or
32 disciplinary authority, except that this rule does not require disclosure of
33 information otherwise protected by SCR 20:1.6.
34

ABA COMMENT

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12

[1] The duty imposed by this Rule extends to persons seeking admission to the bar as well as to lawyers. Hence, if a person makes a material false statement in connection with an application for admission, it may be the basis for subsequent disciplinary action if the person is admitted, and in any event may be relevant in a subsequent admission application. The duty imposed by this Rule applies to a lawyer's own admission or discipline as well as that of others. Thus, it is a separate professional offense for a lawyer to knowingly make a misrepresentation or omission in connection with a disciplinary investigation of the lawyer's own conduct. Paragraph (b) of this Rule also requires correction of any prior misstatement in the matter that the applicant or lawyer may have made and affirmative clarification of any misunderstanding on the part of the admissions or disciplinary authority of which the person involved becomes aware.

13
14
15
16

[2] This Rule is subject to the provisions of the fifth amendment of the United States Constitution and corresponding provisions of state constitutions. A person relying on such a provision in response to a question, however, should do so openly and not use the right of nondisclosure as a justification for failure to comply with this Rule.

17
18
19

[3] A lawyer representing an applicant for admission to the bar, or representing a lawyer who is the subject of a disciplinary inquiry or proceeding, is governed by the rules applicable to the client-lawyer relationship, including Rule 1.6 and, in some cases, Rule 3.3.

20
21

SCR 20:8.2 Judicial and legal officials

22
23
24
25
26

(a) A lawyer shall not make a statement that the lawyer knows to be false or with reckless disregard as to its truth or falsity concerning the qualifications or integrity of a judge, adjudicatory officer or public legal officer, or of a candidate for election or appointment to judicial or legal office.

27
28

(b) A lawyer who is a candidate for judicial office shall comply with the applicable provisions of the code of judicial conduct.

29
30
31

ABA COMMENT

32
33
34
35
36

[1] Assessments by lawyers are relied on in evaluating the professional or personal fitness of persons being considered for election or appointment to judicial office and to public legal offices, such as attorney general, prosecuting attorney and public defender. Expressing honest and candid opinions on such matters contributes to improving the administration of justice. Conversely, false statements by a lawyer can unfairly undermine public confidence in the administration of justice.

37
38

[2] When a lawyer seeks judicial office, the lawyer should be bound by applicable limitations on political activity.

39
40

[3] To maintain the fair and independent administration of justice, lawyers are encouraged to continue traditional efforts to defend judges and courts unjustly criticized.

41
42

SCR 20:8.3 Reporting professional misconduct

43
44

(a) A lawyer who knows that another lawyer has committed a violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct that raises a substantial

1 question as to that lawyer's honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer
2 in other respects, shall inform the appropriate professional authority.

3 (b) A lawyer who knows that a judge has committed a violation of
4 applicable rules of judicial conduct that raises a substantial question as to
5 the judge's fitness for office shall inform the appropriate authority.

6 (c) If the information revealing misconduct under subs. (a) or (b)
7 is confidential under SCR 20:1.6, the lawyer shall consult with the client
8 about the matter and abide by the client's wishes to the extent required by
9 SCR 20:1.6.

10 (d) This rule does not require disclosure of any of the following:

11 (1) Information gained by a lawyer while participating in a
12 confidential lawyers' assistance program.

13 (2) Information acquired by any person selected to mediate or
14 arbitrate disputes between lawyers arising out of a professional or
15 economic dispute involving law firm dissolutions, termination or
16 departure of one or more lawyers from a law firm where such information
17 is acquired in the course of mediating or arbitrating the dispute between
18 lawyers.

19
20 WISCONSIN COMMENT

21
22 The change from "having knowledge" to "who knows" in SCR 20:8.3(a)
23 and (b) reflects the adoption of the language used in the ABA Model Rule. See
24 also SCR 20:1.0(g) defining "knows." The requirement under paragraph (c) that
25 the lawyer consult with the client is not expressly included in the Model Rule. A
26 lawyer who consults with a client pursuant to subsection (c) should not discourage
27 a client from consenting to reporting a violation unless the lawyer believes there is
28 a reasonable possibility that it would compromise the attorney-client privilege or
29 otherwise prejudice the client. Lawyers should also be mindful of the obligation not
30 to use the threat of a report as a bargaining chip (see Wisconsin Ethics Opinion E-
31 01-01) and the obligation not to seek to contractually limit a person from reporting
32 professional misconduct. See SCR 20:1.8(h)(3).²

33 It deletes reference to judges. The reference to confidential lawyers' assistance programs
34 includes programs such as the state bar sponsored Wisconsin Lawyers' Assistance Program
35 (WISLAP), the Law Office Management Assistance Program (LOMAP), or the Ethics Hotline.

36
37 ABA COMMENT

38
39 [1] Self-regulation of the legal profession requires that members of the profession initiate
40 disciplinary investigation when they know of a violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct.
41 Lawyers have a similar obligation with respect to judicial misconduct. An apparently isolated violation

² Adopted 12/9/19 (Petition 19-12, Section 2).

1 may indicate a pattern of misconduct that only a disciplinary investigation can uncover. Reporting a
2 violation is especially important where the victim is unlikely to discover the offense.

3 [2] A report about misconduct is not required where it would involve violation of Rule 1.6.
4 However, a lawyer should encourage a client to consent to disclosure where prosecution would not
5 substantially prejudice the client's interests.

6 [3] If a lawyer were obliged to report every violation of the Rules, the failure to report any
7 violation would itself be a professional offense. Such a requirement existed in many jurisdictions but
8 proved to be unenforceable. This Rule limits the reporting obligation to those offenses that a self-
9 regulating profession must vigorously endeavor to prevent. A measure of judgment is, therefore,
10 required in complying with the provisions of this Rule. The term "substantial" refers to the seriousness
11 of the possible offense and not the quantum of evidence of which the lawyer is aware. A report should
12 be made to the bar disciplinary agency unless some other agency, such as a peer review agency, is
13 more appropriate in the circumstances. Similar considerations apply to the reporting of judicial
14 misconduct.

15 [4] The duty to report professional misconduct does not apply to a lawyer retained to represent
16 a lawyer whose professional conduct is in question. Such a situation is governed by the Rules
17 applicable to the client-lawyer relationship.

18 [5] Information about a lawyer's or judge's misconduct or fitness may be received by a lawyer
19 in the course of that lawyer's participation in an approved lawyers or judges assistance program. In
20 that circumstance, providing for an exception to the reporting requirements of paragraphs (a) and (b)
21 of this Rule encourages lawyers and judges to seek treatment through such a program. Conversely,
22 without such an exception, lawyers and judges may hesitate to seek assistance from these programs,
23 which may then result in additional harm to their professional careers and additional injury to the
24 welfare of clients and the public. These Rules do not otherwise address the confidentiality of
25 information received by a lawyer or judge participating in an approved lawyers' assistance program;
26 such an obligation, however, may be imposed by the rules of the program or other law.

27
28 **SCR 20:8.4 Misconduct**

29 It is professional misconduct for a lawyer to:

30 (a) violate or attempt to violate the Rules of Professional Conduct,
31 knowingly assist or induce another to do so, or do so through the acts of
32 another;

33 (b) commit a criminal act that reflects adversely on the lawyer's
34 honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer in other respects;

35
36 **WISCONSIN COMMENT**

37 In addition to the obligations in this rule, Wisconsin Attorneys should note the obligations concerning
38 notification set forth in SCR 21.15(5) and SCR 22.22(1).

39
40 (c) engage in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit or
41 misrepresentation;

42 (d) state or imply an ability to influence improperly a government
43 agency or official or to achieve results by means that violate the Rules of
44 Professional Conduct or other law;

45 (e) knowingly assist a judge or judicial officer in conduct that is a

1 violation of applicable rules of judicial conduct or other law; or
2 (f) violate a statute, supreme court rule, supreme court order or
3 supreme court decision regulating the conduct of lawyers;
4 (g) violate the attorney's oath;
5 (h) fail to cooperate in the investigation of a grievance filed with
6 the office of lawyer regulation as required by SCR 21.15(4), SCR
7 22.001(9)(b), SCR 22.03(2), SCR 22.03(6), or SCR 22.04(1); or
8 (i) harass a person on the basis of sex, race, age, creed, religion,
9 color, national origin, disability, sexual preference or marital status in
10 connection with the lawyer's professional activities. Legitimate advocacy
11 respecting the foregoing factors does not violate par. (i).
12

13 WISCONSIN COMMENT

14
15 Intentional violation of tax laws, including failure to file tax returns or failure to pay taxes
16 may violate SCR 20:8.4(f), absent a showing of inability to pay. In re Disciplinary Proceedings
17 Against Cassidy, 172 Wis. 2d 600, 493 N.W.2d 362 (1992).
18

19 WISCONSIN COMMITTEE COMMENT

20
21 Failure to cooperate, paragraph (h), was previously enforced as a violation of paragraph (f).
22 Paragraph (h) was added to the rule to provide better notice to lawyers of the obligation to cooperate.
23 Other statutes, rules, orders, and decisions continue to be included within the definition of misconduct
24 and are enforceable under paragraph (f).

25 Paragraphs (f) through (i) do not have counterparts in the Model Rule. What constitutes
26 harassment under paragraph (i) may be determined with reference to anti-discrimination legislation
27 and interpretive case law. Because of differences in content and numbering, care should be used when
28 consulting the ABA Comment.

29 ABA COMMENT

30
31
32 [1] Lawyers are subject to discipline when they violate or attempt to violate the Rules of
33 Professional Conduct, knowingly assist or induce another to do so or do so through the acts of another,
34 as when they request or instruct an agent to do so on the lawyer's behalf. Paragraph (a), however, does
35 not prohibit a lawyer from advising a client concerning action the client is legally entitled to take.

36 [2] Many kinds of illegal conduct reflect adversely on fitness to practice law, such as offenses
37 involving fraud and the offense of willful failure to file an income tax return. However, some kinds of
38 offenses carry no such implication. Traditionally, the distinction was drawn in terms of offenses
39 involving "moral turpitude." That concept can be construed to include offenses concerning some
40 matters of personal morality, such as adultery and comparable offenses, that have no specific
41 connection to fitness for the practice of law. Although a lawyer is personally answerable to the entire
42 criminal law, a lawyer should be professionally answerable only for offenses that indicate lack of those
43 characteristics relevant to law practice. Offenses involving violence, dishonesty, breach of trust, or
44 serious interference with the administration of justice are in that category. A pattern of repeated
45 offenses, even ones of minor significance when considered separately, can indicate indifference to
46 legal obligation.

1 [3] A lawyer who, in the course of representing a client, knowingly manifests by words or
2 conduct, bias or prejudice based upon race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual
3 orientation or socioeconomic status, violates paragraph (d) when such actions are prejudicial to the
4 administration of justice. Legitimate advocacy respecting the foregoing factors does not violate
5 paragraph (d). A trial judge's finding that peremptory challenges were exercised on a discriminatory
6 basis does not alone establish a violation of this Rule.

7 [4] A lawyer may refuse to comply with an obligation imposed by law upon a good faith
8 belief that no valid obligation exists. The provisions of Rule 1.2(d) concerning a good faith challenge
9 to the validity, scope, meaning or application of the law apply to challenges of legal regulation of the
10 practice of law.

11 [5] Lawyers holding public office assume legal responsibilities going beyond those of other
12 citizens. A lawyer's abuse of public office can suggest an inability to fulfill the professional role of
13 lawyers. The same is true of abuse of positions of private trust such as trustee, executor, administrator,
14 guardian, agent and officer, director or manager of a corporation or other organization.

15
16 **SCR 20:8.5 Disciplinary authority; choice of law**

17 (a) **Disciplinary authority.** A lawyer admitted to the bar of
18 this state is subject to the disciplinary authority of this state regardless of
19 where the lawyer's conduct occurs. A lawyer not admitted to the bar of
20 this state is also subject to the disciplinary authority of this state if the
21 lawyer provides or offers to provide any legal services in this state. A
22 lawyer may be subject to the disciplinary authority of both this state and
23 another jurisdiction for the same conduct.

24 (b) **Choice of law.** In the exercise of the disciplinary authority
25 of this state, the Rules of Professional Conduct to be applied shall be as
26 follows:

27 (1) for conduct in connection with a matter pending before a
28 tribunal, the rules of the jurisdiction in which the tribunal sits, unless the
29 rules of the tribunal provide otherwise; and

30 (2) for any other conduct,

31 (i) if the lawyer is admitted to the bar of only this state, the
32 rules to be applied shall be the rules of this state.

33 (ii) if the lawyer is admitted to the bars of this state and
34 another jurisdiction, the rules to be applied shall be the rules of the
35 admitting jurisdiction in which the lawyer principally practices, except
36 that if particular conduct clearly has its predominant effect in another
37 jurisdiction in which the lawyer is admitted to the bar, the rules of that
38 jurisdiction shall be applied to that conduct.

39 (iii) if the lawyer is admitted to the bar in another
40 jurisdiction and is providing legal services in this state as allowed under
41 these rules, the rules to be applied shall be the rules of this state.

42 (c) A lawyer shall not be subject to discipline if the lawyer's

1 conduct conforms to the rules of a jurisdiction in which the lawyer
2 reasonably believes the predominant effect of the lawyer's conduct will
3 occur.

4 5 WISCONSIN COMMITTEE COMMENT

6
7 SCR 20:8.5 differs from the ABA Model Rule 8.5. Due to substantive and numbering
8 differences, special care should be taken in consulting the ABA Comment.

9 10 ABA COMMENT

11 12 **Disciplinary Authority**

13 [1] It is longstanding law that the conduct of a lawyer admitted to practice in this jurisdiction
14 is subject to the disciplinary authority of this jurisdiction. Extension of the disciplinary authority of
15 this jurisdiction to other lawyers who provide or offer to provide legal services in this jurisdiction is
16 for the protection of the citizens of this jurisdiction. Reciprocal enforcement of a jurisdiction's
17 disciplinary findings and sanctions will further advance the purposes of this Rule. See, Rules 6 and 22,
18 ABA Model Rules for Lawyer Disciplinary Enforcement. A lawyer who is subject to the disciplinary
19 authority of this jurisdiction under Rule 8.5(a) appoints an official to be designated by this Court to
20 receive service of process in this jurisdiction. The fact that the lawyer is subject to the disciplinary
21 authority of this jurisdiction may be a factor in determining whether personal jurisdiction may be
22 asserted over the lawyer for civil matters.

23 24 **Choice of Law**

25 [2] A lawyer may be potentially subject to more than one set of rules of professional conduct
26 which impose different obligations. The lawyer may be licensed to practice in more than one
27 jurisdiction with differing rules, or may be admitted to practice before a particular court with rules that
28 differ from those of the jurisdiction or jurisdictions in which the lawyer is licensed to practice.
29 Additionally, the lawyer's conduct may involve significant contacts with more than one jurisdiction.

30 [3] Paragraph (b) seeks to resolve such potential conflicts. Its premise is that minimizing
31 conflicts between rules, as well as uncertainty about which rules are applicable, is in the best interest
32 of both clients and the profession (as well as the bodies having authority to regulate the profession).
33 Accordingly, it takes the approach of (i) providing that any particular conduct of a lawyer shall be
34 subject to only one set of rules of professional conduct, (ii) making the determination of which set of
35 rules applies to particular conduct as straightforward as possible, consistent with recognition of
36 appropriate regulatory interests of relevant jurisdictions, and (iii) providing protection from discipline
37 for lawyers who act reasonably in the face of uncertainty.

38 [4] Paragraph (b)(1) provides that as to a lawyer's conduct relating to a proceeding pending
39 before a tribunal, the lawyer shall be subject only to the rules of the jurisdiction in which the tribunal
40 sits unless the rules of the tribunal, including its choice of law rule, provide otherwise. As to all other
41 conduct, including conduct in anticipation of a proceeding not yet pending before a tribunal, paragraph
42 (b)(2) provides that a lawyer shall be subject to the rules of the jurisdiction in which the lawyer's
43 conduct occurred, or, if the predominant effect of the conduct is in another jurisdiction, the rules of
44 that jurisdiction shall be applied to the conduct. In the case of conduct in anticipation of a proceeding
45 that is likely to be before a tribunal, the predominant effect of such conduct could be where the conduct
46 occurred, where the tribunal sits or in another jurisdiction.

1 [5] When a lawyer's conduct involves significant contacts with more than one jurisdiction, it
2 may not be clear whether the predominant effect of the lawyer's conduct will occur in a jurisdiction
3 other than the one in which the conduct occurred. So long as the lawyer's conduct conforms to the rules
4 of a jurisdiction in which the lawyer reasonably believes the predominant effect will occur, the lawyer
5 shall not be subject to discipline under this Rule.

6 [6] If two admitting jurisdictions were to proceed against a lawyer for the same conduct, they
7 should, applying this rule, identify the same governing ethics rules. They should take all appropriate
8 steps to see that they do apply the same rule to the same conduct, and in all events should avoid
9 proceeding against a lawyer on the basis of two inconsistent rules.

10 [7] The choice of law provision applies to lawyers engaged in transnational practice, unless
11 international law, treaties or other agreements between competent regulatory authorities in the affected
12 jurisdictions provide otherwise.

13
14
15
16
17 Adopted by the supreme court on June 10, 1987, effective January 1, 1988; amended January 1, 1989;
18 November 6, 1990; May 29, 1991; October 25, 1991; November 21, 1991; April 19, 1995; November
19 15, 1995; June 26, 1996; October 28, 1996; March 18, 1997; June 4, 1998; October 30, 1998.;
20 November 9, 1999; November 14, 2001; April 30, 2004; July 1, 2007; January 1, 2009; July 1, 2009;
21 January 1, 2010; October 1, 2013; January 1, 2015; July 1, 2016; December 7, 2016; January 1, 2017,
22 July 1, 2017.

DRAFT